NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

Hearing Transcript

2017 Automobile Insurance Review

September 13, 2018

PRESENT:

The Board:

Darlene Whalen, Chair and CEO Dwanda Newman, Vice-Chair James Oxford, Commissioner

Board Counsel/ Staff:

Ryan Oake, Regulatory Analyst Peter O'Flaherty, Q.C., Hearing Counsel

Parties (Alphabetical Order)

Atlantic Provinces Trial Lawyers Association

Ernest Gittens

Presenters:

Dr. Fred Lazar Presenting on behalf of the Campaign

Campaign to Protect Accident Victims

Colin Feltham Jerome Kennedy, Q.C.

Consumer Advocate

Dennis Browne, Q.C. Andrew Wadden

Insurance Bureau of Canada (IBC)

Amanda Dean Kevin Stamp, Q.C. Trevor Foster

Spinal Cord Injury NL

Thomas Fraize, Q.C. Lara Fraize-Burry Michael Burry

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 1		Page 3
1	(9:15 a.m.)	1	between the Faculty of Liberal Arts and
2	CHAIR:	2	Applied Professional Studies, and Schulich
3	Q. Good morning, everybody. I guess we're	3	School of Business.
4	going to go right to the Campaign. You can	4	MR. FELTHAM:
5	introduce your presenter.	5	Q. And in terms of your role as professor, what
6	MR. FELTHAM:	6	sort of – what do you teach there, what are
7	Q. Thank you, Chair. Good morning, Chair and	7	your areas?
8	Commissioners. This morning we have Dr.	8	DR. LAZAR:
9	Fred Lazar with us, and Dr. Lazar is here to	9	A. My economics area, used to be the Department
10	review or present, I guess, his report which	10	of Arts, taught just about every course, but
11	has been supplied to the Board entitled,	11	primarily international trade and finance,
12	"Estimated Overpayments of Automobile	12	industrial organization which deals with
13	Insurance Premiums in Newfoundland and	13	competitive behaviour strategy, and in the
14	Labrador 2012 to 2016", which has already	14	business school a course called Economics
15	been filed with the Board. I'll note that	15	for Management. It's really the application
16	the report is co-authored with Dr. Eli	16	of economic concepts to decision making.
17	Prisman, and Dr. Prisman could not be here	17	MR. FELTHAM:
18	today, only Dr. Lazar was available, given	18	Q. And competitive behaviour strategy, what is
19	we were only working with a small set of	19	that?
20	dates. I did, and I hope it was received,	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	supply two CV documents; one for Dr. Lazar	21	A. That's looking at market structures, how
22	and one for Dr. Prisman to the Board. So	22	firms compete in different market
23	the CV of Dr. Prisman, it is available, even	23	structures, how those market structures
24	though he isn't present. Good morning, Dr.	24	evolve, and discussions of how should
25	Lazar?	25	companies develop strategies to gain an
	Page 2		Page 4
1	DR. LAZAR:	1	advantage in the marketplace.
2	A. Good morning.	2	MR. FELTHAM:
3	MR. FELTHAM:	3	Q. And I note from your CV just in terms of
4	Q. Thank you for agreeing to be here today, and	4	formal education, you graduated from
5	to share your thoughts with the Board. I	5	University of Toronto in 1969, went on to
6	know it was a late arrival for you, or early	6	complete post-graduate degree at Harvard
7	morning arrival, I guess, really, with some	7	University, the last of which was a PhD in
8	flight issues. I'd like to begin, Doctor,	8	1978. What's the PhD in?
9	with just reviewing your CV a little bit,	9	DR. LAZAR:
10	some of your background, credentials, and	10	A. It's in economics. My dissertation was on
11	qualifications and that sort of thing. Dr.	11	male racial unemployment rate differences in
12	Lazar, you're a professor at York	12	the US, so I started in the labour economics
13	University?	13	field.
14	DR. LAZAR:	14	MR. FELTHAM:
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. And I won't belabour this, but you've listed
16	MR. FELTHAM:	16	in your CV a number of books and journal
17	Q. And how long has that been the case?	17	publications and that spans – the ones
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	you've listed here in terms of the journal
19	A. It seems like forever. I've lost track,	19	work runs into about 2015, I believe, and I
20	45/46 years.	20	note that one of the journals referred to
21	MR. FELTHAM:	21	is, if we look at the bottom of page two,
22	Q. And your CV indicates that you're in the	22	risk management and insurance review?
23	Department of Economics?	23	DR. LAZAR:
24	DR. LAZĀR:	24	A. Yes.
1 25	A. Department of Economics, cross-appointed	25	MR. FELTHAM:
25	71. Department of Leonomies, cross appointed	1 -2	

I	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 5		Page 7
1	Q. And the title of the article is,	1	for automobile insurance companies in
2	"Regulator's Determination of Return on	2	Ontario. When you mentioned you worked for
3	Equity in the Absence of Public Firms: The	3	FSCO, is that what you were talking about?
4	Case of Automobile Insurance in Ontario".	4	DR. LAZAR:
5	DR. LAZAR:	5	A. Yes. Eli and I were retained by FSCO
6	A. Yes.	6	because they had to respond to the Auditor
7	MR. FELTHAM:	7	General of Ontario's comment that they
8	Q. And that was in 2015?	8	hadn't reviewed the return on equity in
9	DR. LAZAR:	9	about 15 years, so we were retained to
10	A. Yes.	10	examine how they would determine what might
11	MR. FELTHAM:	11	be an appropriate return on equity for
12	Q. And that's a peer review journal?	12	automobile insurance companies.
13	DR. LAZAR:	13	MR. FELTHAM:
14	A. All of these are.	14	Q. And then if we move on to your professional
15	MR. FELTHAM:	15	consulting activities, and it says in
16		16	
1	Q. Okay, and that was co-authored with Dr. Prisman as well.		brackets over 40 years, I guess, there
17		17	appears to be – you've done a mix of public
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	and private in that regard?
19	A. Yes.	19	DR. LAZAR:
20	MR. FELTHAM:	20	A. I started basically in the public sector,
21	Q. Is that of a similar nature to what you've	21	more idealistic at the time, and I don't
22	done here in terms of the subject matter?	22	think it really have changed, just learned a
23	DR. LAZAR:	23	lesson of how the system works, and then
24	A. No, it really is an extension of the work	24	sort of evolved into the private sector, and
25	that we did for FSCO, where, you know,	25	then more recently combining the two.
	Page 6		Page 8
1	traditionally in applying the capital asset	1	MR. FELTHAM:
2	pricing model, one uses data for public	2	Q. And in terms of the work that you've done
3	companies. In this particular case, we were	3	here that we're going to discuss today, have
4	dealing with largely a mix of public and	4	you done work of a similar nature before?
5	private companies. Even the public	5	DR. LAZAR:
6	companies, there was really no public data	6	A. Again the original work was for FSCO. Prior
7	available, so we had to resort to use of	7	to that, Eli and I did comparable work for
8	accounting data rather than publicly	8	the Ontario Energy Board with regards to
9	reported financial data.	9	local electricity distribution companies,
10	MR. FELTHAM:	10	and following that work for FSCO, that's
11	Q. And if I go to page three of your CV, I note	11	when the Ontario Trial Lawyers contacted us
12	you've listed quite a number of instances	12	and asked us just to apply a methodology to
13	when you've worked as expert witness?	13	the experience in Ontario, so that's sort of
14	DR. LAZAR:	14	the history in this particular area.
15	A. Yes.	15	MR. FELTHAM:
16	MR. FELTHAM:	16	Q. And I'll get to the Ontario Trial Lawyers
17	Q. In different types of hearings. It appears	17	piece in just a second. Before I do, the
18	largely regulatory type hearings?	18	Ontario Energy Board work, can you explain
19	DR. LAZAR:	19	what was done there? Who was the client, I
20	A. Yes.	20	guess, and what was - more specifically what
21	MR. FELTHAM:	21	you were doing?
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	Q. And the last one, I guess, this is what	21	DR. LAZAR:
44	you're referring to work with FSCO,	23	
22	von re reretting to work WIIII E NCU	L 43	A. It was again the Ontario Energy Board, the
23	,		C
23 24 25	indicates Financial Services Commission of Ontario, calculating the return on equity		regulator, and I believe in their case as well it was the Auditor General that had

September 13, 2018 2017 Automobile Insurance Review Page 9

1 suggested that they review their 2 determination of rate of return on equity 3 rules for the local electricity

4 distributors. So it was similar work that 5 we did with FSCO, but a different sample,

different group of companies.

7 MR. FELTHAM:

6

8 And then you mentioned for the Ontario Trial Q. 9 Lawyers Association. A couple of those 10 gentlemen were here yesterday. When did you – I guess, what did you do for the Ontario 11 12 Trial Lawyers Association and when?

DR. LAZAR:

13 14 Α. I'm trying to remember the original one, 15 2014, 2015, they asked Eli and me to look at what had been the experience in Ontario to 16 17 try to assess whether consumers of 18 automobile insurance were paying the right 19 price, paying too much, too little, and 20 they've come back to me on two other 21 occasions, 2016 and this year, to update the 22 reports, which I have done. Again I've

23 taken the original report and just 24 incorporating more recent data into the 25 analysis.

Page 10

2

1 MR. FELTHAM:

2 Same methodology, just changing the data to 0. 3 update?

4 DR. LAZAR:

5 Methodology is not going to change, just the data have changed. 6

7 MR. FELTHAM:

8 Dr. Lazar, at a high level, what were the results in Ontario from this study? 9

10 DR. LAZAR:

At the high level, again what sort of 11 underlied our methodology, so the original 12 work, and then the follow up work was to 13 look at the estimates of the actual realized 14 15 returns on equity of the individual 16 insurance companies, and then collectively, and compared that to what should have been 17 an appropriate return on equity. Also 18 19 looking at what might have been a more 20 appropriate expense ratios over time in light of technological developments. 21 MR. FELTHAM: 22

23 And what was found in Ontario in terms of 0. 24 the results?

25 DR. LAZAR: 1 A. Again the realized returns on equity have 2 for most companies far exceeded what would 3 have been appropriate levels, and the gap 4 had risen, at least up until las year, so 5 that there seemed to be an indication that 6 there were significant overpayments for 7 automobile insurance.

8 MR. FELTHAM:

9 And you describe it as overpayments. What Q. 10 do you mean by that?

DR. LAZAR: 11

12 Again if the regulator in Ontario's FSCO, if Α. they had gone with the recommendations we 13 made and incorporated those return on 14 15 equities in their rate determinations, then the premiums that would have been awarded or 16 17 permitted, and this is really sort of a 18 maximum the insurance companies could have 19 always charged less for competitive reasons 20 or for other reasons, that those premiums 21 would have been less than the premiums that 22 are actually charged inferred from their 23 realized returns on equities.

24 MR. FELTHAM:

25 O. So in terms of the return on equities or the

Page 12

1 return on equity was in excess of what, in

your opinion, the appropriate target return

3 on equity would have been?

4 DR. LAZAR:

5 A. Yes

MR. FELTHAM: 6

7 Q. Okay.

8 DR. LAZAR:

9 Α. I mean, the correct analysis, and because of 10 lack of data, we had to use this methodology, would have been to look at, 11 okay, what were the premiums that were 12 actually awarded based on the information 13 available when the premiums were permitted, 14 15 and compare those premiums to what they 16 should have been with different return on 17 equities, you know, Eli and my estimates, 18 and what would have been more reasonable 19 expense allowances, and the gap between 20 those two would have been a measure of the 21 overpayments.

22 MR. FELTHAM:

Q. And I gather then the – I mean, we've talked about Ontario thus far and the work that you did there with respect to return on equity

23

24

25

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 13		Page 15
1	in automobile insurance companies. In terms	1	our estimates of what should have been the
2	of this report, your report, July, 2018,	2	regulated return on equity, and whenever
3	you've now at the request of the Campaign to	3	they were greater, obviously that was an
4	Protect Accident Victims, taken that	4	indication that, you know, the premiums that
5	methodology and applied it to Newfoundland	5	were allowed were greater than what they
6	and Labrador data, is that correct?	6	would have been or should have been if our
7	DR. LAZAR:	7	return on equity numbers had been used.
8	A. The difference is that we had to apply the	8	(9:30 a.m.)
9	capital asset pricing model to those	9	MR. FELTHAM:
10	insurance companies operating in	10	Q. And you mentioned MSA Research. Let's just
11	Newfoundland in determining what would have		deal with that for a second. I guess, what
12	been, based on that model, appropriate	12	is that and why was that necessary to do
13	returns on equities, and they differed from	13	that, to use them?
14	those in Ontario largely because if you	14	DR. LAZAR:
15	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	
16	applied the capital asset pricing model, there was a different data, a different risk	16	E 5 11
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	individual companies. In the absence of
17	factor, slightly higher one for Newfoundland than for Ontario.	l .	having data on individual companies, you
18	MR. FELTHAM:	18 19	can't apply the capital asset pricing model.
19		l .	The data don't exist. Again Eli was
20	Q. Okay, and we'll get a little bit more into	20	conducting sort of negotiations. For
21	that. I'll get you to explain it somewhat	21	whatever reason, GISA was either unwilling
22	further in the report, but if we start at	22	to provide us with the data in the format we
23	the top here, I guess, and look at page four	23	required, or the cost proved to be
24	of your report, here we have your executive	24	excessive, so we then resorted to MSA, whom
1 2 5		2 -	1 1 10 1 1 110 5000
25	summary. So you've laid this out in four	25	we had used for the work we did for FSCO.
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked	1	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were
1 2	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked – well, it's done in a question form, but	1 2	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we
1 2 3	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked – well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the	1 2 3	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly,
1 2	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of	1 2	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much
1 2 3 4 5	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for	1 2 3	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO relied considerably on this group for data
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, again Eli and I used data provided by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, again Eli and I used data provided by MSA Research, and they use — they rely on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO relied considerably on this group for data
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, again Eli and I used data provided by MSA Research, and they use – they rely on GISA data and other data. So we had, I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO relied considerably on this group for data for rate determinations.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, again Eli and I used data provided by MSA Research, and they use — they rely on GISA data and other data. So we had, I think, 15/18 companies, somewhere in that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO relied considerably on this group for data for rate determinations. MR. FELTHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, again Eli and I used data provided by MSA Research, and they use – they rely on GISA data and other data. So we had, I think, 15/18 companies, somewhere in that range. I apologize, I do not remember the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO relied considerably on this group for data for rate determinations. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So number two, "What has been the real
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 14 points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, again Eli and I used data provided by MSA Research, and they use – they rely on GISA data and other data. So we had, I think, 15/18 companies, somewhere in that range. I apologize, I do not remember the exact number. I can look in the appendix	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO relied considerably on this group for data for rate determinations. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	points. You've indicated that you've looked — well, it's done in a question form, but number one, "Are the conclusions of the report by Oliver Wyman for the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for Newfoundland and Labrador valid", and I'm going to change the order a little bit and I'm going to deal with that issue last as we go through the report, or I'd like you to deal with that issue last. Going to number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in Newfoundland and Labrador"? What do you mean there when you say, "What has been the real experience"? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, again Eli and I used data provided by MSA Research, and they use – they rely on GISA data and other data. So we had, I think, 15/18 companies, somewhere in that range. I apologize, I do not remember the exact number. I can look in the appendix and see the exact number. What we did was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 16 So we were familiar with them, and they were able to format the data for us so that we could input it into the model very quickly, and we could do it on schedule and at much lower cost. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I mean, what is MSA Research, what are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I've got it here, the official name. Okay, MSA Research, they work with what's called the National Insurance Conference of Canada and they essentially – I'm not sure if they're an independent group or – they do work with GISA, they do get data from GISA, as well as from the insurance companies, and I know in the work we did for FSCO, FSCO relied considerably on this group for data for rate determinations. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So number two, "What has been the real experience of auto insurance companies in this province". Number three, "How does

September 13, 2018 2017 Automobile Insurance Review Page 17 1 some more detail, and I think you've sort of 1 subsidiaries, Primmum and Security National, 2 2 already mentioned this, but what are we and three other companies with average 3 3 negative ROE's over the entire period, 2011 talking about there? 4 DR. LAZAR: 4 to 2016, the weighted average ROE's for the 5 5 remaining companies increased 12.2 percent Essentially, again the gap between the A. 6 realized returns on equities, and the return 6 over the period, 2011 to 2016. Obviously, 7 on equities that Eli and I estimated would 7 the companies that have been profitable have 8 8 have been appropriate in light of financial been very profitable". So, I guess, 9 9 market developments. essentially then you determined once you 10 10 MR. FELTHAM: took certain, I'll call them under performing companies from the analysis, that 11 Q. Okay. Finally, the fourth item, "What are 11 12 the results were positive ROEs? the implications for the aggregate premiums 12 paid by drivers in Newfoundland and 13 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 Labrador", what does that mean? 14 Yes, but they were positive for the entire 15 DR. LAZAR: 15 sample. They were just much larger when you Which one is this? excluded those particular companies. 16 A. 16 MR. FELTHAM: 17 MR. FELTHAM: 17 18 Q. Sorry, number four, "What are the 18 Q. And then, I guess if we look at the next 19 implications for the aggregate premiums paid 19 step here, if we go over to page 5 and item by drivers in Newfoundland and Labrador". 3, "How much have consumers in Newfoundland 20 20 21 I'm just wondering what are we talking about 21 and Labrador overpaid?" And this I guess is there? 22 the answer to the comparison of the realized 22 23 23 DR. LAZAR: ROEs versus what the targets ought to have 24 24 Okay, I thought you were referring to point Α. DR. LAZAR: 25 four here. 25 Page 18 Page 20 1 MR. FELTHAM: 1 A. Yes. 2 2 MR. FELTHAM: Q. Oh, no, sorry. 3 DR. LAZAR: 3 And you note there that, "For the companies Q. with average positive ROEs, the estimated 4 The implications, again depending on what 4 5 sample of companies you use, that there 5 upper limit for aggregate overpayments is 6 seemed to have been over this period of 2013 6 \$92 million. For the companies with 7 to 2016, overpayments that ranged, I 7 positive ROEs, the estimated overpayments 8 believe, depending on the methodology, 8 represent about 8.6 percent of the total somewhere between 4 or 5 percent, and 9 9 9 premiums paid between 2011 and 2016." And 10 10 there's smaller print in italics below that. percent. MR. FELTHAM: DR. LAZAR: 11 11 Right. 12 Before we leave your executive summary 12 A. because it's expanded upon a little bit in 13 13 MR. FELTHAM: Which notes that, "For all companies, terms of summary answers, I guess, to the 14 14 issues, I'd like to review that and then excluding Primmum and Security National, the 15 15 16

16 we'll get into a little bit more detail 17 about how you got there. So if we start 18 with, and again I mentioned I'm going to 19 skip over number one here, which is the 20 conclusions of Oliver Wyman, we'll get back 21 to that, I want to focus first on the work 22 that you did and your own estimations. So 23 number two, "ROE's for auto insurance 24 companies in Newfoundland and Labrador", and you note here, "When we exclude the TD 25

upper limit for aggregate overpayments during the period 2011 to 2016 is 54 million dollars." So, let me see—I want to make sure we understand this. So, I gather that you're giving us a range here, depending on whether we just exclude the two TD companies or also exclude a couple of others who have had similar negative performance and that for that five-year period, 2011 to 2016, I guess it's five years, anyway 2011 to 2016,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 21		Page 23
1	the overpayment estimate is somewhere	1	assumptions, there is no way that we could
2	between 54 million dollars and 92 million	2	determine what the premiums could have been
3	dollars for consumers in this province?	3	with a different set of assumptions, so as
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	to be able to simply calculate the
5	A. Yes, but as we point out, that's sort of the	5	differences.
6	upper limit.	6	MR. FELTHAM:
7	MR. FELTHAM:	7	Q. And if we go to your report, page 24 next.
8	Q. Yes.	8	And so, I gather here what we have is—and
9	DR. LAZAR:	9	you can take us through the explanation, but
10	A. Were there over the entire period	10	these are the results in terms of the return
11	overpayments? Most likely. What was the	11	on equity calculations for the various
12	value? As I said, the only way to determine	12	insurers over the period examined?
13	that with greater certainty is if one had	13	DR. LAZAR:
14	access to sort of other data. What were the	14	A. Yes.
15	aggregate premiums that were permitted to	15	MR. FELTHAM:
16	the insurance companies? And two, what	16	Q. You earlier mentioned that a couple of
17	would have been the aggregate premium	17	companies were excluded, Security National
18	permitted to the insurance companies with	18	and Primmum Insurance. I gather that's TD
19	lower return on equity assumptions, lower	19	Insurance? It's my understanding.
20	operating expense assumptions? And the gap	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	between the two would have reflected the	$\frac{20}{21}$	A. Yes.
$\frac{21}{22}$	overpayments regardless of what the	22	MR. FELTHAM:
23	insurance company—the actual performance of	ı	Q. Yes. And if I look at those, sincewell in
24	the insurance companies.	24	the case of Security National, since 2013,
25	MR. FELTHAM:	25	every year recording a negative ROE, and
23	Page 22	23	Page 24
1	Q. And Dr. Lazar, I want to pick up on a phrase	1	ranging fromyou know, in 2015 it was
$\frac{1}{2}$	that you've used there. You say, "If one	2	negative 247 down to negative 20 I guess in
$\frac{2}{3}$	had access to the data," and we've also	$\frac{2}{3}$	2013. And Primmum similarly, but from 2014
4	talked a lot about estimating. You know,	4	consistently negative. So, becauseyou
5	why is it that we're estimating, and you	5	note that some companies were excluded from
6	know, why it is that we don't—you know, if	6	the analysis. Can you tell us I guess why
7	one had access to the data? What is it—what	7	that was done? What's the economic
8	are you telling us?	8	rationale for doing that and why is it
	DR. LAZAR:	9	reasonable to do it in your view?
10	A. You know, Eli and I don't have access to the	10	DR. LAZAR:
11	decisions made by the Board, at least we	11	A. Now, with the case of the TD companies we
12	didn't have it when we did the report, don't	12	found similar results in Ontario. That—
13	have it now. They might be available. So,	13	again, if you apply standard economic
14	we don't know. Here, so the aggregate	14	reasoning, if a company is consistently
15	premiums that were awarded which would have	ı	losing money then in one particular line of
16	been different from the actual premiums that	16	business and this is part of a much broader
17	were paid, you know, if the Board sets an	17	line of business, they're doing so because
18	upper limit, it's up to the insurance	18	they're using that line of business as a
19	companies—they can set rates below that for	19	lost leader for their other financial
20	various reasons. In addition, we wouldn't	20	services. So, that's what we concluded with
21	have access to the claims, so expectations	21	TD. I mean, if you look at RBC, they were
$\frac{21}{22}$	that were built into these decisions. So,	$\frac{21}{22}$	losing money. I think they've exited from
$\frac{22}{23}$	in the absence of having—not having those	23	the insurance, auto insurance industry.
24	data, even though we have return in equity	24	There are a couple of other companies in
25	accumptions we can I'll have expense	25	Ontario that when they were acquired by

assumptions, we can—I'll have expense

25

25

Ontario that when they were acquired by

	11001 15, 2016		2017 Automobile insurance Review
	Page 25		Page 27
	other companies, Fairfax acquired some	1	A. Yes.
2	insurance companies that were doing poorly,	2	MR. FELTHAM:
3	within a year or two, Fairfax turned them	3	Q. If I go to the bottom of page 25, and right—
4	around. So, if a company is losing money,	4	well, I guess it is sort of the last full
5	it's going to either exit the industry if it	5	paragraph that starts with "Furthermore."
6	is not a lost leader, or if it continues in	6	This isright above that you reviewed what
7	business, it's using this to promote other	7	you just talked about in terms of the
8	lines of business. So, they're willing to	8	experience with TD Insurance and companies
9	tolerate a loss here. It's an investment in	9	of a similar nature, but in—here you say,
10	order to generate profits in other lines of	10	"Furthermore, the reported or estimated ROEs
11	business. Another possibility is that again	11	might be quite misleading with regards to
12	firms that for one or two years experience	12	the ability of a company to attract capital
13	negative results, negative returns on	13	to a particular line. As well, without
14	equity, losses, they're doing so perhaps to	14	detailed information about the intricacies
15	increase market share. So, they're	15	of intra-corporate transfer pricing and
16	competing more aggressively. They'll cut	16	other accounting practices, it is very
17	price to increase market share. If they	17	difficult to measure the real profitability
18	succeed, then one should expect the prices	18	of any one line of business for a P&C
19	to sort of rise up. The return in equity is	19	company." What are we talking about there?
20	to improve. If that doesn't happen, chances	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	are they'll exit the industry. Then there's	21	A. Okay, and if you look at the major
22	a third possibility and this is using	22	automobile insurance companies that operate
23	transfer pricing to shift around profits	23	in this province, most of them operate
24	from higher tax jurisdictions to lower tax	24	automobile insurance in most if not all of
25	jurisdictions. And if you look at corporate	25	the other provinces, and most of them also
		_	F,,
	Page 26		Page 28
1	Page 26 tax rates at a provincial level, chances are	1	<u>*</u>
1 2	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile	1 2	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also
1 2 3	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying	1	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial
3 4	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in	1 2	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also
3	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the	1 2 3	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial
3 4 5 6	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the
3 4 5 6 7	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies
3 4 5 6 7 8	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy the waters when it comes to trying to figure	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 28 operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance operation of Newfoundland and Labrador, that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy the waters when it comes to trying to figure out, have consumers in this province ended	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance operation of Newfoundland and Labrador, that might be provided from their corporate head
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy the waters when it comes to trying to figure out, have consumers in this province ended paying too much of the proper amount or too	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance operation of Newfoundland and Labrador, that might be provided from their corporate head office or from some other business unit, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy the waters when it comes to trying to figure out, have consumers in this province ended paying too much of the proper amount or too little as a result?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance operation of Newfoundland and Labrador, that might be provided from their corporate head office or from some other business unit, and can you perhaps increase this cost
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy the waters when it comes to trying to figure out, have consumers in this province ended paying too much of the proper amount or too little as a result? MR. FELTHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance operation of Newfoundland and Labrador, that might be provided from their corporate head office or from some other business unit, and can you perhaps increase this cost marginally, again, with the accounting rules
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy the waters when it comes to trying to figure out, have consumers in this province ended paying too much of the proper amount or too little as a result? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Like trying to determine the real experience	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance operation of Newfoundland and Labrador, that might be provided from their corporate head office or from some other business unit, and can you perhaps increase this cost marginally, again, with the accounting rules and tax laws? Should you reduce this? So
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tax rates at a provincial level, chances are quite good that most of the automobile insurance companies are doing this, trying to perhaps understate their profits in Newfoundland and Labrador because the corporate tax rate is what, 15 percent at the provincial level, and shifting them to lower tax regimes, in particular Ontario and Alberta that have rates, I think what-Ontario is about 11½ percent, Alberta is 12 percent. Even BC has a lower rate. So, some of the cases might simply be, you know, shift the profits, diminish your profits, perhaps even generate some losses for one reason or another. And again, all of these legitimate reasons for companies to behalf in this way, but that tends to sort of muddy the waters when it comes to trying to figure out, have consumers in this province ended paying too much of the proper amount or too little as a result? MR. FELTHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	operate in other line of business. In the case of the TD subsidiaries, TD also operates in many lines of financial services. So, you're going to have sort of at the corporate level, you're going to aggregate sort of the performance, the revenues, the cost of all of these companies to come up with consolidated statements. And then, you're going to sort of try to establish what the profitability is of your separate business units, but there you have under the accounting rules a certain degree of flexibility and even in the tax rules you have a certain degree of flexibility with regards to transfer pricing. So, transfer pricing refers to what cost can you charge. For example, your automobile insurance operation of Newfoundland and Labrador, that might be provided from their corporate head office or from some other business unit, and can you perhaps increase this cost marginally, again, with the accounting rules

Septer	mber 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 29		Page 31
1	internally what's the profitability of the	1	of the team, Prem Watsa and his team, to
2	different business units and to determine	2	generate superb returns from their
3	the performance bonuses for the people	3	investment activities. So, the insurance
4	running these. And then, from a tax point	4	companies have access and they have to look,
5	of view, you'll have different cost	5	how are we going to invest this to sort of
6	allocations in order to try to minimize your	6	generate returns, boost our profitability?
7	tax liability. I don't have privy to those	7	And you've got a whole range of financial
8	data. Unless you happen to be the tax	8	assets. To simplify them, you know, invest
9	authority, CRA, nobody else has access to	9	in real estate, you can invest in a whole
10	that information other than the companies	10	range of bonds, government to corporate,
11	and a possibility of CRA.	11	different sort of ratings, or you can invest
12	(9:45 a.m.)	12	in equities. And every insurance company
13	MR. FELTHAM:	13	has their own sort of investment strategy.
14	Q. And if we go over to page 26, Dr. Lazar,	14	Most, if not all, are going to invest part
15	table 14, and I'll just—I'll to the	15	of this portfolio in equities. And you
16	paragraph below the table to give us some	16	know, if you invest in bonds, the returns
17	background here. So, it says, "It is quite	17	there tend to be more stable. Real estate,
18	clear that the annual returns on investments	18	less stable than bonds, but more stable
19	in equities fluctuate widely from year to	19	likely than equities. Equities are going to
20	year. Therefore, it is not surprising that	20	be the most variable component.
21	the annual ROEs of auto insurance companies	21	MR. FELTHAM:
22	also fluctuated from year to year. The net	22	Q. And what are you showing us in the table?
23	investment returns were relatively more	23	DR. LAZAR:
24	stable than the S&P TSX total returns.	24	A. In this particular table, essentially
25	Furthermore, our estimates of the aggregate	25	showing that, you know, returns on equity
1	Turnering, our estimates of the approprie	23	showing that, you know, returns on equity
20			
1	Page 30		Page 32
1	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW,"	1	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can
1 2	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our	1 2	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good
1 2 3	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely	1 2 3	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto
1 2 3 4	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of	1 2 3 4	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good
1 2 3 4 5	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the	1 2 3 4 5	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16."	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16."	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 32 year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the profitability. I mean, you look at two	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with positive ROEs throughout the 2011-2016
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the profitability. I mean, you look at two classic examples. Warren Buffett with	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with positive ROEs throughout the 2011-2016 period accounted for about 75 percent of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the profitability. I mean, you look at two classic examples. Warren Buffett with Berkshire Hathaway, really what underlies	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with positive ROEs throughout the 2011-2016 period accounted for about 75 percent of total premiums over the entire period,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the profitability. I mean, you look at two classic examples. Warren Buffett with Berkshire Hathaway, really what underlies that operation is insurance, and he's got a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with positive ROEs throughout the 2011-2016 period accounted for about 75 percent of total premiums over the entire period, ranging from a low of 66 percent of total
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the profitability. I mean, you look at two classic examples. Warren Buffett with Berkshire Hathaway, really what underlies that operation is insurance, and he's got a phenomenal track record obviously with this team of investing those reserves to generate you know remarkable returns. In the case of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with positive ROEs throughout the 2011-2016 period accounted for about 75 percent of total premiums over the entire period, ranging from a low of 66 percent of total premiums in 2016 to a high of 82 percent in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 30 ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the profitability. I mean, you look at two classic examples. Warren Buffett with Berkshire Hathaway, really what underlies that operation is insurance, and he's got a phenomenal track record obviously with this team of investing those reserves to generate you know remarkable returns. In the case of Canada, we have Fairfax Financial which	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with positive ROEs throughout the 2011-2016 period accounted for about 75 percent of total premiums over the entire period, ranging from a low of 66 percent of total premiums in 2016 to a high of 82 percent in 2011. When Primmum and Security National are excluded, the companies with positive ROEs accounted for 84 percent of the total"—
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ROIs exceed the ROI assumptions of OW," which is Oliver Wynman, "reinforcing our earlier comments that Oliver Wynman likely has underestimated the profitability of automobile insurance companies in the province and overestimated their premium deficiencies during the period 2012 to '16." Can you take us through—I mean what we seeing here? What's the relevance of this table? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Now, the P&C companies are going to use sort of the reserves to invest them. You want to earn something on them, and that's sort of a major driver of the profitability. I mean, you look at two classic examples. Warren Buffett with Berkshire Hathaway, really what underlies that operation is insurance, and he's got a phenomenal track record obviously with this team of investing those reserves to generate you know remarkable returns. In the case of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	year to year can bounce around. You can have losses, you can have extremely good returns. The performance of the auto insurance companies, the greater stability I think is the reflection of the fact that they haven't invested 100 percent in equity. There is a mix with a considerable allocation to more stable bonds, but nevertheless, the returns are going to be driven in part by what happens in the equity markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we move down on page 26 to the last paragraph, I'm going to just read that to you. "The auto insurance companies with positive ROEs throughout the 2011-2016 period accounted for about 75 percent of total premiums over the entire period, ranging from a low of 66 percent of total premiums in 2016 to a high of 82 percent in 2011. When Primmum and Security National are excluded, the companies with positive

Septe	mber 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
Ι.	Page 33		Page 35
1	significance of that? What -	1	Labrador. Are they likely correct about
2	DR. LAZAR:	2	that?
3	A. Oh, just pointing out that the companies	3	DR. LAZAR:
4	that were profitable for this entire period	4	A. Well, again from an economic theory point of
5	were major players in the market in	5	view, if an activity is not profitable, and
6	Newfoundland and Labrador. They weren't a	6	it's consistently not profitable, you exit
7	small, you know, relatively insignificant	7	that line of business. You leave. You do
8	component of that market.	8	not stay in it unless it's a lost leader to
9	MR. FELTHAM:	9	generate business in other financial or
10	Q. Okay. And then, if we go over to page 27,	10	insurance services in that particular
11	at the bottom, page 27. There we go. I	11	jurisdiction. So, if you're not in
12	guess there and onto page 28 you explain how	12	automobile insurance, you're not going to be
13	you estimated potential overpayments, and	13	a player or you're going to be a smaller
14	you say, "Using these data, we estimated	14	player in those other fields. So, you'll
15	potential premium overpayments as follows.	15	take the losses in this activity because
16	Whenever the realized ROEs exceeded the ROEs	16	you're more than making up for it with
17	we estimated for the auto insurance	17	profits in other activities. So, it's one
18	industry, it is likely that premiums were	18	or the other. So, you know, the argument is
19	too high, and as a result, drivers in	19	that the auto insurance in Newfoundland and
20	Newfoundland and Labrador paid too much for	20	Labrador is not profitable, well, if that
21	auto insurance. In table 16 we report the	21	were the case, then you look at the
22	gaps," and you talked about the gaps	22	committed equity to this industry, the
23	earlier, "when they are positive between the	23	capital. If that argument is correct, you
24	realized pre-tax ROEs and the CAPM ROEs for	24	would expect the equity allocated to this
25	two groups of companies, all companies	25	business to have declined over time. The
	Page 34		Page 36
1	excluding Primmum and Security National, and	1	data show otherwise. So that tends to
2	only the companies that reported average	2	dismiss that argument. So, could it be
3	positive ROEs over the entire period." So,	3	still unprofitable and your allocation of
4	when the realized ROEs exceeded the ROEs	4	equity of capital could have increased?
5	that were estimated to be appropriate, that	5	Possibly, if this is now being used as a
6	equals overpayment?	6	loss leader. But it appears, based on the
7	DR. LAZAR:	7	individual insurance companies, that this
8	A. Yes.	8	might be the case only for the TD
9	MR. FELTHAM:	9	subsidiaries, not for the others.
10	Q. Okay. And then, page 29, that brings us to	10	In the case of Intact, which had
11	your sort of final results, if you will.	11	negative returns on equity I think the last
12	And if we look at the chart at the top, I	12	two years, maybe three years, it appears in
13	guess there's sort of two options, I'll call	13	their case that Intact has been quite
14	them options. You either—you have the	14	aggressive in competing for market share in
15	results with the all companies excluding	15	all lines of business and has been quite
16	only Primmum and Security National or all	16	successful in doing that, especially when
17	the positive ROE companies? Am I reading	17	you look at their aggregate profitability
18	that correctly?	18	and the – while I haven't followed their
19	DR. LAZAR:	19	share price that closely, they seem to have
20	A. Yes.	20	done quite well over different periods of
		21	time. So that strategy seems to be working
21	MR. FELTHAM:	21	time. So that strategy seems to se working
1	MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay. So, I mean we look at that and you	21 22	for them, and I suspect if you look at the
21			for them, and I suspect if you look at the allocation of capital to this line of
21 22	Q. Okay. So, I mean we look at that and you	22	for them, and I suspect if you look at the

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 37		Page 39
1	they expect this business to be profitable	1	MR. FELTHAM:
2	in the future and this is part of their	2	Q. Okay. And okay, on page nine, this is your
3	strategy. They believe they have an	3	review of the Oliver Wyman key assumptions
4	advantage in insurance in general across the	4	and one of the issues that is raised in –
5	country and they're simply trying to expand	5	that you raise and that makes its way into
6	their market share, become a more prominent		the Oliver Wyman report as well is the use
7	player to grow more rapidly and then use	7	of the ten percent ROE target, and I gather
8	whatever internal advantages they have to	8	Oliver Wyman is using that as their
9	make it more profitable.	9	benchmark. They've accepted that that's
10	But it boils down to if it's	10	what it ought to be, not to have been. And
11	unprofitable, you're exiting the business	11	the figure, as I understand it, comes from
12	unless it's a loss leader and the data do	12	benchmark setting process that this Board
13	not support that argument that it's	13	engaged in in 2005 and it's been that way
14	unprofitable and you're exiting.	13	ever since.
15	MR. FELTHAM:	15	
			But you – I'll put it this way. You
16	Q. And if we look at page 30, Dr. Lazar, that's	16	take issue with just simply using that ten
17	where I was going next, which is the capital	17	percent from 2005 as the benchmark. I
18	availability piece that you're speaking of.	18	guess, firstly, I mean, could Oliver Wyman
19	DR. LAZAR:	19	have examined whether a ten percent target
20	A. Yeah.	20	was appropriate? Is that something they
21	MR. FELTHAM:	21	could have done?
22	Q. So, here specifically what were the	22	DR. LAZAR:
23	findings?	23	A. Yeah, I mean, they have access to or could
24	DR. LAZAR:	24	have – yeah, could have easily obtained
25	A. Again, there was some decline again for all	25	access to individual company financial
	Page 38		Page 40
1	companies 2012 to 2013, but since then, it's	1	information, their accounting information.
2	been increasing steadily, even during those	2	The capital asset pricing model is widely
3	years like 2014, 2015 where profits eroded	3	known. It's been around for a long time.
4	quite substantially because of significant	4	Anyone doing any work related to finance
5	increase in claims. Excluding the TD, subs	5	would know how to use it, how to apply it,
6	again decline from 2011 through to 2013 but	6	and Oliver Wyman does have experts in that
7	a significant recovery. So, there does not	7	field in their consulting operations. Also,
8	appear to be any indication from these data	8	it would not have been a difficult exercise
9	that there has been any sort of continuous	9	if they had been asked, and I suspect they
10	long term decline in the allocation of	10	weren't asked, but if they had been asked to
11	capital to this line of business in	11	look at was the ten percent return on equity
12	Newfoundland and Labrador.	12	still appropriate in light of financial
13	MR. FELTHAM:	13	market developments from 2005 to the present
14	Q. And Doctor, I'd like now to turn to look at	14	time.
15	little bit at your assessment of some of the	15	(10:00 a.m.)
16	Oliver Wyman conclusions in their	16	MR. FELTHAM:
17	profitability study. To start, we can go to	17	Q. And if I just go to that under "Key
18	page nine of your report, and I guess we'll	18	Assumptions" on page nine, the bottom
19	just confirm, but you reviewed as part of	18	paragraph says "The key assumptions involve
	, , ,	20	
. // 1	the work that you did, and this makes its		claim costs, operating costs and ROI". That's Oliver Wyman's key assumptions you
20	more into gome of more marget the Dunfit and		
21	way into some of your report, the Profit and	21	
21 22	Rate Adequacy Review, March 29 2018, that	22	refer to there, right?
21 22 23	Rate Adequacy Review, March 29 2018, that Oliver Wyman has done for this Board?	22 23	refer to there, right? DR. LAZAR:
21 22	Rate Adequacy Review, March 29 2018, that	22	refer to there, right?

Page 41 MR. FELTHAM: 1 practices? Look at technology and how this 1 2 2 And "OW, Oliver Wyman, should have included will affect how the insurance market should 3 3 ROE, but apparently they were not asked operate, could operate, and build those into 4 4 whether the ten percent after tax ROE the analysis. 5 introduced in 2005 was appropriate 5 And then return on investment, well, 6 throughout the period 2007 to 2017." And 6 you look at the GISA data or MSA data and 7 7 you just referred to that. "We do examine you look at the accounting data that Oliver 8 8 this question for if the target ROE should Wyman used and you say, well, you know, the 9 9 have been set at a different level each differences are too large. They should have 10 year, the premium adequacy, the estimates of 10 then examined why and adjusted accordingly. Oliver Wyman would be misleading." So, how So, if they're going to use the past to 11 11 12 so? Why might they have been misleading 12 try to estimate what is – you know, what's then? What do you mean? 13 the nature of the under or overpayments of 13 14 DR. LAZAR: 14 premiums going forward, then you have to 15 I mean, if Oliver Wyman was simply 15 address these questions and that's where Eli A. presenting here are the facts over this and I took issue with her analysis. 16 16 17 period of time, this is what happened, 17 MR. FELTHAM: 18 without commentary whether premiums were 18 Q. And if I can take you to page 16 of your 19 adequate or not, whether there's 19 report under 3.0. I gather this is the 20 20 portion of your report where you provide overpayments or underpayments, say "here are 21 the data; here are the facts" without 21 some detailed consideration of what the 22 commentary, I mean, I would have had no 22 appropriate ROE target level ought to be is 23 problems with it. But they then proceeded 23 where this begins I believe? 24 to try to estimate what the under or 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 overpayments of insurance premiums might be 25 Α. Yes. Page 42 Page 44 1 in 2017 based on the data that they used and 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 that's where we begin to take issue. 2 What page are you at? I'm sorry. If they're going to now look at the 3 3 MR. FELTHAM: 4 adequacy of premiums, then you have to start 4 Q. Page 16. 5 with the following: one, is the return on 5 DR. LAZAR: 6 equity that's permitted by the Board 6 Yes. A. 7 appropriate in light of what's happened in 7 MR. FELTHAM: 8 financial markets? You know, going back 8 So, firstly, I note that you refer here and vou quote fairly extensively to some 9 2009-2010, people have said well, the 9 decisions of this Board. You refer to 10 interest rate – interest rates sort of 10 normally low. This is really an aberration. those. Can you take us through that a 11 11 They're going to pop back up to historical little bit and why – give us some context of 12 12 levels. We've been hearing that now for why are you making those references? What 13 13 nine years and it hasn't changed much. So, does that help us understand? 14 14 15 DR. LAZAR: what's an aberration? When will interest 15 16 rates return to those levels? So, one had 16 A. As you say that this Board, like regulatory 17 to look at this is not a short term 17 boards not only dealing with auto insurance but with other areas, electric utilities, 18 aberration in having historically low 18 19 interest rates. This seems to have been a 19 gas utilities, et cetera, across Canada and 20 fundamental change. And that should then across Canada US, have recognized 20 increasingly, probably over the past 10-15 21 21 translate into what might have been years, that the capital asset pricing model 22 appropriate return on equities, just plain 22 23 23 is the tool to use to try to determine what common sense. Second, expense ratio. Why use 24 24 is an appropriate return on equity for these historical values? Why not look at best regulated entities. And I'm just using the 25 25

Septe	mber 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 45		Page 47
1	Board's decision here. So, they acknowledge	1	business. You might as well use your
2	that this is the case. They accept this and	2	capital and invest it in that government
3	they believe going forward this is the model	3	bond. So, what's the risk that the company
4	that should be used.	4	undertakes for which it expects to be
5	MR. FELTHAM:	5	compensated and hence earn a return on its
6	Q. And I gather this kind of work, estimating a	6	equity investment over and above that risk-
7	benchmark ROE like this, this is not the	7	free asset. So, that's the starting point.
8	work of an actuary? It's not who typically	8	And you then sort of look at the actual
9	does that?	9	profitability of that company, relate it to
10	DR. LAZAR:	10	the sort of return of a market portfolio.
11	A. It's finance. This is sort of the area of	11	In Canada, you use the S&P TSX. If you're
12	expertise of a Professor Prisman. I teach	12	doing the US, probably would use the S&P.
13	this as well, but it's, you know, really the	13	So, you run a relationship to find out
14	workhorse of finance. Every first year	14	how risky is this particular company
15	finance course, this is how you determine	15	compared to the market in total and you
16	the risk profile of companies. This is how	16	estimate as a result what's called beta.
17	you determine what should be their target	17	That's an estimate of a risk profile. If
18	return on equity and that's get based –	18	this company is as risky as the market, the
19	built into what should be the weighted	19	beta will be equal to one which means that
20	average cost of capital for companies.	20	the return on equity should be that risk-
21	MR. FELTHAM:	21	free return plus what has been the excess
22	Q. And explain a little bit, if you could,	22	return of investing in a market portfolio of
23	and you know, I know it's pretty elementary,	23	equities less that risk-free return. That's
24	but the capital asset pricing model, that's	24	your premium, your risk premium. If the
25	what was utilized in this case to come up	25	beta is less than one that means this
	Page 46		Page 48
1 2	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or	1	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have
1 2 3	Page 46	1 2	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the
2	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever -	1	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that
2 3 4	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	1 2 3 4	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be
2 3 4 5	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM:	1 2 3 4 5	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a
2 3 4 5 6	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM:
2 3 4 5 6	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR:	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little risk. It's almost impure risk.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR: A. The pitfalls, you could both ways.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little risk. It's almost impure risk. Then the question becomes for a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR: A. The pitfalls, you could both ways. Financial markets change, year to year, over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little risk. It's almost impure risk. Then the question becomes for a particular company. For a company's equity,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR: A. The pitfalls, you could both ways. Financial markets change, year to year, over time, and the risk premium that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little risk. It's almost impure risk. Then the question becomes for a particular company. For a company's equity, for example, what should be the return?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR: A. The pitfalls, you could both ways. Financial markets change, year to year, over time, and the risk premium that you're adding to that risk-free rate will also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little risk. It's almost impure risk. Then the question becomes for a particular company. For a company's equity, for example, what should be the return? Well, the return should be over time, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR: A. The pitfalls, you could both ways. Financial markets change, year to year, over time, and the risk premium that you're adding to that risk-free rate will also vary, as will the risk-free rate. So, from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: QROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little risk. It's almost impure risk. Then the question becomes for a particular company. For a company's equity, for example, what should be the return? Well, the return should be over time, the company should do better than that risk-free	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR: A. The pitfalls, you could both ways. Financial markets change, year to year, over time, and the risk premium that you're adding to that risk-free rate will also vary, as will the risk-free rate. So, from a regular regulatory point of view, you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 46 with what the appropriate target or benchmark, whatever - DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q ROE ought to have been over time. Give us a little overview of how that works. DR. LAZAR: A. Essentially what you're trying to do for a company is a risk-free rate of return. That's usually taken as interest rate on some government bond. It could be short term government bond. It could be sort of a medium term government bond. But we find an asset class that we can agree the return on that asset class has little risk. Never going to be a zero risk, but it's little risk. It's almost impure risk. Then the question becomes for a particular company. For a company's equity, for example, what should be the return? Well, the return should be over time, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 48 company's investment opportunities have proven to be somewhat less risky than the market in total and therefore the risk that you add to the risk-free rate will be somewhat less than for the market as a whole. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And I'll take you through a little bit then on the findings here, but before we get there, and I don't want to belabour this point too much, but I mean, I guess, why is it important – why is it important to know the appropriate estimated target ROE versus assuming one from 2005 is appropriate? What's the pitfalls if we use that 2005 figure? What do we run the risk of? DR. LAZAR: A. The pitfalls, you could both ways. Financial markets change, year to year, over time, and the risk premium that you're adding to that risk-free rate will also vary, as will the risk-free rate. So, from

Septer	nber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 49		Page 51
1	appropriate return on equity for their	1	interest rates. So, that's a straight
2	investment class. There'll be some	2	forward calculation. Calculating the beta
3	companies that'll do better. They're more	3	requires having data on individual
4	efficient. They do better on their	4	companies, looking at their profitability
5	investment portfolio. They get lucky on	5	and what we call in economics and finance,
6	their claims. Some will do poor. But what	6	running the regression, running – using that
7	you want to do is here's the average that	7	as your dependent variable and running that
8	you're going to use for the industry. That	8	against your independent variable which is
9	then sets a maximum for the premiums. What	9	simply the realized return on a market
10	the company has set, they can set lower	10	portfolio. So, you run that statistical
11	premiums for various reasons.	11	relationship. You come up with an estimate
12	If financial markets are stable,	12	of beta which is a measure of the risk,
13	interest rates remain constant, the market's	13	riskiness of this particular line of
14	risk premium remains constant, using	14	business in this province.
15	historically determined return on equity for	15	So again, straightforward procedure.
16	regulatory purposes is fine. But since	16	The Excel file has the ability to do this
17	financial markets aren't stable, then it's	17	type of statistical analysis. But what's
18	appropriate to take these changes into	18	required, again, three pieces of
19	account.	19	information. The data for companies, that's
20	The work we did for FSCO, we argued	20	what we relied on MSA to provide us with
21	there that we don't want to change return on	21	that information. Some measure of the risk-
22	equity year to year because you get too much	22	free rate, there are different
23	variability in the markets. What you want	23	possibilities. According to Eli, the one
24	to do, our preferred suggestion for them	24	that we use is most appropriate and most
25		25	
1		23	
	Page 50	23	Page 52
1	Page 50 you know, we didn't have enough data here to		widely used and again it's derived from Bank
	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our		widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely
1	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a	1	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the
1 2	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our	1 2	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from
1 2 3	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a	1 2 3	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the
1 2 3 4	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago.	1 2 3 4	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that
1 2 3 4 5	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your	1 2 3 4 5	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So,
1 2 3 4 5 6	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow	1 2 3 4 5 6	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta".	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta". And so, take us then through here the rest	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted competitive ROEs say, ought to have been, I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta". And so, take us then through here the rest of your estimation process in this instance.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted competitive ROEs say, ought to have been, I guess, for 2011 to 2016, and we've got
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta". And so, take us then through here the rest of your estimation process in this instance. You've identified the key variables.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted competitive ROEs say, ought to have been, I guess, for 2011 to 2016, and we've got numbers from a low of 5.85 up as high as
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta". And so, take us then through here the rest of your estimation process in this instance. You've identified the key variables. DR. LAZAR:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted competitive ROEs say, ought to have been, I guess, for 2011 to 2016, and we've got numbers from a low of 5.85 up as high as 7.84—sorry, no, a low of 3.75 in 2016. I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta". And so, take us then through here the rest of your estimation process in this instance. You've identified the key variables. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. So, the risk-free rate we basically	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted competitive ROEs say, ought to have been, I guess, for 2011 to 2016, and we've got numbers from a low of 5.85 up as high as 7.84—sorry, no, a low of 3.75 in 2016. I guess what strikes me about these is that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta". And so, take us then through here the rest of your estimation process in this instance. You've identified the key variables. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. So, the risk-free rate we basically used sort of the average of the expected	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted competitive ROEs say, ought to have been, I guess, for 2011 to 2016, and we've got numbers from a low of 5.85 up as high as 7.84—sorry, no, a low of 3.75 in 2016. I guess what strikes me about these is that none of them are 10.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know, we didn't have enough data here to do it for Newfoundland and Labrador, but our preferred suggestion for them was to take a ten-year rolling average. So, new year data become available. You add that into your average. You drop the data ten years ago. That gives you more stability in that return on equity over time, but it also will allow that return on equity to track what is actually happening in financial markets. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And at the bottom of page 18, last paragraph, there you say "As noted in Appendix 2, there are three key variables required to estimate the ROE", and I think you've gone over those, "risk-free interest rate, risk premium and an estimate of beta". And so, take us then through here the rest of your estimation process in this instance. You've identified the key variables. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. So, the risk-free rate we basically	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	widely used and again it's derived from Bank of Canada data. So, that's widely available. And then a market return, the TSX, the S&P TSX index with a return from year to year, again widely available. So, those data there simply run that relationship to come up with an estimate of beta that we then use to determine the return, what should be the return on equity for the individual companies and for the industry as whole. (10:15 a.m.) MR. FELTHAM: Q. And, Doctor, in that regard, if we go to Table 11 on page 19, these are the results, I'll say, so you got the risk adjusted, what you've calculated the risk adjusted competitive ROEs say, ought to have been, I guess, for 2011 to 2016, and we've got numbers from a low of 5.85 up as high as 7.84—sorry, no, a low of 3.75 in 2016. I guess what strikes me about these is that

	mber 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 53		Page 55
1	the time 10 was set, you know, interest	1	the profitability of these companies and it
2	rates were significantly higher than they	2	would factor into the rate setting process,
3	are at this time, and that would account for	3	again, familiar with what happens in Ontario
4	the difference, you know, were interest	4	and their ROI is a key variable in that rate
5	rates 3 or 4 percentage points higher,	5	determination process.
6	that's all that would be required to get to	6	MR. FELTHAM:
7	your 10 number. Most likely, they were.	7	Q. And why do you say Oliver Wyman's assumption
8	The other factor, I think, was the beta that	8	on it were unrealistically low?
9	was used was 1, ours was about .8, .83, so	9	DR. LAZAR:
10	that would tend to move the return on equity	10	A. Again, you know, we derive the ROIs from the
11	somewhat lower, but the key driver was that	11	GISA data, sort of at the aggregate industry
12	risk-free rate.	12	level, and the reported returns on equity
13	MR. FELTHAM:	13	for the companies and we use equity as a
14	Q. And so, I'm trying to explain this in a	14	proxy for sort of the reserves they had
15	simple manner, I guess, or summarize this in		available. The reason we made that
16	a simple manner, but instead of utilizing a	16	assumption, and that was the assumption
17	10 percent figure, as a ROE in these years,	17	built into FSCO's rate determination
18	if we were looking at premium sufficiency	18	process, so we just sort of extrapolated it
19	relative to rate inadequacies, instead of	19	to the case in Newfoundland and Labrador,
20	using 10, you would say we should be using	20	and the numbers generated from those
21	these numbers?	21	aggregate data were well above the Oliver
22	DR. LAZAR:	22	Wyman data. I think the difference and
23	A. Again, subject to the proviso I said before,	23	again, I haven't done any sort of detailed
24	that our preference would have been if you	24	analysis, is that Oliver Wyman is using as
25	had historical data, do 10-year rolling	25	returns dividends and interest cash
	Page 54		
1	Page 54 averages.	_	Page 56
1 2	averages.	1	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's
2	averages. MR. FELTHAM:	1 2	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses
2 3	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right.	1	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely
2 3 4	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR:	1 2 3 4	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes,
2 3 4 5	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data	1 2	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's
2 3 4	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do	1 2 3 4 5	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and
2 3 4 5 6	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's
2 3 4 5 6 7	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 56 received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9 make it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations. MR. FELTHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9 make it clear that Oliver Wyman's assumptions for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations. MR. FELTHAM: A. And below this paragraph at the top of page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9 make it clear that Oliver Wyman's assumptions for ROI", return on investment, "are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations. MR. FELTHAM: A. And below this paragraph at the top of page 20, there are some more references, quotes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9 make it clear that Oliver Wyman's assumptions for ROI", return on investment, "are unrealistically low." And I guess I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations. MR. FELTHAM: A. And below this paragraph at the top of page 20, there are some more references, quotes from the Board's 2005 benchmark decision and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9 make it clear that Oliver Wyman's assumptions for ROI", return on investment, "are unrealistically low." And I guess I'm trying to break this down as much as I can.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations. MR. FELTHAM: A. And below this paragraph at the top of page 20, there are some more references, quotes from the Board's 2005 benchmark decision and I want to refer you to the sort of second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9 make it clear that Oliver Wyman's assumptions for ROI", return on investment, "are unrealistically low." And I guess I'm trying to break this down as much as I can. Why is the ROI important?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations. MR. FELTHAM: A. And below this paragraph at the top of page 20, there are some more references, quotes from the Board's 2005 benchmark decision and I want to refer you to the sort of second paragraph, it begins with "The Board agrees"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	averages. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. When we did the work for FSCO, we had data going back to about 1993, '94 so we could do that. That's not the case here, but if you take just a five-year average, six-year average, six percent, that would have been much more appropriate than a 10 percent number, that would be your starting point. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And if we go to the next page, page 20. And here this gets into the return on investment portion and I'll start at the top, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9"—which is, just for reference purposes, is back on page 15, you say, "The comparisons in Table 9 make it clear that Oliver Wyman's assumptions for ROI", return on investment, "are unrealistically low." And I guess I'm trying to break this down as much as I can.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	received. At the GISA data level, what's included there are capital gains and losses recorded from year to year. Mostly likely because that's required for tax purposes, and there is trading in these assets that's not a matter of they'll buy an asset and stick with it. And the gap tends to reflect the fact that most, if not all insurance companies, invest a significant part of that portfolio in equities and they do so because of the higher expected returns on equity over time and the capital gains to be received, that's why that earlier table looked at the returns on the market portfolio to look at how that's bounced around and the importance of that for the ROI calculations. MR. FELTHAM: A. And below this paragraph at the top of page 20, there are some more references, quotes from the Board's 2005 benchmark decision and I want to refer you to the sort of second

Page 57 Page 59 testifying in that hearing at the time. 1 1 Paula Elliott, who was here on behalf of 2 "The ROI should reflect to the extent 2 Oliver Wyman, the actuary, he asked her 3 possible the actual investment practices of 3 about that and both seemed to take issue 4 Canadian automobile insurers and should bear 4 with the notion of 6 percent being used by 5 an internal consistency to ROE in the 5 FSCO. Can you explain that? I mean, how 6 benchmarking process." So I gather you've 6 did that get in there? 7 directed us to that because that's what that 7 DR. LAZAR: 8 8 is telling us, it's the same thing. This is A. That was the number given to Eli and myself 9 the manner in which you should—you should 9 by FSCO when we did the original work, what, 10 actually look at actual investment 10 I think 2013? So it's not a number that we 11 practices. 11 made up, it was a number they gave us, they 12 12 DR. LAZAR: showed us how they incorporated into the 13 formula. When I did the first update for 13 Yes. I mean that's what is built into the 14 GISA data and you would expect the return on 14 the Ontario Trial Lawyers in, I think 2016, 15 investments to track the ROEs and the gaps, 15 I'm trying to remember the time period don't know how small or large they might be, again, I went back to some contacts at FSCO 16 16 17 but again if you think of it logically, and asked them what ROI are you using now? 17 18 you're an insurance business and you're 18 And they informed me that it was still 6 19 investing a certain amount of equity, there 19 percent, so again, it's a number that came 20 are going to be regulatory tax reasons for 20 directly from FSCO. I'm not aware of their 21 doing so, and you're going to expect a 21 publishing it anywhere, but that's the 22 certain return on this investment. And a 22 number they used in the rate determination 23 23 significant return is going to be generated process, that's the number they gave Eli and 24 by the cash you have available for a period 24 me when we did the work, that's the number 25 of time and it appears, according to Oliver 25 they gave to me in 2016. Has it changed in Page 58 Page 60 Wyman the cash generated by the premiums 1 1 the past year or two? Possibly, maybe, I available for about 2.3 years on average, so 2 2 don't know, but up to 2016 that was the 3 you're going to invest that to generate a 3 number. 4 MR. FELTHAM: return to boost your profitability, which 4 5 says that you can run this business and your 5 Okay. And then carrying on down the page, 6 underwriting profits might be zero or close the next section in the report is "General 6 7 to zero and it's still profitable because 7 Operating Expenses of the Insurers". I'd 8 8 like to get into that a little bit. What you got that cash that you can invest. Well 9 9 if you're simply breaking even on the was your assessment here of the operating 10 underwriting side and you have a target ROE 10 expenses and what were your findings? DR. LAZAR: of 10 percent, you're going to expect to do 11 11 12 10 percent or better on your investment 12 Α. Those are two issues. One, from a portfolio. You will tolerate a slightly 13 13 regulatory point of view what you want to do is create incentives for companies to be 14 lower return on the investment portfolio if 14 15 you're actually operating with some 15 efficient. If you set an industry average 16 underwriting profit. So that would boost 16 and you're going to base that average on your overall return on equity. one, if not two factors. One, what are the 17 17 MR. FELTHAM: best practices in this industry, whether 18 18 19 And, Doctor, staying on this page for a 19 it's across Canada, whether it's across Q. 20 moment, a little further down in the third 20 Canada and the US. Do the investigation. 21 paragraph, there's the third sentence, "In 21 find out who is doing the best, what is the 22 Ontario, the regulator, FSCO, has been using most efficient cost structure, and then you 22 23 an ROI assumption of 6 percent to set 23 can probably say, well, okay, you'd like all 24 premiums." So I want to ask you about that, 24 insurance companies to trend towards that, Mr. Stamp, counsel for the IBC, was asking but you'll set an operating expense ratio 25 25

Page 61 1 slightly above it, which will tend to be 1 A. Yeah, but again, we have sort of a history 2 lower than what's currently in practice. 2 of companies and industries that are 3 And that was an argument we also made with 3 overtaken by technology and, you know, it's 4 FSCO, they had used 25 percent for a long 4 one thing if that technology did not exist, 5 5 period of time and we asked them have you was not developed, but it's quite a simple 6 actually explored those practices? And they 6 matter to do a quick cost comparison of 7 7 said, yeah, we know there are companies that insurance and it's a simple matter for, you 8 8 do much better than that, but we haven't know, an uber type, amazon type platform to 9 9 changed it. So that's the first, you know, be created for consumers to be matched up 10 you want to lower this to provide incentive 10 with the lowest cost suppliers, taking into 11 to become more efficient and those that are 11 account that service element. 12 extremely efficient will have a higher ROE. 12 (10:30 a.m.) 13 those that are less efficient, a lower ROE, MR. FELTHAM: 13 14 but that's what you want to do. The second 14 And, Dr. Lazar, just to wrap up on the O. 15 is take into account technology. What I've 15 Oliver Wyman examination, on page 22, this 16 read in the Oliver Wyman report, commissions 16 is your conclusion in this area and you note 17 are 12 percent, I look at the technology in here four times that you say lead to Oliver 17 18 this industry and say, going forward, 18 Wyman reaching a conclusion of premium 19 commissions are going to be driven down 19 inadequacy. You say, "Oliver Wyman's 20 towards zero. The technology exists today 20 estimates of the supposed inadequacy of the 21 and it's only a matter of time until they 21 premiums for auto insurance resulted from 22 essentially disrupt the current brokerage 22 the following key assumptions: excessive ROE of 10 percent"—and we've talked about 23 system for better, for worse. All of this 23 24 can be done on-line, the information is 24 that, but it's not been calculated, it's 25 available. We see a number of US companies 25 just assumed from 2005—"unrealistically low Page 64 Page 62 doing this, we even begin to see some 1 1 pre-tax investment income returns", you've 2 2 Canadian companies, say you want a talked about that, but using investment 3 comparison of rates, that's easy to do. If 3 income returns and their calculations that 4 4 vou look at automobile insurance, it's you say are too low, "the claims ratio being 5 largely a commodity. The only differences 5 out of line with the GISA estimate", and 6 are really on the service side and from a 6 finally, "the operating expenses being in 7 consumer point of view how quickly, 7 the 25 percent range", rather than being 8 8 thoroughly, does an insurance company deal more competitively placed, I guess. And 9 with a claim and individuals might pay a 9 then, so what did those assumptions lead to 10 premium for better service; otherwise, the 10 on the part of Oliver Wyman? What was the basic insurance policy, a commodity. So outcome as a result of those things? 11 11 from an expense point of view what are the DR. LAZAR: 12 12 best practices, so let's adopt an expense 13 13 A. Well, again, given the assumptions they made 14 ratio slightly above it and try to reduce it 14 that would sort of bias sort of their 15 over time to encourage companies to become 15 premium as they estimated a premium, 16 more efficient; and second, let's take into 16 underpayments, you know, bias results in 17 account the role of technology, how that 17 that direction, bias re: their estimated 18 return on equities, again, towards a 18 will impact costs. 19 MR. FELTHAM: 19 negative number. You know, I did some 20 20 simple calculations using basically, okay, 0. And I'll say this, I gather, at least in 21 this province, a lot of insurance companies 21 here's how we measure profits, return and 22 have got into the business of buying 22 equity, what are the implications? So if 23 brokerages, so they may not want the 23 you were to set premiums related to the 24 commission to trend towards zero. 24 claims expected in the following year, how 25 DR. LAZAR: 25 much higher, you know, how much higher

1 .	Page 65		Page 67
1	should the premiums be over those expected	1	June of 2017.
2	claims? And it varies considerably,	2	STAMP, Q.C.:
3	depending on the assumptions, and I use some	3	Q. And how were you contacted?
4	assumptions with regards to the expense	4	DR. LAZAR:
5	ratio, return on investment, return on	5	A. I'm sorry, you will have to speak a little
6	equity, and what you get is premiums should	6	louder.
7	be anywhere from the low end assumptions,	7	STAMP, Q.C.:
8	about 23 percent above the expected claims	8	Q. How were you contacted?
9	for the year, upwards to, I think 42 percent	9	DR. LAZAR:
10	higher. So depending on the sets of	10	A. I don't think it was Colin's, Colin's
11	assumptions, how you set the premiums,	11	colleague contacted I think Eli Prisman
12	there's a substantial differential. So if	12	initially and asked if he was interested in
13	you use more realistic assumptions, premiums	13	sort of trying the work that he and I had
14	are going to be set at a much lower ratio to	14	done for FSCO and the Ontario Trial Lawyers
15	claims expected than if you use the type of	15	Associate to explore, to examine the case in
16	assumptions that Oliver Wyman used.	16	Newfoundland and Labrador.
17	MR. FELTHAM:	17	STAMP, Q.C.:
18	Q. Thank you, Doctor. I don't have any	18	Q. Okay, and when did you actually become
19	additional questions and concludes the	19	engaged to do that very thing?
20	presentation portion, Madam Chair, and,	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	Doctor, there may be some questions for you	21	A. I think it was I that period, May, June.
22	from other parties.	22	STAMP, Q.C.:
23	DR. LAZAR:	23	Q. So you began to do—the paper we're looking
24	A. Pleasure to entertain them.	24	at here is dated July 2018?
25	O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.:	25	DR. LAZAR:
	Page 66		Page 68
			F49E 06
1 1	O Madam Chair Mr Gittens advised me that he	1	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Madam Chair, Mr. Gittens advised me that he would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be	1 2	A. Yes.
2	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be	2	A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar.	2 3	A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year
2 3 4	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR:	2 3 4	A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that?
2 3 4 5	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize,	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.STAMP, Q.C.:Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that?DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially
2 3 4 5 6 7	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right, so when you say Sections, 2, 3,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that. DR. LAZAR: A. Well if you bear with me, I'll open up this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right, so when you say Sections, 2, 3, 4, I'm just trying to make sure I'm clear,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that. DR. LAZAR: A. Well if you bear with me, I'll open up this file and try to get some sense of this. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right, so when you say Sections, 2, 3, 4, I'm just trying to make sure I'm clear, what are Sections 2, 3, 4 in this report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that. DR. LAZAR: A. Well if you bear with me, I'll open up this file and try to get some sense of this. It appears sometime June—May of 2017.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right, so when you say Sections, 2, 3, 4, I'm just trying to make sure I'm clear, what are Sections 2, 3, 4 in this report? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that. DR. LAZAR: A. Well if you bear with me, I'll open up this file and try to get some sense of this. It appears sometime June—May of 2017. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right, so when you say Sections, 2, 3, 4, I'm just trying to make sure I'm clear, what are Sections 2, 3, 4 in this report? DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah, here it's just looking at the ROEs for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that. DR. LAZAR: A. Well if you bear with me, I'll open up this file and try to get some sense of this. It appears sometime June—May of 2017. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Did you say June or May of 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right, so when you say Sections, 2, 3, 4, I'm just trying to make sure I'm clear, what are Sections 2, 3, 4 in this report? DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah, here it's just looking at the ROEs for auto insurance companies in the province,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would have to leave at 10:00, so he won't be asking any questions of Dr. Lazar. CHAIR: Q. Okay. That's fine, thank you. Mr. Fraize, I guess. FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. We have no questions. CHAIR: Q. Okay. Mr. Stamp. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Dr. Lazar, just to clarify, can you tell me when you were engaged for this work, please? DR. LAZAR: A. Well the exact date? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. If you have that. DR. LAZAR: A. Well if you bear with me, I'll open up this file and try to get some sense of this. It appears sometime June—May of 2017. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Are you saying you spent more than a year doing that? DR. LAZAR: A. No. The original report was essentially what would have been Sections 3 and 4, whatever, and in this current report, let me just go back and be exact, my conclusions, referred to as Sections 2, 3, and 4 and then Oliver Wyman's report was made public and we were re-approached to examine that report and make comments on that. So the report you have is essentially our original report, including the section of our commentary on the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right, so when you say Sections, 2, 3, 4, I'm just trying to make sure I'm clear, what are Sections 2, 3, 4 in this report? DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah, here it's just looking at the ROEs for

Bepter	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 69		Page 71
1	Q. What page is that at, Mr. Lazar, please, I'm	1	issue, was that Mr. Prisman's work
2	just trying to make sure I'm clear.	2	essentially?
3	DR. LAZAR:	3	DR. LAZAR:
4	A. Sorry, it would be—so the original report	4	A. Sorry?
5	J ,	5	•
1	focussed on looking at the ROEs for	l	STAMP, Q.C.:
6	automobile insurance companies operating in	6	Q. Was the ROE essentially Mr. Prisman's?
7	Newfoundland and Labrador, determining the		DR. LAZAR:
8	appropriate return on equities, looking at	8	A. Again, Eli did sort of the statistical of
9	the question of premium overpayments and	9	calculations. I'm fully capable of doing
10	capital adequacy. So our original report	10	that, but you know, he assisted in doing it,
11	dealt strictly with those issues. Obviously	11	so I had no difficulty, and then the writing
12	the Oliver Wyman report was not available at	12	of the report, based on those results, was a
13	that time and our report did not include any	13	joint effort.
14	commentary, any discussion about the Oliver	14	STAMP, Q.C.:
1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	l	
15	Wyman report.	15	Q. You said in your evidence earlier,
16	STAMP, Q.C.:	16	"estimating ROE is Prisman's, is a workhorse
17	Q. So what was the date of that first report?	17	of finance."
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	DR. LAZAR:
19	A. I'm sorry?	19	A. Yes.
20	STAMP, Q.C.:	20	STAMP, Q.C.:
21	Q. What was the date of the first report?	21	Q. So Prisman did that work?
22	DR. LAZAR:	22	DR. LAZAR:
23	A. Again, let me go back. First report, it	23	A. He calculated the beta I the capital asset
24	would look sometime, sort of mid July 2017.	24	pricing model and the risk-free rates.
1		25	
25	It appears to be the case, yes.	23	STAMP, Q.C.:
	Page 70		Page 72
1	Page 70 STAMP, Q.C.:	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 72
	STAMP, Q.C.:	l	Page 72 Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his
2 3	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who?	2 3	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing
2 3 4 5	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time	2 3 4 5	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to
2 3 4 5 6	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your 	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used
2 3 4 5 6 7	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 72 Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 72 Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 72 Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And one of the focusses was ROE, you say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR: A. Silence until sometime early in 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And one of the focusses was ROE, you say? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR: A. Silence until sometime early in 2018. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And one of the focusses was ROE, you say? DR. LAZAR: A. It was essentially everything in this report 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR: A. Silence until sometime early in 2018. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And one of the focusses was ROE, you say? DR. LAZAR: A. It was essentially everything in this report except a discussion, commentary on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR: A. Silence until sometime early in 2018. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And one of the focusses was ROE, you say? DR. LAZAR: A. It was essentially everything in this report except a discussion, commentary on the Oliver Wyman report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 72 Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR: A. Silence until sometime early in 2018. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. And again —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And one of the focusses was ROE, you say? DR. LAZAR: A. It was essentially everything in this report except a discussion, commentary on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR: A. Silence until sometime early in 2018. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And that was a former report, like this one submitted to Mr. Feltham or to who? DR. LAZAR: A. I think Colin was our liaison at the time and I apologize, I forget the name of your colleague, and it was submitted to them and we said thank you. And then many months later, they came back to us, I guess because these hearings were announced and there was the Oliver Wyman report. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So this first report you prepared was, you think, submitted, dated and submitted in around July, 2017? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And one of the focusses was ROE, you say? DR. LAZAR: A. It was essentially everything in this report except a discussion, commentary on the Oliver Wyman report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay, that wasn't your work, that was his work? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again, I'm fully capable of doing that, it's not something that is foreign to me and it's not something I haven't used myself. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, but you didn't do it in this case, he did it? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So then when were you contacted—you did that report in July of 2017, submitted it to either Mr. Feltham or one of his colleagues, and then what happened after that? DR. LAZAR: A. Silence until sometime early in 2018. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. And again —

Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 73		Page 75
1	Lazar?	1	included for the calculation and the
2	DR. LAZAR:	2	differences were for the higher limit we
3	A. It would probably have to be, what's the	3	excluded, not only the TD subsidiaries, but
4	date of the Oliver Wyman report? Oliver	4	I think there were three other companies
5	Wyman report is March 29th, so it was	5	that were excluded, personal insurance, I
6	probably sometime in April.	6	think Intact and there was there another
7	STAMP, Q.C.:	7	one? I believe that's it, yes.
8	Q. Okay, and so you had between April, sometime	8	STAMP, Q.C.:
9	and April you were contacted, you think, do	9	Q. And if you looked at the upper limit, what
10	you know when that was?	10	was the lower limit?
11	DR. LAZAR:	11	DR. LAZAR:
12	A. When exactly in April?	12	A. The lower limit –
13	STAMP, Q.C.:	13	STAMP, Q.C.:
14	Q. Yes.	14	Q. For comparison to 54 to 91 being the upper
15	DR. LAZAR:	15	limit, what would be the lower limit?
16	A. I'm just going by some of these dates here,	16	DR. LAZAR:
17	all I can say is sometime early April.	17	A. The lower limit would be from zero upwards,
18	STAMP, Q.C.:	18	•
		19	the only way we could ever determine the
19	Q. And then your report is dated July, when was	l .	actual number, as I said before, is if we
20	it actually submitted? What date in July?	20	knew what the premiums that were permissible
21	DR. LAZAR:	21	aggregated overall companies were in each
22	A. The report, I think early July, July 12th	22	year, and what the premiums could have been
23	thereabouts, again just going by the dates	23	using different sets of assumptions.
24	that I have here.	24	STAMP, Q.C.:
25	STAMP, Q.C.:	25	Q. On that very point that premiums that are
	Page 74		Page 76
1	Q. So should I take it from this that you spent	1	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any
2	Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report?	2	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to
1	Q. So should I take it from this that you spent	2 3	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted?
2	Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report?	2	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to
2 3	Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report?DR. LAZAR:A. No.STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No.
2 3 4	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? 	2 3 4	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5	Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report?DR. LAZAR:A. No.STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No.
2 3 4 5	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? 	2 3 4 5 6	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium overpayment and you referred to the 92, 54 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium overpayment and you referred to the 92, 54 to 92 you said was the upper limit? DR. LAZAR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium overpayment and you referred to the 92, 54 to 92 you said was the upper limit? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I heard that. I'm trying to understand why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium overpayment and you referred to the 92, 54 to 92 you said was the upper limit? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I heard that. I'm trying to understand why you didn't look at available data the Public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium overpayment and you referred to the 92, 54 to 92 you said was the upper limit? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. 54 to 92 as an upper limit. Why is there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I heard that. I'm trying to understand why you didn't look at available data the Public Utilities —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium overpayment and you referred to the 92, 54 to 92 you said was the upper limit? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. 54 to 92 as an upper limit. Why is there such a significant range in the upper limit? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I heard that. I'm trying to understand why you didn't look at available data the Public Utilities – DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So should I take it from this that you spent about three months doing the report? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, how long? DR. LAZAR: A. How long? It was over that period of time, but I don't know the exact number of days. Five days, eight days, over that period of time, somewhere in that range. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. All right. In your report you suggest that, and I'm not sure if I—I will try and locate the exact—in your report and you spoke about this this morning, you estimated premium overpayment and you referred to the 92, 54 to 92 you said was the upper limit? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. 54 to 92 as an upper limit. Why is there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 76 permitted each year, did you make any inquiry of the Public Utilities Board to determine what premiums were permitted? DR. LAZAR: A. No. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Why didn't you do that? DR. LAZAR: A. Just using public data, we weren't going into any sort of detailed analysis. Our original, sort of, position was to look at the return on equity, what should it have been. A second was to look at the reasonableness of the expense ratios. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes, I know, I got that. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I heard that. I'm trying to understand why you didn't look at available data the Public Utilities —

	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 77		Page 79
1	Q Board has all the rates available that are	1	the top line and more companies in the
2	approved for insurers, you didn't choose to	2	bottom line, is your—if what is done here is
3	look at that?	3	added 15 and 9 and 3 and 26?
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	DR. LAZAR:
		5	
5	A. No, because again, we were studying from a		A. Yes.
6	different, not mandate, but a different sort	6	STAMP, Q.C.:
7	of approach. Even if we had looked at it,	7	Q. To 54?
8	we would then still have had to go back to	8	DR. LAZAR:
9	the regulator and say, okay now for each of	9	A. Yes.
10	these insurance companies tell us what were	10	STAMP, Q.C.:
11	their expected claims year by year. And	11	Q. And added 42 and 19 and 6 and 24 to 92?
12	then we could come up with an estimate of	12	DR. LAZAR:
13	what would be more reasonable permitted	13	A. Correct.
14	premiums. That, I think, is a task for the	14	STAMP, Q.C.:
15	Board to do or to decide whether they want	15	Q. Right. Now, you also said—I just want to
16	that done to come up with an accurate	16	try and find this for you. I can't put my
17	measure.	17	fingers right on it, but my recollection,
18	(10:45 a.m.)	18	Mr. Lazar, was that you said, there were
	,	19	
19	STAMP, Q.C.:		significant losses in '14 and '15.
20	Q. You talked about companies shifting income –	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	DR. LAZAR:	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes.	22	STAMP, Q.C.:
23	STAMP, Q.C.:	23	Q. I think you said the profits—when profits
24	Q. to the most favourable location. If	24	eroded substantially, I think you might have
25	companies have an obligation to pay taxes in	25	said, in '14/'15.
			*
	Page 78		Page 80
1	=	1	Page 80 DR. LAZAR:
	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a	1 2	- I
2	=		DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.
2 3	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR:	2	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility	2 3 4	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go
2 3 4 5	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate	2 3 4 5	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative?
2 3 4 5 6	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures.	2 3 4 5 6	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if they're negative results, the argument that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. I want to come back to your table 17,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if they're negative results, the argument that was posed to me was well, does that mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. I want to come back to your table 17, it's at page 29 of your report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if they're negative results, the argument that was posed to me was well, does that mean that there were premium underpayment and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. I want to come back to your table 17, it's at page 29 of your report. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if they're negative results, the argument that was posed to me was well, does that mean that there were premium underpayment and shouldn't that be factored out? That was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. I want to come back to your table 17, it's at page 29 of your report. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if they're negative results, the argument that was posed to me was well, does that mean that there were premium underpayment and shouldn't that be factored out? That was the presumption and I reported to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. I want to come back to your table 17, it's at page 29 of your report. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if they're negative results, the argument that was posed to me was well, does that mean that there were premium underpayment and shouldn't that be factored out? That was the presumption and I reported to that question and said okay, I'm quite happy to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this jurisdiction, for example, that's a legal obligation, is it not? DR. LAZAR: A. That's legal, but you still have flexibility with regards to how you allocate expenditures. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, you're not asserting that somebody has, sort of, cheated one province or another. DR. LAZAR: A. I made it clear at the outset that transfer pricing is legal. There are limitations imposed and companies would fully take advantage of the rules to minimize their tax liabilities. They're not doing anything illegal. It's all legal; it's all permissible. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. I want to come back to your table 17, it's at page 29 of your report. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Were the profits in '14/'15 that would go into Table 17 negative? DR. LAZAR: A. They weren't included in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, that's what I'm wondering; where are they? DR. LAZAR: A. I just ignored them. I was asked to redo this, taking those into account. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Taking, asked to take what into account? DR. LAZAR: A. The negative results in 2014/2015 and if they're negative results, the argument that was posed to me was well, does that mean that there were premium underpayment and shouldn't that be factored out? That was the presumption and I reported to that

	mber 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 81		Page 83
1	Q. Okay, I'm confused. We got to back up a	1	one can then –
2	bit. You said somebody asked you to take	2	STAMP, Q.C.:
3	them out?	3	Q. Negative twenty one million?
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	DR. LAZAR:
5	A. No, no. Someone asked, posed a question to	5	A. Negative twenty one million.
6	Eli and myself, okay, you didn't include	6	STAMP, Q.C.:
7	those negative results. Shouldn't you have	7	Q. In which line?
8	included them to refine your estimates of	8	DR. LAZAR:
9	the upper limit of the premium overpayments	9	A. 2014/2015.
10	assuming that if there were these losses	10	STAMP, Q.C.:
11	that therefore there were premium	11	Q. Which line of 2014/'15?
12	underpayments and that should have been	12	DR. LAZAR:
13	deducted from the premium overpayments to	13	A. All excluding Primmum and Security National.
14	come up with a more reasonable number? That	14	STAMP, Q.C.:
15	question was posed to us and we responded	15	Q. Twenty one, twenty one million?
16	and said, that's fine, we'll throw these in	16	DR. LAZAR:
17	and we'll show you what the numbers are and	17	A. Yes.
18	you can judge what that means for you, but	18	STAMP, Q.C.:
19	I'll have a different position on that.	19	Q. Negative?
20	STAMP, Q.C.:	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	Q. Where are those numbers thrown in?	21	A. Yes. And then the question becomes, does
22	DR. LAZAR:	22	this reflect premium underpayments?
23	A. In a response to questions submitted by the	23	STAMP, Q.C.:
24	Public Utilities Board, I'm not sure if it	24	Q. Well, it affects a poor result in '11, '12,
25	was the Board that asked that question or if	25	'13 and '16, does it not?
1	Page 82		Page 84
1	=		
1 1	ii was your organization that asked the	1	DR LAZAR·
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	it was your organization that asked the question. We responded and submitted those	$\begin{array}{c c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete
2	question. We responded and submitted those	2	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete
2 3	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he	2 3	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the
2 3 4	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is	2 3 4	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted.
2 3 4 5	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could
2 3 4 5 6	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of
2 3 4 5 6 7	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say — DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say — DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say – DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR: A. The resulting total over the period?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say — DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q a number that—is it a number that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR: A. The resulting total over the period? STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say — DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q a number that—is it a number that you created or that's published somewhere?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR: A. The resulting total over the period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, the numbers for '14 and the numbers for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say – DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q a number that—is it a number that you created or that's published somewhere? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR: A. The resulting total over the period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, the numbers for '14 and the numbers for '15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say – DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q a number that—is it a number that you created or that's published somewhere? DR. LAZAR: A. That number, that's a number we created. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR: A. The resulting total over the period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, the numbers for '14 and the numbers for '15. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say — DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q a number that—is it a number that you created or that's published somewhere? DR. LAZAR: A. That number, that's a number we created. We took the actual performance and we compared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR: A. The resulting total over the period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, the numbers for '14 and the numbers for '15. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. Roughly, again slightly different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say – DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q a number that—is it a number that you created or that's published somewhere? DR. LAZAR: A. That number, that's a number we created. We took the actual performance and we compared it to what would have been a more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question. We responded and submitted those to Colin and I just presumed that he forwarded them to everybody who is participating here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Sure, and so for '14/'15, if you have plugged in numbers in both lines, all excluding Primmum and Security National – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q and then the second line, all positive ROEs, if you'd plug numbers in there for those two years, for those two groupings, what would the numbers be? DR. LAZAR: A. The resulting total over the period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. No, the numbers for '14 and the numbers for '15. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, however, as I pointed out, the complete analysis would have been here are the premiums and aggregate that were permitted. Here are the premiums that should or could have been permitted under different set of assumptions. ROE assumptions, expense assumptions, ROI assumptions. And you take that differential which means in 2014/2105 it still would have been a positive number. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on a second now. When you did 2011, did you use a number that actually occurred, you say — DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q a number that—is it a number that you created or that's published somewhere? DR. LAZAR: A. That number, that's a number we created. We took the actual performance and we compared

September 13, 2018 Page 85 0. Right, okay, so you took your theory of 1 what your similar approach would have been 1 2 what's more appropriate and generated a 2 for '14 rather than leave it blank? number for 2011 of 15.4, is that right? 3 DR. LAZAR: 3 4 DR. LAZAR: 4 Because our arguments would be that the A. 5 5 losses that were incurred might have been Yes. Α. STAMP, Q.C.: the result of misestimating, misjudging the 6 6 7 7 And you did the same for '12 and so on? actual realized claims for the year, might 8 8 DR. LAZAR: have been the result of competitive pricing. 9 9 Correct. There may have been a whole host of other A. 10 10 STAMP, Q.C.: factors that drove those losses. These are ex-post losses compared to what was 11 And when you took your appropriate approach 11 12 anticipated at the beginning of that period. 12 on '14, what did you get? DR. LAZAR: 13 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 14 A. When you're referring to appropriate 14 0. But everybody anticipates at the start of 15 approach -15 the period, I guess, that they're going to STAMP, Q.C.: make some money. 16 16 No, I don't think it's appropriate. I'm 17 DR. LAZAR: 17 Yes, that's the essence of risk. 18 saying it's your approach. 18 A. 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Okay, which one are you referring to? 20 Sure. But I got to tell you, Mr. Lazar, you 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 got me baffled because I'm looking at this The one that gave you 15.4. 22 and saying, okay, you leave out some years 22 O. 23 DR. LAZAR: 23 because it could be explained by some bit of back luck and planets lined up badly. This 24 For that, again since the gap was negative, 24 Α. 25 we just zeroed it out. 25 is what would have happened, but you don't Page 88 Page 86 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 1 tell me the numbers. 2 2 DR LAZAR: Hold on now. You used an approach you told 3 3 We did present the numbers in response to A. me. DR. LAZAR: 4 the question. 4 5 Yes. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 6 Can I ask you to take a look at your, I 6 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. 7 7 guess these came from you, responses to I want to understand this. You used an 8 questions submitted by Public Utilities 8 approach to get 15.4. 9 9 DR. LAZAR: Board. DR. LAZAR: 10 Okay, let me backtrack. 10 Yes. The numbers are there. STAMP, O.C.: 11 11 12 Q. Sure. 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 13 DR. LAZAR: Q. Okay. Do you have that? I don't know if you have that up there. 14 When the gap between the actual ROE and our 14 15 estimate of what would be an appropriate 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 ROE, again subject to the fact that we're 16 A. I have it. 17 using annual numbers rather than a moving STAMP, O.C.: 17 18 average, when the gap was positive, that 18 Thank you, okay. So, tell me, the question 19 I guess—I can probably get the questions for produced an estimate of an upper range for 19 vou too so that we won't be confused, just 20 possible premium overpayments. When the gap 20 was negative, which was the case in 21 21 give me a second there. 2014/2015, we just zeroed it out. We did 22 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 not include that. 23 A. What you're suggesting is, if we throw those 24 24 in, that gives a better estimate, possibly STAMP, Q.C.:

But why did you zero it out? Why not show

25

25

of premium overpayments over the entire

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 89		Page 91
1	period.	1	anything to this hearing. Comments like
2	STAMP, Q.C.:	2	that—Dr. Lazar is answering the questions,
3	Q. Mr. Lazar, the Public Utilities Board	3	the questions are being put to him. They
4	question number 6 was this, "Please explain	4	could have had their own expert and we will
5	why the years 2014 and 2015 are left blank	5	be arguing this in our submissions.
6	in Table 16, 17 and '18"? And your answer	6	STAMP, Q.C.:
7	which was on the board a moment ago—I'm	7	· `
		8	
8	sorry we just shifted off that again, but		Lazar has prepared this report, we're
9	your answer was there.	9	looking for clarification, that's the
10	DR. LAZAR:	10	purpose of the questions, to inform the
11	A. Because the gap was negative.	11	Board on Mr. Lazar's report and any
12	STAMP, Q.C.:	12	questions that arise out of that report.
13	Q. Yeah, your answersorry, just to come back	13	We've done the same thing. I mean, all the
14	to your answeris "the years 2014 and '15	14	questions that were put to Oliver Wyman,
15	are left blank because in both years the	15	there were tonnes of questions of the same
16	aggregate ROEs were negative and thus	16	sort, explain this and explain that.
17	obviously below our estimates of appropriate	17	There's nothing different happening here at
18	ROEs for this industry in Newfoundland and	18	all with Mr. Lazar and I'm just trying to
19	Labrador". So, where are the numbers? You	19	understand it.
20	said you gave the numbers, where are the	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	numbers?	21	A. Oh no, I have no problem with your
22	DR. LAZAR:	22	questions.
23	A. They're in point 7 on that same document.	23	KENNEDY, Q.C.:
24	STAMP, Q.C.:	24	Q. It's the gratuitous comments that I have—the
25	Q. Is that "ROE gaps" that you're talking	25	commentary "I don't understand", "I'm not
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ;
1	Page 00		Page 07
1	Page 90	1	Page 92
1 2	about?	1	clear", that's not the purpose of
2	about? DR. LAZAR:	1 2 2	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get
2 3	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes.	3	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit
2 3 4	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.:	3 4	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two
2 3 4 5	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 –	3 4 5	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would
2 3 4 5 6	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR:	3 4 5 6	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not
2 3 4 5 6 7	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8.	3 4 5 6 7	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.:	3 4 5 6 7 8	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and it will be pointed out to members of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam Chair, we saw it yesterday with the attack
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and it will be pointed out to members of the Board later, Mr. Stamp has had this report,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam Chair, we saw it yesterday with the attack on the people from Ontario and I don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and it will be pointed out to members of the Board later, Mr. Stamp has had this report, IBC has had this report for quite some time.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam Chair, we saw it yesterday with the attack on the people from Ontario and I don't think this thing can deteriorate to that same kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and it will be pointed out to members of the Board later, Mr. Stamp has had this report, IBC has had this report for quite some time. They could have had their own expert, they	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam Chair, we saw it yesterday with the attack on the people from Ontario and I don't think this thing can deteriorate to that same kind of questioning today, that's what I'm asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and it will be pointed out to members of the Board later, Mr. Stamp has had this report, IBC has had this report for quite some time. They could have had their own expert, they could have brought expert evidence. I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam Chair, we saw it yesterday with the attack on the people from Ontario and I don't think this thing can deteriorate to that same kind of questioning today, that's what I'm asking you to stop.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and it will be pointed out to members of the Board later, Mr. Stamp has had this report, IBC has had this report for quite some time. They could have brought expert evidence. I don't' think the commentary, the gratuitous	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam Chair, we saw it yesterday with the attack on the people from Ontario and I don't think this thing can deteriorate to that same kind of questioning today, that's what I'm asking you to stop. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, when you said 21,000,000 – DR. LAZAR: A. That's point 8. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Hold on now, I'm trying to understand. DR. LAZAR: A. I thought it was clear in what we did and how we responded. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Not to me. DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. I think it should be pointed out, Chair, and it will be pointed out to members of the Board later, Mr. Stamp has had this report, IBC has had this report for quite some time. They could have had their own expert, they could have brought expert evidence. I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	clear", that's not the purpose of questioning, ask a question and you'll get an answer, can you explain a little bit further" but we've seen this now for two days with gratuitous comments that I would suggest, sir, is not appropriate. It's not the way it should be conducted, the questions should be conducted. It's disparaging to the witness, "I'm not clear", "I don't understand". STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And I don't. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Well that's your problem, Mr. Stamp. You could have obtained an expert witness, you chose not to do that, you choose to rely on Oliver Wyman, fair enough, that's the way this works out. So I'm suggesting, Madam Chair, we saw it yesterday with the attack on the people from Ontario and I don't think this thing can deteriorate to that same kind of questioning today, that's what I'm asking you to stop. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, Mr. Lazar was asked about the

September 13, 2018 2017 Automobile Insurance Review

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 93		Page 95
1	gap in his Table No. 17, and he's explained	1	when I was asking what numbers would have
2	in some fashion why that gap exists. He	2	gone into the lines on 14 and 15 of Table
3	said he provided the numbers in answers to	3	17, I thought you had told me the top line?
4	either IBC or the Public Utilities Board.	4	DR. LAZAR:
5	So I got the questions and I've read the	5	A. No, it's again to be equivalent the first,
6	question into the record from the Public	6	well the second row there is all excluding
7	Utilities Board, Question No. 6, and I've	7	Primmum and Security National. This is
8	asked—because the answer to Question No. 6	8	where the minus 21 million comes in.
9	is contained in the Answer No. 6 in the	9	STAMP, Q.C.:
10	response, but the numbers that were asked	10	Q. Okay.
11	for by the Public Utilities Board are not	11	DR. LAZAR:
12	given in No. 6. Now I think Mr. Lazar had	12	A. Second is all positive ROEs where it's
13	explained to us they're in maybe 7 or 8, I'm	13	approximately minus 20 million.
14	going to just try and clarify that because	14	STAMP, Q.C.:
15	that's where my confusion lies.	15	Q. So if you included those minus, for example
16	CHAIR:	16	all companies is minus 61 million.
17	Q. Just ask your question.	17	DR. LAZAR:
18	STAMP, Q.C.:	18	A. Okay, now I want to point out that in
19	Q. Sure. So, are the numbers for 14 and 15,	19	responding we did just a short cut, just
20	Mr. Lazar, that would have gone into Table	20	took the gap, multiplied it by the equity.
21	17, which were asked for by the Public	21	For the report, we did an iterative process,
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21	
$\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{vmatrix}$	Utilities Board, where are they please? DR. LAZAR:	23	the only reason we did the short cut here
24		23	was just time constraints. If you compare
1	A. They're in Point 9.	25	the results in the response Point 8 to our
25	STAMP, Q.C.:	23	Table 17, what you find is the iterative
١.	Page 94	1	Page 96
	Q. And they're percentages, are they?	l	process actually produced larger estimates
2	DR. LAZAR:	2	of the overpayments.
3	A. Sorry?	3	STAMP, Q.C.:
4	STAMP, Q.C.:	4	Q. So when I look at your answer No. 8.
5	Q. Are Point 9 percentages?	5	DR. LAZAR:
6	DR. LAZAR:	6	A. Yes.
7	A. Oh, sorry, Point 8.	7	STAMP, Q.C.:
8	STAMP, Q.C.:	8	Q. Looked at the question, but the answer,
9	Q. Point 8 has the numbers?	9	because this is what you're explaining to
10	DR. LAZAR:	10	me, is that the total 11 through 16, all
11	A. Yes, sorry about that.	11	excluding Primmum and National Security and
12	STAMP, Q.C.:	12	all companies, it's just that those two—the
13	Q. Okay, so Point 8 is dollar amounts?	13	two things are flipped around from the Table
14	DR. LAZAR:	14	17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the
14 15	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you	15	17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top
14 15 16	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out.	15 16	17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right?
14 15 16 17	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.:	15 16 17	17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right? DR. LAZAR:
14 15 16 17 18	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29	15 16 17 18	17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right?DR. LAZAR:A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere
14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29 million now?	15 16 17 18 19	17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right?DR. LAZAR:A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere in Table 17.
14 15 16 17 18	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29 million now? DR. LAZAR: 	15 16 17 18	17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right?DR. LAZAR:A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29 million now? DR. LAZAR: A. No, the one that is comparable to Table 17 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right? DR. LAZAR: A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29 million now? DR. LAZAR: A. No, the one that is comparable to Table 17 in our report is 21 million, minus 21 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right? DR. LAZAR: A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29 million now? DR. LAZAR: A. No, the one that is comparable to Table 17 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right? DR. LAZAR: A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. DR. LAZAR: A. Only the next two rows are the equivalent to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29 million now? DR. LAZAR: A. No, the one that is comparable to Table 17 in our report is 21 million, minus 21 million number. STAMP, Q.C.: 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right? DR. LAZAR: A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. DR. LAZAR: A. Only the next two rows are the equivalent to what's in Table 17.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. Point 9 is percentage amounts, as you correctly pointed out. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right, and so the 14 number is minus 29 million now? DR. LAZAR: A. No, the one that is comparable to Table 17 in our report is 21 million, minus 21 million number. 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 17. Table 17 has all positive ROEs on the bottom and you have all companies on the top in this table at No. 8, is that right? DR. LAZAR: A. Is that first row, all companies, is nowhere in Table 17. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. DR. LAZAR: A. Only the next two rows are the equivalent to

Page 97 Page 98 Page	Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
2 DR. LAZAR: 3 A. The last two rows. Would it be easier if I just came there and pointed it out? I mean, S I'm sorry, I just cam't make it any clearer. 6 Fm not sure what the confusion is. 7 STAMP, Q.C.: 8 Q. Well when I look at Table 17, all positive ROIs. 10 DR. LAZAR: 11 A. Yes. That is the equivalent of the last row in our Point 8. 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 million? 15 million? 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 19 Q. DR. LAZAR: 10 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in— 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. I sec. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. 39 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. 27 STAMP, Q.C.: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 39 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 A. Yes. 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 A. Yes. 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 A. Yes. 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 A. Yes. 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 A. Yes. 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 A. Yes. 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 A. Yes. 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 4		Page 97		Page 99
2 DR. LAZAR: 3 A. The last two rows. Would it be easier if 1 just came there and pointed it out? I mean, 1 m sorry, I just came there and pointed it out? I mean, 2 m of 1 m sorry, I just came there and pointed it out? I mean, 5 I'm sorry, I just came there and pointed it out? I mean, 5 I'm sorry, I just came there and pointed it out? I mean, 5 I'm sorry, I just came there and pointed it out? I mean, 5 I'm sorry, I just came there and pointed it out? I mean, 5 I'm sorry, I just cam't make it any clearer. 1 to A. Pos. That is the equivalent of the last row in our Point 8. 10 DR. LAZAR: 10 (BREAK – 11:06 a.m.) (BREAK – 11:36 a.m.) (B	1	O. Which two rows, I'm sorry?	1	because we use a simple methodology for the
A The last two rows. Would it be easier if I just came there and pointed it on? I mean, I'm sorry, I just cam't make it any clearer. Fin not sure what the confusion is. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. ROES. STAMP, Q.C.: ROES.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4				
5				** *
6				
7 STAMP, Q.C.: 8 Q. Well when I look at Table 17, all positive 9 ROEs. 10 DR. LAZAR: 11 A. Yes. That is the equivalent of the last row 11 in our Point 8. 13 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 15 million? 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 10 DR. LAZAR: 11 A. No, it becomes 35. 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 To to take a break? 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 DR. LAZAR: 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. I maint point 8, the 35 million, the total 92 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, or one over in the other positive ROEs. 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. Yes. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again — 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. The norry, in— 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Yes. 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. Yes. 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. And the number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. 21 A. Yes, but again — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 2				
8 Q. Well when I look at Table 17, all positive ROEs. 9 Q. Yes, Madam Chair. 10 RR. LAZAR: 11 A. Yes. That is the equivalent of the last row in our Point 8. 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 million? 15 million? 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 10 R. LAZAR: 19 Q. And that becomes 35. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. No, it becomes 35. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in — 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, over in the other positive ROEs. 28 DR. LAZAR: 39 DR. LAZAR: 30 DR. LAZAR: 31 DR. LAZAR: 31 DR. LAZAR: 32 DR. LAZAR: 33 DR. LAZAR: 34 DR. LAZAR: 35 A. Yes. 36 STAMP, Q.C.: 37 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. 38 DR. LAZAR: 39 DR. LAZAR: 30 DR. LAZAR: 31 DR. LAZAR: 31 DR. LAZAR: 32 DR. LAZAR: 33 DR. LAZAR: 34 DR. LAZAR: 35 DR. LAZAR: 36 DR. LAZAR: 37 DR. LAZAR: 38 DR. LAZAR: 39 DR. LAZAR: 30 DR. LAZAR: 31 DR. LAZAR: 31 DR. LAZAR: 31 DR. LAZAR: 32 DR. LAZAR: 33 DR. LAZAR: 34 DR. LAZAR: 35 DR. LAZAR: 36 DR. LAZAR: 37 DR. LAZAR: 38 DR. LAZAR: 39 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR.				1, 0
9 ROFs. 10 DR. LAZAR: 10		STAMP, Q.C.:		
10 DR. LAZAR:	8	Q. Well when I look at Table 17, all positive	8	STAMP, Q.C.:
11 A. Yes. That is the equivalent of the last row in our Point 8. 12 CHAIR: 13 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 15 million? 15 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Lazar, I'm just going to go back to your Table 17 in your report for a moment, at page 29. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 A. Okay. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 A. No, it becomes 35. 21 Q. I want to note for a moment, the top line 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in - 23 National?", do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and then two spaces and the 26 and 34. And if 1 25 A. Yes. 15 TAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, over in the other positive ROEs. 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 and at page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar. 17 Lazar. 18 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Yes. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the pumber in Point 8, the 54 million in 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR.	9	ROEs.	9	Q. Yes, Madam Chair.
11 A. Yes. That is the equivalent of the last row in our Point 8. 12 CHAIR: 13 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 million? 15 million? 16 going to go back to your Table 17 in your report for a moment, at page 29. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 19 A. Okay. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 A. No, it becomes 35. 21 Q. Iwant to note for a moment, the top line 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in - 23 National?", do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and then two spaces and the 26 and 34. And if I care in the other positive ROEs. 4 DR. LAZAR: 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right when we have got in the report, that number would actually be ligher, approximately about 15 - 20 percent in the report, that number would actually be ligher, approximately about 15 - 20 percent in the public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 8 answer. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 RTAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for 25 Q. So, what I'm noting	10	DR. LAZAR:	10	(BREAK – 11:06 a.m.)
12	11	A. Yes. That is the equivalent of the last row	11	(RESUME – 11:36 a.m.)
13 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 15 million? 16 DR. LAZAR: 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. 17		•		,
14 Q. Right, which is 92 million, the total 92 million? 15 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Lazar, I'm just going to go back to your Table 17 in your report for a moment, at page 29. 17 report for a moment, at page 29. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 DR. LAZAR: 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 A. No, it becomes 35. 21 Q. I want to note for a moment, the top line 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in 23 National", do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I can now turn to the answers or responses to 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 25 TAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, over in the other positive ROEs. 3 sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar, 2 DR. LAZAR: 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar, 2 DR. LAZAR: 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar, 2 DR. LAZAR: 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar, 2 DR. LAZAR: 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar, 2 DR. LAZAR: 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar, 2 DR. LAZAR: 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar, 2 DR. LAZAR: 5 CR. LAZAR:				
15 million?				• • •
16 DR. LAZAR: 16		,		
17				
18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 19 A. Okay. 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. I want to note for a moment, the top line 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in – 23 National?, do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and 24 then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I can now turn to the answers or responses to Page 98				
19 Q. And that becomes 2 million? 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 A. No, it becomes 35. 21 Q. I want to note for a moment, the top line 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 National?", do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I 25 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 26 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 27 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 29 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 29 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 20 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 20 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 20 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 21 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 22 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 22 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 23 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 24 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 24 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 24 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 25 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 26 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 26 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 27 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 28 Can				
20 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. No, it becomes 35. 21 A. No, it becomes 35. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. I want to note for a moment, the top line 23 National", do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and 24 then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I can now turn to the answers or responses to 25 Page 98 25 Page 98 26 Page 100 27 Page 100 28 Page 100 29 Page 100 29 Page 100 29 Page 100 20 Pa				DR. LAZAR:
21 A. No, it becomes 35. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in — 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 28 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 39 Over in the other positive ROEs. 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 STAMP, Q.C.: 47 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 48 all positive ROEs. 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 44 DR. LAZAR: 45 DR. LAZAR: 46 DR. LAZAR: 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 DR. LAZAR: 49 DR. LAZAR: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR.	19	Q. And that becomes 2 million?	19	A. Okay.
21 A. No, it becomes 35. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in — 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 26 TAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 28 Over in the other positive ROEs. 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 Q. I want to note for a moment, the top line 22 "All except?"—I guess—"Primmum and Security 23 National?, do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I can now turn to the answers or responses to Page 98 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 2	20	DR. LAZAR:	20	STAMP, Q.C.:
22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. I'm sorry, in — 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. Page 98 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 3 over in the other positive ROEs. 4 DR. LAZAR: 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 "All except"—I guess—"Primmum and Security National", do you see 15.4, 9.5, 3.4 and then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I can now turn to the answers or responses to 24 then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I can now turn to the answers or responses to 25 can now turn to the answers or responses to 26 the questions from Public Utilities Board 27 and at page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again — 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Seventeen. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Which table were you — 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. Which table were you — 29 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Okay, I've got that here. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 Chard if I	21	A. No, it becomes 35.	21	
23 Q. I'm sorry, in — 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. 25 Can now turn to the answers or responses to Page 98 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 TAMP, Q.C.: 37 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 38 over in the other positive ROEs. 4 DR. LAZAR: 4 DR. LAZAR: 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 5 TAMP, Q.C.: 6 Lazar? 7 DR. LAZAR: 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in 11 front of you again, but if you need, I can — 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you — 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Yes. 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Seventeen. 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table I7 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for				
24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. Page 98 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 Q. Where do 1 find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 3 over in the other positive ROEs. 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 then two spaces and the 26 and 54. And if I can now turn to the answers or responses to Page 100 1 the questions from Public Utilities Board and at page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? 7 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Okay, I've got that here. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 Can now turn to the answers or responses to 2and at page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answe		, ,		
25 A. You have got to compare the same groupings. Page 98 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 3 over in the other positive ROEs. A. Yes. BYELAZAR: The questions from Public Utilities Board and at page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you— STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, I've got that here. STAMP, Q.C.: A. Okay, I've got that here. STAMP, Q.C.: A. Okay, I've got that here. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, I've got that here.				
Page 100 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 3 over in the other positive ROEs. 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 26 The questions from Public Utilities Board 27 and at page, there's no page number, I'm 28 and at page, there's no page number, I'm 3 sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right 4 there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. 4 Lazar? 5 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in 11 front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you 18 referred to? 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for				-
1 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 3 over in the other positive ROEs. 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the questions from Public Utilities Board 4 bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right 5 there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. 6 Lazar? 7 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the questions from Public Utilities Board and at page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. 1 Lazar? 10 DR. LAZAR: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	23			
2 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, 3 over in the other positive ROEs. 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here, and at page, there's no page number, I'm sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the bottom of the page. Yeah, that's right there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. 28 Lazar? 29 DR. LAZAR: 4 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for				-
3 sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for		, ,		•
4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in 10 front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 Ranswer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for		Q. Where do I find 35 in the—Oh, over here,		and at page, there's no page number, I'm
5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 3 there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. 4 Lazar? 7 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	3	over in the other positive ROEs.	3	sorry, answer Number 8, I guess, at the
5 A. Yes. 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 3 there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. 4 Lazar? 7 DR. LAZAR: 8 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Okay, I've got that here. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	4	DR. LAZAR:	1	bottom of the negative Vech that's right
6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 28 A. Yes. 29 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in 11 front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	bottom of the page. I can, that s right
7 Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and 8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. Yes. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in 11 front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	1	A. Yes.		1 0
8 all positive ROEs. 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 And the 92 becomes 34. 28 Anow, Yes. 29 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in 11 front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 18 FTAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for			5	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr.
9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, 11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 & answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 STAMP, Q.C.: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	1 7	STAMP, Q.C.:	5 6	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar?
10 A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 10 Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – 11 bront of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you 18 referred to? 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for		STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and	5 6 7	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR:
11 if we had used the same methodology we used 12 in the report, that number would actually be 13 higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent 14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 21 I front of you again, but if you need, I can – 12 DR. LAZAR: 13 A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you 18 referred to? 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs.	5 6 7 8	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.
in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – He bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you seventeen. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: A. Okay, I've got that here. STAMP, Q.C.: A. Okay, I've got that here. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.:	8 9	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR:	5 6 7 8 9	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – 14 STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 A. Okay, I've got that here. 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million,	5 6 7 8 9 10	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in
14 higher. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Seventeen. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. Seventeen. 27 A. Okay, I've got that here. 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can –
15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? 28 Q. Seventeen. 29 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Okay, I've got that here. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR:
16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 STAMP, Q.C.: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you –
16 Q. So, this in this response you gave to the 17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 STAMP, Q.C.: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you –
17 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 18 Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 19 8 answer. 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 A. Yes, but again – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 26 TAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. Seventeen. 28 Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in 29 TAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Seventeen. 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Okay, I've got that here. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.:
18Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number18referred to?198 answer.19STAMP, Q.C.:20DR. LAZAR:20Q. Seventeen.21A. Yes, but again –21DR. LAZAR:22STAMP, Q.C.:22A. Okay, I've got that here.23Q. And the 92 becomes 34.23STAMP, Q.C.:24DR. LAZAR:24Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8.
198 answer.19STAMP, Q.C.:20DR. LAZAR:20Q. Seventeen.21A. Yes, but again –21DR. LAZAR:22STAMP, Q.C.:22A. Okay, I've got that here.23Q. And the 92 becomes 34.23STAMP, Q.C.:24DR. LAZAR:24Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR:
20DR. LAZAR:20Q. Seventeen.21A. Yes, but again –21DR. LAZAR:22STAMP, Q.C.:22A. Okay, I've got that here.23Q. And the 92 becomes 34.23STAMP, Q.C.:24DR. LAZAR:24Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you
21 A. Yes, but again –21 DR. LAZAR:22 STAMP, Q.C.:22 A. Okay, I've got that here.23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34.23 STAMP, Q.C.:24 DR. LAZAR:24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to?
22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Okay, I've got that here. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 8 answer. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.:
23 Q. And the 92 becomes 34. 24 DR. LAZAR: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 8 answer. DR. LAZAR:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Seventeen.
24 DR. LAZAR: 24 Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 8 answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again –	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Seventeen. DR. LAZAR:
	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 8 answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again – STAMP, Q.C.:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Seventeen. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, I've got that here.
25 A. But both of those are underestimated 25 "all excluding Primmum and Security	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 8 answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And the 92 becomes 34.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Seventeen. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, I've got that here. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.:
Discoveries Unlimited Inc. (700)427-5029 Page 07 Page 100	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I see. Okay, so there's all companies and all positive ROEs. DR. LAZAR: A. And the number in Point 8, the 35 million, if we had used the same methodology we used in the report, that number would actually be higher, approximately about 15 – 20 percent higher. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, this in this response you gave to the Public Utilities Board, the 54 million in Table 17 becomes two in estimated, in Number 8 answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes, but again – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And the 92 becomes 34. DR. LAZAR:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there, that's fine. Do you have that Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Now, you don't have the other numbers in front of you again, but if you need, I can – DR. LAZAR: A. Which table were you – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. The bottom of—it's marked Number 8. DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, what was the table in the report you referred to? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Seventeen. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, I've got that here. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, what I'm noting is that the line for

Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 101		Page 103
1	National" in the table, in response Number 8	1	A. No, the premium is part of the—two parts of
2	puts numbers in there, of course, 21 million	2	it. I believe there's legal obligation to
3	or -20.7 or whatever, -20.7 and 20.7.	3	hold a certain amount of reserves against
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	future claims. And the premiums provide the
5	A. Yes.	5	claims will be paid in the future. You
	STAMP, Q.C.:		
6 7	, ,	6 7	going to have net of your expenses some cash available to you for a period of time that
8	Q. But why have you changed the other numbers as well?		· -
9	DR. LAZAR:	8 9	you can that you can invest. STAMP, Q.C.:
10		10	
11	A. Okay, as I explained, there are two possible	10	Q. So, reserves for the purposes of this, when
1	approaches. The simple approach which is		you made this comment, reserves are
12	what was used for Point 8 here in the	12	essentially equity that's maintained in the
13	response was to simply take the gap, the	13	company?
14	difference between the actual ROE and/or	14	DR. LAZAR:
15	estimate of what should be an acceptable ROE	15	A. We equated it to primarily because of the
16	and multiply that times the total equity.	16	work we did with FSCO where they simply used
17	So, that's the methodology, the simple	17	the particular ratio of premium to equity
18	methodology that we employed in the	18	which FSCO claimed and we have no reason to
19	response, less time consuming.	19	question them on this, they said was a
20	STAMP, Q.C.:	20	measure of, sort of, the reserves, the
21	Q. Okay.	21	investable funds that the companies had.
22	DR. LAZAR:	22	STAMP, Q.C.:
23	A. In the report, we went through an iterative	23	Q. But when I asked you what reserves were, I
24	process because once you start making	24	thought you said it's the amount retained to
25	adjustments for differences in equity, you	25	provide protection for claims. Did you say
	Page 102		Page 104
1	have to factor that back into return on	1	that –
2	investment, et cetera. So, we use the more,	2	DR. LAZAR:
3	sort of, complicated with more appropriate	3	A. For future claims, yes.
4	approach in the report than we did in the	4	STAMP, Q.C.:
5	response. And again, the only reason we did		Q. Future claims, yes. And so that's the—is it
6	that for the response was to save time.	6	essentially the equity in the company?
7	STAMP, Q.C.:	7	DR. LAZAR:
8	Q. Okay. I want to just come back to your	8	A. We use them as being equivalent.
9	evidence earlier today. At one point you	9	STAMP, Q.C.:
10	said, and hopefully I got this generally	10	Q. That may be, but essentially is what you're
11	accurately recorded, reserves are invested	11	referring to, essentially is the equity in
12	by insurers and it's a major driver of	12	the company.
13	profitability. Now, I may not have that	13	DR. LAZAR:
14	exactly right, but something to that effect.	14	A. The equity committed for this line of
15	DR. LAZAR:	15	business.
1			
16	A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:	16 17	STAMP, Q.C.: O Pight And would that he all the equity in
17	, ,		Q. Right. And would that be all the equity in
18	Q. And what are reserves?	18	the company or limited amount of equity?
19	DR. LAZAR:	19	DR. LAZAR:
20	A. The reserves that have to hold against	20	A. Again, we used it as the entire equity
21	future claims.	21	committed to this line of business in this
22	STAMP, Q.C.:	22	province.
23	Q. And so is that—that's not the premium for	23	STAMP, Q.C.:
24	investment, is it?	24	Q. Okay. Now, you also spoke about reserves, I
25	DR. LAZAR:	25	think, in a different context. You said,

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 105		Page 107
1	most if not all insurers invest significant	1	can get past some of this complication. Mr.
2	part of reserves in equity. Do you recall	2	Lazar came this morning and he testified
3	that discussion?	3	that more, if not all insurers invest
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	significant part of reserves in equity.
5	A. Yes.	5	Now, I don't know where that would be in
6	STAMP, Q.C.:	6	this report. I haven't seen it and so he's
7	Q. And so when you speak that way, are you	7	testified or spoken about or presented it as
8	speaking about the same reserves that you	8	part of this information this morning. I'm
9	spoke about earlier?	9	happy to let that go in, but I'm happy to
10	DR. LAZAR:	10	question him about it as well. I can't
11	A. Yes.	11	anticipate what he will say and come armed
12	STAMP, Q.C.:	12	*
1			with a library. I had to come and listen to
13	Q. Okay. And what part, you say a significant	13	Mr. Lazar give his presentation and then ask
14	part, what part does each insurer invest?	14	him about that presentation. This is just
15	DR. LAZAR:	15	one aspect of that presentation.
16	A. I don't know.	16	(11:45 a.m.)
17	STAMP, Q.C.:	17	MR. FELTHAM:
18	Q. But you said it was significant.	18	Q. Madam Chair, it's not library. He's the one
19	DR. LAZAR:	19	who is bringing up the document and
20	A. If you'll look at the returns they've	20	referring to it. It's an IBC document. It
21	generated on their investments and	21	would be so difficult to provide that
22	essentially on this pool of funds, then you	22	document in advance, if he's going to
23	know, you compare it to returns on investing		question the witness about it.
24	in a bond portfolio, one can infer from that	24	STAMP, Q.C.:
25	as we did, that there has to be, don't know	25	Q. Madam Chair, I didn't hear the comment until
	Page 106		Page 108
1	what the number is, 40, 50 percent on	1	I heard Mr. Lazar speak. I didn't come
2	average that might be invested in equities	2	with, you know, all the GISA and FSCO and
3	in order to generate those returns.	3	IBC documentation in my back pocket. I
4	STAMP, Q.C.:	4	can't do that. I listened to Mr. Lazar
5	Q. I just noticed that one of the pieces of	5	explain what he thought was the investment,
6	information that IBC has on their—they have	6	I don't know, agenda or style for P&C
7	a facts book which records or discloses how	7	insurers and said that a significant part
8	insurers, P & C insurers invest—how they	8	and I think he went on to say, I don't know
9	actually—what they invest in. And the	9	if he said 40 percent. I'm sorry, Mr.
10	investment on the equity side, this is I	10	Lazar, I can't remember what you said there,
11	think part of the data is from MSA and I	11	significant part, 40 percent maybe I think
12	think some from Provincial authorities.	12	he said and according to the IBC and
1	That investment in shares which I take it to		
13		13	information I've just been passed, it's more
14	be equities is 11.2 percent as of the end of	14	like 11.1 or 2 or whatever I said that
15	the December 2017.	15	percent I said was.
16	MR. FELTHAM:	16	CHAIR:
17	Q. Madam Chair, Mr. Stamp is—we've had this	17	Q. Yes, yes –
18	theme reoccurring here. Again, we're	18	STAMP, Q.C.:
19	referring to documentation which hasn't been	19	Q. So, I can't come—my friend is critical of me
20	provided to the Board, hasn't been provided	20	not coming with information. I can't come
21	to the parties, that witness has not had an	21	with that information. I can only try to
22	opportunity to review what he's being	22	deal with it as it arises.
	**		
23	referred to. It's very unfair.	23	CHAIR:
23 24	referred to. It's very unfair. STAMP, Q.C.:	24	Q. I agree, Mr. Stamp. When information arises
23	referred to. It's very unfair.		

Page 109 1 appreciate that information is not in the report. It would be helpful to the Board though if you're referring to something that's different than what Mr. Lazar would have said to put if on the record. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. Madam Chair, yes, what I can do is I can do is I can tell you, if you can just—I can ask what that document actually is so Mr. Lazar can then refer to it if he wishes or— 10 CHAIR: 10 Q. Well, it's going to be difficult for him to refer to it now, so. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. Yes, I know. It is a — 13 GHAP, Q.C.: 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. Yes, I know it is a — 16 CHAIR: 17 Q. Can you just make a copy of the— 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or 21 somebody and it could get printed or 22 something. Is that possible? Can you send 3 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to— 20 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And what time period? 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and thought in the probable of the probab		mber 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
2		Page 109		
though if you're referring to something that's different than what Mr. Lazar would shave said to put it on the record. STAMP, Q.C.: Madam Chair, yes, what I can do is I can tell you, if you can just—I can ask what that document actually is so Mr. Lazar can then refer to it if he wishes or— It CHAIR: CHAIR	1		1	that operate only in Newfoundland and
though if you're referring to something that's different than what Mr. Lazar would shave said to put it on the record. STAMP, Q.C.: Madam Chair, yes, what I can do is I can tell you, if you can just—I can ask what that document actually is so Mr. Lazar can then refer to it if he wishes or— It CHAIR: CHAIR	2	report. It would be helpful to the Board	2	Labrador.
that's different than what Mr. Lazar would have said to put it on the record. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, yes, what I can do is I can be that document actually is so Mr. Lazar can then refer to it if he wishes or — 10	3		3	STAMP, Q.C.:
STAMP, Q.C.:	4		4	
6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 Q. Madam Chair, yes, what I can do is I can 8 tell you, if you can just—I can ask what 10 then refer to it if he wishes or — 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. Well, it's going to be difficult for him to 13 refer to it now, so. 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. Yes, I know. It is a — 16 CHAIR: 17 Q. Can you just make a copy of the — 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send 19 that information to maybe Ms. Glymn or 20 something. Is that possible? Can you send 21 somebody and it could get printed or 22 something. Is that possible? Can you send 23 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to — 26 Page 110 27 CHAIR: 28 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the 29 are record for us to be able to take note of it 29 in any event. 20 In that is fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 20 and I'll come on to— 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 DR, LAZAR: 24 A. And what time period? 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 27 Can just ask one thing and then you can 28 Companies in Canada? 29 Can you file that unfold in and I'll come on to— 29 Can you, but it could vary from year to year? 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 31 Q. I gather so. 32 STAMP, Q.C.: 33 Q. I gather so. 34 DR, LAZAR: 35 A. And what time period? 36 STAMP, Q.C.: 37 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't lask know any — 38 DR, LAZAR: 39 Percent, in equities. Now, of course the 89 percent, it could be another asset (phonetic) classes. You know, Eli and I can sort of do quick calculations what the returns on a bond portfolio would be and at returns on a bond portfolio would be and at returns on a bond portfolio would be and at returns on a bond portfolio would be and at returns on a bond portfolio would be and at returns on a porting preciation. The returns on a bond portfolio would be and the returns on a porting preciation in the rest, you re going to get numbers of the atto insurance companies in Canada? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 31 Q. I gather so. 42 Can just the very diagram and the province? 43 STA				
7 Q. Madam Chair, yes, what I can do is I can tell you, if you can just—I can ask what that document actually is so Mr. Lazar can then refer to it if he wishes or —	1 .	-		
tell you, if you can just—I can ask what that document actually is so Mr. Lazar can then refer to it if he wishes or — 10 CHAIR: 12 Q. Well, it's going to be difficult for him to 13 refer to it now, so. 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 percent, it could be another assuming it's 89 percent in bonds, 11 percent, it could be another assuming it's 89 percent, it could be another assuming it's 80 percent in equities. Now, of course the 89 percent, it could be another assuming it's 80 percent in equities. Now, of course the 89 percent, it could be another assuming it's 80 percent in equities. Now, of course the 89 percent, it could be another assuming it's 80 percent in equities. Now, of course the 89 percent, it could be another assuming it is 60 quick calculations what the returns on a bond portfolio would be a		1 7		•
that document actually is so Mr. Lazar can then refer to it if he wishes or — 10	1			
then refer to it if he wishes or —	1	3 / 3 3		
CHAIR:				
12 Q. Well, it's going to be difficult for him to refer to it now, so. 13 assuming it's 89 percent in bonds, 11 14 percent in equities. Now, of course the 89 percent, it could be another asset (phonetic) classes. You know, Eli and I can sort of do quick calculations what the returns on a bond portfolio would be and at 87 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 returns on a bond portfolio would be and at 80 something. Is that to—one page? Does that explain where 12 somebody and it could get printed or 22 something. Is that to—one page? Does that explain where 12 ticame from? We'll do our best, Madam 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to— Page 110 That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to— STAMP, Q.C.: 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to— 17 somehond; Page 112 interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The 25 strawp, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any— 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 20 Can you just make a copy of the— 15 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 20 Can you ign back—sorry, this is not GISA, which is not GISA, which is not GISA, which is not give the mather and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies in Canada; 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 Oh, I'm sure it would. 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. L	1			
13 refer to it now, so. 13 assuming it's 89 percent in bonds, 11 percent, it could be another asset 15 Q. Ves, Iknow. It is a – 15 percent, it could be another asset 16 CHAIR: 17 Q. Can you just make a copy of the – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 returns on a bond portfolio would be and at 19 going to get numbers anywhere near this. 19 20 Well, I can send—maybe I could just send that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or 20 somebody and it could get printed or 21 somebody and it could get printed or 22 somebody and it could get printed or 22 somebody and it could get printed or 23 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 CHAIR: 27 Page 110 28 CHAIR: 28 CHAIR: 29 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the 29 recrent, it percent weight to 29 generate these types of returns. So, that's 25 stamp, Q.C.: 5 there was no much capital appreciation. The 29 returns on a bond portfolio were simply 29 too low given that 89 percent, I'l percent weight to 20 generate these types of returns. So, that's why when I said "significant", I'm looking 25 there was no much capital appreciation. The 26 percent, it is out of ouick calculations what the returns on a bond portfolio wore simply 20 generate these types of returns. So, that's significant's 10 percent, the some promption of time, so 20 generate these types of returns. So, that's some numbers might be interest ing, I would 25 stamp, Q.C.: 26 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 27 and I'll come on to – 28 palpying 89 percent in equities. Now, of the auto insurance 28 percent, it could be another asset 20 generate these types of returns on each that the returns on a bond portfolio were simply 26 generate these types of returns. So, that's significant's 10 interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and,				
14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. Yes, Iknow. It is a - 15 CHAIR: 16 CHAIR: 16 CHAIR: 17 Q. Can you just make a copy of the - 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Companies in Canada? 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 DR. LAZAR: 10 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 22 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR.	1	- ·		*
15 CHAIR: 16 CHAIR: 17 CHAIR: 17 Q. Can you just make a copy of the – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send to that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or somebody and it could get printed or 22 something. Is that possible? Can you send 23 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to – Page 110 CHAIR: 2 CHAIR	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
16 CHAIR: Q. Can you just make a copy of the — 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or 20 somebody and it could get printed or 21 somebody and it could get printed or 22 somebhing. Is that possible? Can you send 22 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to— Page 110	14	STAMP, Q.C.:		•
17 Q. Can you just make a copy of the — 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or 21 something. Is that possible? Can you send 22 something. Is that possible? Can you send 23 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to — Page 110 CHAIR: 2 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the 3 record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 5 DR. LAZAR: 10 DR. LAZAR: 10 DR. LAZAR: 10 DR. LAZAR: 10 DR. LAZAR: 11 DR. LAZAR: 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. A. the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any — 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies or of the auto insurance co	15	Q. Yes, I know. It is a –	15	percent, it could be another asset
18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 returns on a bond portfolio would be and at 19 Q. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send 20 that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or 21 somebody and it could get printed or 22 something. Is that possible? Can you send 23 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to —	16	CHAIR:	16	(phonetic) classes. You know, Eli and I can
19 Q. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or somebody and it could get printed or somebody and it could get printed or something. Is that possible? Can you send that to—one page? Does that explain where it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to — Page 110	17	Q. Can you just make a copy of the –	17	sort of do quick calculations what the
that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or somebody and it could get printed or somebody and it could get printed or that to—one page? Does that explain where it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to — Page 110 CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BDR. LAZAR: Q. La T just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BDR. LAZAR: Q. Table for a may be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.	18	STAMP, Q.C.:	18	returns on a bond portfolio would be and at
that information to maybe Ms. Glynn or somebody and it could get printed or somebody and it could get printed or that to—one page? Does that explain where it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to — Page 110 CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BDR. LAZAR: Q. La T just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BDR. LAZAR: Q. Table for a may be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.	19	O. Well, I can send—maybe I could just send	19	89 percent, 11 percent split, you're not
21 somebody and it could get printed or something. Is that possible? Can you send that to—one page? Does that explain where it came from? We'll do our best, Madam Chair to— Page 110 CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Page 110 The returns on a bond portfolio were simply too low given that 89 percent weight to generate these types of returns. So, that's why when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to Page 112 interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equive that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: The returns on a bond portfolio were simply too low given that 89 percent weight to generate these types of returns. So, that's why when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to the subject of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The spields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent weight to hold that period to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Threturns on a bond portloin. The surble that why when I said "significantly", I'm looking at these numbers at these numbers of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The spielies on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to	1	, ,		
22 something. Is that possible? Can you send 23 that to—one page? Does that explain where 24 it came from? We'll do our best, Madam 25 Chair to— Page 110 1 CHAIR: 2 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the 3 record for us to be able to take note of it 4 in any event. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 6 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 7 and I'll come on to— 8 DR. LAZAR: 9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 companies in Canada? 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any— 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 br. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 companies for the auto insurance companies 26 companies in Canada explain where 25 companies of returns. So, that's why when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to 25 mky when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to 26 mky when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to 27 mky when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to 27 mky when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to 27 mky when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know what happened to 28 mky when I said "significant", I'm looking at these numbers. I know hap happened to 29 these was no much capital appreciation. The 20 there was no much capital appreciation. The 20 there was no much capital appreciation. The 20 there was no much capital appreciation. The 21 prides on there was no much capital appreciation. The 20 there was no much capital appreciation. The 21 prides on there was no much capital appreciation. The 21 prides on there was no much capital appreciation. The 22 prides on there was no much capital appreciation. The 22 prides on there was no much capital appreciation. The 22 prides on there w	1			
that to—one page? Does that explain where it came from? We'll do our best, Madam Chair to— Page 110 CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: O. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to— STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: O. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to— STAMP, Q.C.:				
24				
25 Chair to - Page 110 Page 110	1			
Page 110 CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies in Canada? Line companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies in Canada? Line companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Companies in Canada? Line companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 CHAIR: 2 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the 3 record for us to be able to take note of it 4 in any event. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 6 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 7 and I'll come on to — 8 DR. LAZAR: 9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 11 sTAMP, Q.C.: 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any — 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 1 interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? 14 Q. That's a GISA number. 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number, I believe. 29 Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? 20 Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	25			
2 Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the 3 record for us to be able to take note of it 4 in any event. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 6 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 7 and I'll come on to – 7 lower than these reported numbers. So, 8 DR. LAZAR: 9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 11 companies in Canada? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 2 there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? 24 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,		Page 1101		
record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — BR. LAZAR: Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent to that 11 percent to the total that it is equivalent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? A. No, that's from the GISA number? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? That's a GISA number? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? That's a GISA number, I believe. That's a GIS	1 1	<u> </u>	1	_
in any event. In the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? In appropriate are they for the auto insurance operating in this province? I		CHAIR:		interest rates over this period of time, so
5 STAMP, Q.C.: 6 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 7 and I'll come on to — 8 DR. LAZAR: 9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 11 companies in Canada? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any — 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 5 the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. 6 returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, 8 those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? 10 STAMP, Q.C.: 11 3 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 Cokay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the	2	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The
6 Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold 7 and I'll come on to – 8 DR. LAZAR: 9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 11 companies in Canada? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 7 lower than these reported numbers. So, 16 those numbers might be interesting, I would 27 question them, and in particular how 28 appropriate are they for the auto insurance 29 companies operating in this province? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you 24 get 12.12, is that your number? 25 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 26 A. No, that's a GISA number? 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 29 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it	2 3	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know,
7 and I'll come on to – 7 lower than these reported numbers. So, 8 DR. LAZAR: 8 those numbers might be interesting, I would 9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 10 appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies in Canada? 11 companies operating in this province? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you 14 DR. LAZAR: 14 get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 know any – 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event.	2 3 4	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to
8 DR. LAZAR: 9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 11 companies in Canada? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any - 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 DR. LAZAR: 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any - 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment
9 A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can 10 comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 11 companies in Canada? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any - 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance ompanies 9 question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? 10 companies operating in this province? 11 companies operating in this province? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold	2 3 4 5 6	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly
comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C 10 appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies in Canada? 11 companies operating in this province? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you 14 DR. LAZAR: 14 get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to –	2 3 4 5 6 7	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So,
11 companies in Canada? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any - 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 11 companies operating in this province? 12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? 14 get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So,
12 STAMP, Q.C.: 13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any - 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 20 So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you 14 get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how
13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you 14 get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how
13 Q. I gather so. 14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 13 Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you 14 get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance
14 DR. LAZAR: 15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 14 get 12.12, is that your number? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province?
15 A. And what time period? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.:
16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't 18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 20 A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 Q. That's a GISA number? 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you
17Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't17STAMP, Q.C.:18know any -18Q. That's a GISA number?19DR. LAZAR:19DR. LAZAR:20A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year?20A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe.21STAMP, Q.C.:21STAMP, Q.C.:22Q. Oh, I'm sure it would.22Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find23DR. LAZAR:23that, please?24A. And secondly, you don't know what that number is for the auto insurance companies24DR. LAZAR:25number is for the auto insurance companies25A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number?
18 know any – 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 28 Q. That's a GISA number? 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR:
19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers.
20 A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.:
21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't know any –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's a GISA number?
22 Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 22 Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't know any – DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's a GISA number? DR. LAZAR:
23 DR. LAZAR: 24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 25 number is for the auto insurance companies 23 that, please? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't know any — DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's a GISA number? DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe.
24 A. And secondly, you don't know what that 24 DR. LAZAR: number is for the auto insurance companies 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't know any – DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's a GISA number? DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. STAMP, Q.C.:
number is for the auto insurance companies 25 A. Okay, going back—sorry, this is not GISA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't know any – DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Oh, I'm sure it would.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's a GISA number? DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find
1 276 6 37	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to — DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't know any — DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's a GISA number? DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIR: Q. Yes, well, it would have to put on the record for us to be able to take note of it in any event. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's fine, sure. We'll let that unfold and I'll come on to – DR. LAZAR: A. Can I just ask one thing and then you can comment? The 11 percent, that's for all P&C companies in Canada? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I gather so. DR. LAZAR: A. And what time period? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. At the end of December 2017, but I don't know any – DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but it could vary from year to year? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Oh, I'm sure it would. DR. LAZAR: A. And secondly, you don't know what that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	interest rates over this period of time, so there was no much capital appreciation. The yields on them are quite low and, you know, applying 89 percent to that 11 percent to the rest, you're going to get investment returns on equity that are significantly lower than these reported numbers. So, those numbers might be interesting, I would question them, and in particular how appropriate are they for the auto insurance companies operating in this province? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, on that point, Mr. Lazar, where did you get 12.12, is that your number? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's from the GISA numbers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's a GISA number? DR. LAZAR: A. Sorry, should be a GISA number, I believe. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Can you tell me where in GISA we'll find that, please? DR. LAZAR:

Page 113 Page 115 1 it's from the individual insurance 1 0. No. 2 companies, from the MSA research base. 2 DR. LAZAR: 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 3 What's called "other," that 16 percent? A. 4 So, this is MSA information you're saying? 4 STAMP, O.C.: 5 5 DR. LAZAR: I don't know. I don't know the answer. Q. Yes. 6 DR. LAZAR: 6 A. 7 7 STAMP, Q.C.: A. Okay. 8 Okay. So, they've done some kind of a 8 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. 9 calculation to break down Newfoundland – 9 Okay, in any event, apparently, it's 10 DR. LAZAR: 10 something other than shares. 11 A. No, they've just reported, here's the net 11 DR. LAZAR: 12 income from investment, here's the equity 12 Α. Um-hm. It could be investment in, you know, and we've allocated it to these companies. 13 other companies that are essentially 13 14 They didn't so these calculations. We used 14 investing shares. I—again – 15 their numbers, simply a matter of taking a 15 STAMP, Q.C.: ratio between two numbers to produce these 16 16 Q. Okay. 17 numbers. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 A. If I add the two together, 27 percent, it's 19 I'm going to ask if we can bring up the 19 still not, at least for these companies Q. 20 20 source of the information that I was operating in Newfoundland and Labrador, the 21 referring to. Do you see that, Mr. Lazar, 21 splits are going to be different, and it's on the screen now? 22 remember, we weren't using 2017 data, so 22 23 23 what were the data for 2011 to 2016? DR. LAZAR: 24 24 STAMP, Q.C.: A. Yes. 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 25 O. Okay. Mr. Lazar, I'm going to come back now Page 116 Page 114 So this is, I take it, Canada wide, P&C? 1 Q. 1 if I can to the early part of your report. 2 DR LAZAR: 2 Get myself organized. At page 5 of your 3 Um-hm. 3 report, please. A. DR. LAZAR: 4 STAMP, O.C.: 4 5 I'm assuming—can we just go to the top 5 Yeah. A. 6 again, please? Yes, okay, so that's the 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 discussion. It's an IBC document. If you 7 And you get into a discussion here about the Q. 8 8 can just go down to the bottom, you'll see exclusion or inclusion of various companies? 9 DR. LAZAR: there, it's investments and I guess, it's 9 10 millions of dollars I guess it is, as of 10 Yes. A. December 31, 2017 and at the bottom of that, STAMP, O.C.: 11 11 it's hard to make it out, but it looks like 12 12 O. And then, we go to page, I think it's 24. So, you list 15 companies right there on 13 "as of 2016 Q4 Investments reported through 13 that chart at page 24? It's table 13. OSFI regulatory returns exclude pool funds 14 14 15 accounted using equity method, source IBC, 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 MSA, SCOR AMF and you'll see shares are 16 A. I believe so. 17 shown both as a dollar amount and as a STAMP, O.C.: 17 I just did a quick count. 18 percentage. 18 Q. DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 20 A. What's the other category? 20 A. It sounds right. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Well, it looks like mortgages. 22 And I'm just going to go a little further Q. 0. 23 23 over. I think there was a further DR. LAZAR: 24 discussion on this point a little further 24 No, no, no, the -25 STAMP, Q.C.: 25 along. Yes, at page 32, Mr. Lazar.

	nber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 117		Page 119
1	DR. LAZAR:	1	DR. LAZAR:
2	A. Um-hm.	2	A. Yes.
3	STAMP, Q.C.:	3	STAMP, Q.C.:
4	Q. I think this is the same 15 companies, but	4	Q. And by "average written premium," does that
5	you mention two others. Is that Tokio	5	mean '11 through '16, six years, six times,
6	Marine or Tokyo Marine? How do you say	6	you know, eighty-eight—six times nine,
7	that, do you know?	7	\$54,000 or so is what we're talking about?
8	DR. LAZAR:	8	DR. LAZAR:
9	A. I would assume –	9	A. Yes.
10	STAMP, Q.C.:	10	STAMP, Q.C.:
11	Q. Anyway, Tokio Marine.	11	Q. That's why they are a small and marginal
12	DR. LAZAR:	12	player?
13	A. Yes.	13	DR. LAZAR:
14	STAMP, Q.C.:	14	A. Yes.
15	, ,	I	
1	Q. And Zurich are two mentioned companies. Do	1	STAMP, Q.C.:
16	you see that in the paragraph below the	16	Q. And you excluded Zurich because they only
17	list?	17	operated in '11, '15 and '16?
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	DR. LAZAR:
19	A. Yeah.	19	A. According to the data we were given, yes.
20	STAMP, Q.C.:	20	STAMP, Q.C.:
21	Q. Yes. And what you said was, "We excluded	21	Q. And this is MSA data in both cases?
22	both."	22	DR. LAZAR:
23	DR. LAZAR:	23	A. Yes.
24	A. Yes.	24	STAMP, Q.C.:
25	STAMP, Q.C.:	25	Q. Okay. So, what would be—I mean, where Tokio
	Page 118		Page 120
1	Q. And if I'm saying it right, "Tokio Marine	1	was excluded because, you know, \$8,833 on
2	was a marginal player at best in the	2	average in 2011 through 2016, but what would
3	industry in the province with an average of	3	be the cut-off? If they had been \$20,000,
4	\$8,833 in written premiums during the period	l .	would they have stayed?
5	2011 to 2016."	5	DR. LAZAR:
6	DR. LAZAR:	l	214, 21 22 24
1		16	A That would be 20 000 Looking at what—200-
1 7	A Correct	6 7	A. That would be 20,000. Looking at what—200-
7	A. Correct.	7	plus million. Probably the cut-off would
8	STAMP, Q.C.:	7 8	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one
8 9	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded?	7 8 9	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable.
8 9 10	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR:	7 8 9 10	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.:
8 9 10 11	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the	7 8 9 10 11	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number,
8 9 10 11 12	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the	7 8 9 10 11 12	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or —
8 9 10 11 12 13	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player? DR. LAZAR:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand. DR. LAZAR:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand. DR. LAZAR: A. Again –
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. With what I take it you mean to be a small	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand. DR. LAZAR: A. Again – STAMP, Q.C.:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. With what I take it you mean to be a small average written premium?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand. DR. LAZAR: A. Again – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, 8,000 is way too low for you. Two hundred thousand would have been –
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. With what I take it you mean to be a small average written premium? DR. LAZAR:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand. DR. LAZAR: A. Again – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, 8,000 is way too low for you. Two hundred thousand would have been – DR. LAZAR:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. With what I take it you mean to be a small average written premium? DR. LAZAR: A. That's right.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand. DR. LAZAR: A. Again – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, 8,000 is way too low for you. Two hundred thousand would have been – DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah, again, if you throw that in, that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. That's why it was excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. We excluded them in order to calculate the beta, so that would could calculate the return in equity. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But what you say is that it appeared to me a marginal player? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. With what I take it you mean to be a small average written premium? DR. LAZAR:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	plus million. Probably the cut-off would have been, figure it out, on tenth of one percent would have been reasonable. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, how does that translate into a number, you know, per month or something or – DR. LAZAR: A. Roughly 200,000. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Two hundred thousand. DR. LAZAR: A. Again – STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, 8,000 is way too low for you. Two hundred thousand would have been – DR. LAZAR:

Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 121		Page 123
1	STAMP, Q.C.:	1	DR. LAZAR:
2	Q. Okay.	2	A. No, we didn't get data on that company.
3	DR. LAZAR:	3	STAMP, Q.C.:
4	A. In the case of Zurich, if you were throwing	4	Q. Okay. I can tell you that if we looked at
5	that in, that would have affected it because	5	all the charts, my understanding that they
6	it was in and out, and you want consistency,	6	provided insurance coverage or wrote premium
7	you wanted companies that were in this	7	2011 through 2015, but not in '16, and the
8	industry throughout this period.	8	total premium was about 1.7 million dollars,
9	STAMP, Q.C.:	9	would that be a number that should have been
10	Q. Okay, okay. So, on that note, I want to	10	included in some fashion in your analysis?
11	bring up the material from the	11	(12:00 p.m.)
12	superintendent who was the regular, one of	12	DR. LAZAR:
13	the regulators in Newfoundland, the	13	A. You said they weren't in there in 2016?
14	Superintendent of Insurance. He publishes a	14	STAMP, Q.C.:
15	report showing insurance premiums and claims	15	Q. They were not there in '16.
16	and so on. And if we turn to that, that's	16	DR. LAZAR:
17	been—I think it's on the system now. We've	17	A. Well, we would have excluded them because we
18	provided the table 1 chart from the	18	would not have consistency.
19	Superintendent's Report from 2011 through	19	STAMP, Q.C.:
20	2016. Okay? So, if we can bring up 2011,	20	Q. Okay.
21	please. And with '11 I'll turn first to ACE	21	DR. LAZAR:
22	INA Insurance. That's the first one on the	22	A. Remember the purpose of the companies are
23	second page, the first company. This is a	23	included here were for estimating for
24	published document by the Public Utilities—	24	incorporating them into the capital asset
25	by, I'm, sorry, by the Superintendent of	25	pricing model to estimate a measure of the
	Page 122		Page 124
1	Insurance. This one is published for 2011,	1	risk profile.
2	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to	2	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show	2 3	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next
2	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it,	2	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic
2 3 4 5	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which	2 3 4 5	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry?
2 3 4 5 6	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of	2 3 4 5 6	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the	2 3 4 5 6 7	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the exhibit, you'll see that they, for each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in 2015; and 2.4 million in 2016. An
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the exhibit, you'll see that they, for each company, they provide a similar breakdown,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in 2015; and 2.4 million in 2016. An approximate total of 20 million dollars in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the exhibit, you'll see that they, for each company, they provide a similar breakdown, and in the second row in each case it's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in 2015; and 2.4 million in 2016. An approximate total of 20 million dollars in earned premium in the six-year period. Why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the exhibit, you'll see that they, for each company, they provide a similar breakdown, and in the second row in each case it's the total earned premium, and in the third row	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in 2015; and 2.4 million in 2016. An approximate total of 20 million dollars in earned premium in the six-year period. Why would they be excluded?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the exhibit, you'll see that they, for each company, they provide a similar breakdown, and in the second row in each case it's the total earned premium, and in the third row it's total direct claims. That's what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in 2015; and 2.4 million in 2016. An approximate total of 20 million dollars in earned premium in the six-year period. Why would they be excluded? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the exhibit, you'll see that they, for each company, they provide a similar breakdown, and in the second row in each case it's the total earned premium, and in the third row it's total direct claims. That's what the Superintendent reports. So, here we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through the—all of these reports from '11 through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in 2015; and 2.4 million in 2016. An approximate total of 20 million dollars in earned premium in the six-year period. Why would they be excluded? DR. LAZAR: A. Again, if they're not on our list, the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Insurance. This one is published for 2011, and if you turn to the last page, just to have the—we have that last page just to show you how it's—how they're focusing on it, there's some totals on that last page which is page 14 of this document at the bottom of the page. So, you'll see that in—under the columns for automobile insurance they have a number of categories, but total earned premiums is the middle line. And you'll see the total earned premiums in, I guess, in thousands of dollars. So, the total earned premium for liability, 245 million, plus; for personal accident, 29 million; for other, 106 million. Okay? So, that's what they—that how they do it, and then you go back to the front, to the second page of the exhibit, you'll see that they, for each company, they provide a similar breakdown, and in the second row in each case it's the total earned premium, and in the third row it's total direct claims. That's what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	risk profile. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Let me jump down, Mr. Lazar, to the next page, the top of the next page, Atlantic Insurance. Do you see that entry? DR. LAZAR: A. Um-hm. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I can tell you that in this year, they have of course as you can see, 2.9 million and 98 thousand and then 994 million in coverages, but I've taken the trouble of going through '16. And Atlantic Insurance premium in 2011 was 3.9 million; 3.6 plus in 2012; 3.4 million plus in 2013; just shy of 3.5 million in 2014; just over 3 million in 2015; and 2.4 million in 2016. An approximate total of 20 million dollars in earned premium in the six-year period. Why would they be excluded? DR. LAZAR:

Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 125		Page 127
1	then we did not incorporate them into our	1	A. No, no, no, please. Please.
2	analysis.	2	STAMP, Q.C.:
3	STAMP, Q.C.:	3	Q. Just go ahead.
4	Q. Did you ask, or did you look, at the	4	DR. LAZAR:
1		5	
5	Superintendent's Reports to see what other		A. You know, I think it's important to
6	data would be available?	6	understand this. So, here are the premiums
7	DR. LAZAR:	7	that were set. The premiums that were
8	A. No, we went strictly to MSA.	8	charged could have been lower for various
9	STAMP, Q.C.:	9	reasons, competitive reasons or whatever.
10	Q. So, if MSA didn't have Atlantic and you're—	10	The actual performance of the insurance
11	and you say they don't –	11	companies would have been—could have been
12	DR. LAZAR:	12	different from what was anticipated and
13	A. Right.	13	could have been different from that ten
14	STAMP, Q.C.:	14	percent because the claims experience was
15	Q they missed 20 million dollars worth of	15	different, their operating expenses
16	premium from an insurer who wrote every year	16	experience were different. Okay? But here
1			
17	from '11 through '16?	17	are the premiums that were set. Our
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	argument based solely on what would have
19	A. Okay. Now, let me explain the relevance of	19	been appropriate return on equity suggests
20	this, if any. If we had this complete set,	20	even if you use the ROI assumptions, even if
21	and we had an expanded data set, would that	21	you use the expense assumptions, because the
22	have produced a different estimate for beta	22	ROE should have been lower, the permitted
23	and the risk profile? Maybe. Would the	23	premiums would have been lower. So, you're
24	beta have been higher or lower? Don't know.	24	going to get that gap year in, year out,
25	Could have been lower which would have meant	25	which means you have overpayment of
	Page 126		Page 128
1	the return equity according to the capital	1	premiums. Adjusting for the ROE for the
1 2	asset pricing model should have been lower.	2	return on investment and expenses expands
$\frac{2}{2}$			* *
3	Could the beta have been higher? Possibly,	3	that. Now, what happens when insurance
4	which would have biased it upwards. What's	4	companies lose money? It should matter to
5	the degree of sort of adjustment in the beta	5	the regulator. There are assumptions made
6	as a result of having a more complete set?	6	the beginning. You set the premiums. The
7	We don't know. I'm willing to bet that it's	7	experience is going to vary. Some years the
8	not going to change that much. You know,	8	industry will actually do better than at
9	our estimate of return on equity might be a	9	regulated return in equity if they got
10	little higher. Now, with regards to the	10	lucky. Some years they're going to do
11	loss estimates and that's probably what	11	worse. They got unlucky for the industry as
12	you're getting to, let me make this clear,	12	a whole. Within the industry in a given
13	was there overpayment in every year of	13	year, some companies do better, some do
14	premiums? The answer to that is	14	worse. So, if you're doing the analysis
15	unequivocally yes, regardless of the actual	15	correctly, the actual after-the-fact return
16	performance of the insurance companies.	16	on equities from estimating the overpayments
1	•		almost becomes irrelevant. What matters is
17	Now, how can I say this with certainty?	17	
18	Because the assumptions that were used in	18	what were the assumptions used in setting
19	setting the premiums used a return in equity	19	the premiums. What happens during the year
20	that too high, used a return in investment	20	and the final performance becomes
21	that was too low, and did not look at best	21	irrelevant. So if we included a larger
22	practices with regards to expenses. So –	22	sample of companies, it affects the data, it
23	STAMP, Q.C.:	23	would affect our estimate of the return on
24	Q. So, even in the –	24	equity. Would it push that return on equity
25	DR. LAZAR:	25	up to 10 percent; no way, wouldn't even come

September 13, 2018 Page 129 Page 131 1 close. With regards to overpayments, even 1 on equity, therefore, should be equal to the 2 2 in the years when the insurance companies risk free rate, 2.5 percent. So if you want 3 3 lost money, the answer to that is there were to spend more time going through this, 4 overpayments, period, because you used 4 that's fine. I no longer see the relevance 5 5 different assumptions for setting the of it, but you've got the floor, you can 6 premiums than what should have been used, pursue it. 6 7 7 plain and simple. So you can look at this STAMP, Q.C.: 8 8 data, you can say we did include these, you Q. Sovereign Insurance is not on your list, as 9 9 should have included these, what about those I see it. It wrote insurance every year 10 negative years, the reality is using logic, 10 just under 20 million dollars, 2.6, 3.6, 11 using common sense, using different sets of 11 2.8, 3.2 or 3.3, 3.2, 3.9. They're not 12 assumptions that were more appropriate. 12 included. they're overpayments. 13 DR. LAZAR: 13 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 Α. Okay, but again we can go through it company 15 We're going to come back to all that, Mr. 15 by company. I'm not denying any of this. Q. Lazar. All of that we'll come back to, but STAMP, Q.C.: 16 16 the first piece – Okay. 17 17 Q. 18 DR. LAZAR: 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 Yeah, but it's -19 All I'm asking is, what's the relevance of A. 20 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 20 it? Is he finished? The witness has to be 21 21 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. allowed to finish his answer. 22 22 Q. Right. 23 23 DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: 24 But again we can go through these tables, 24 A. Α And I've answered what the relevance of it 25 you can go company to company, why didn't 25 Page 130 Page 132 1 you include it, we didn't have it. You have 1 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 2 to understand what difference would this Well, you excluded Zurich, according to your 3 have made, and I'm saying the only place it 3 note, because it didn't write -4 comes in, it comes in two places; one, how 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 does it impact the return on equity, and in 5 Because we had data available on Zurich. Α. 6 my view, without looking at the companies we 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 7 You excluded it? missed, without including them, their Q. 8 8 DR LAZAR: impacts, I'm willing to bet, would have been 9 marginal. The worst case scenario, it would 9 Α. Because we had data available. These 10 have increased what would have been 10 companies you're pointing out, they're not appropriate after tax return on equity, 25, on a list, we didn't have the data available 11 11 12 50, 75 basis points. Averaged over that 12 on them. period, you go from 6 to maybe 6.75 percent STAMP, Q.C.: 13 13 14 maybe. Just as likely it could have reduced 14 0. The data is right here in the 15 it. So that's the worst case scenario for 15 Superintendent's Report published every year 16 estimating overpayments, but in terms of 16 for Newfoundland. 17 overpayments, premiums too high, I say MR. FELTHAM: 17 18 unequivocally that was the case in every 18 Q. Madam Chair, this has been asked now a 19 year regardless of what data you show me, 19 number of times. Mr. Lazar has given his 20 regardless of what happens if you include 20 answer. He's indicating, "I've given you my 21 those negative years. If you're going to 21 answer, it's the same answer, you can refer

22

23

24

25

tell me that we've got to guarantee the

insurance companies generate this return on

equity each and every year, then the risk is

being shifted onto consumers and the return

22

23

24

25

to each company and go through line by line,

that's my answer". He shouldn't keep – it's

now quarter after 12. How much time are we going to spend going over and over the same

Septer	mber 13, 2018	2017 Automobile Insurance Review	
	Page 133		Page 135
1	thing.	1	matters, if you want us to include to
2	STAMP, Q.C.:	2	broaden this, great, give us the detailed
3	Q. Until, I guess, I get my questions answered.	3	information, we'll be able – we'll do it on
4	MR. FELTHAM:	4	our time, our expense, to see how it affects
5	Q. The problem is Mr. Stamp doesn't like the	5	that measure of data, and I suspect it's
6	answer that he's getting.	6	going to have a trivial effect on that
7	A. But, you know –	7	estimate of data, and hence on the estimate
8	O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.:	8	of the return on equity, okay.
9	Q. Excuse me, Dr. Lazar, as hearing counsel, I	9	STAMP, Q.C.:
10	just make the observation, Madam Chair, that	10	Q. But, Mr. Lazar –
11	Mr. Stamp is entitled to ask what dataset is	11	DR. LAZAR:
12	relied upon in a presenter's report. Do I	12	A. Now if you want us to do the complete
13	simply turn it back and say, you know, maybe	13	thorough analysis, and go to the regulatory
14	the fastest way forward is to let Mr. Stamp	14	data, if you also have the ex anti-claims
15	ask his questions and then we can take that	15	numbers, we can run this through. I've done
16	information which way it goes. Constantly	16	some simple calculations that suggest the
17	interrupting, as I've said earlier, for the	17	overpayment on the premiums probably run 8
18	sake of good order in the transcript, it's	18	to 10 percent per year, year in, year out,
19	not that helpful. So I think in the context	19	regardless of the performance, and I base
20	that we're in, Mr. Stamp is simply asking	20	this on looking at what the multiple of the
21	about data.	21	claims should be based on different
22	DR. LAZAR:	22	assumptions with regards to expense ratio,
23	A. If I can say something, I have no problem –	23	the ROE, and the return on investment.
24	O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.:	24	Simple calculations. I can just run through
25	Q. Excuse me, Dr. Lazar, just –	25	a few of these and it gives me a number of 8
H	Page 134		Page 136
1	CHAIR:	1	to 10 percent in premium overpayments year
2	Q. Excuse me, Mr. Lazar, you can respond to	2	in, year out. If you want, I'll expand the
3	questions from the –	3	dataset, I'm going to expand the premium
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	number and the overpayments. It's quite
5	A. Okay, sorry. Thank you.	5	simple. So you want to ask me other
6	CHAIR:	6	companies that are not on the list, and why
7	Q. Mr. Stamp, I understand the tenure of your	7	they're not in a list, the answer is the
8	questions. Are you going to ask the same	8	same, they weren't in the dataset that MSA
9	questions. The you going to ask the same question with respect to each of the	9	sent us. Is it relevant for analysis; yes.
10	companies or is it a general –	10	Is it going to significant alter analysis;
11	STAMP, Q.C.:	11	I'm willing to say probably not. Does it
12	Q. I won't do that. We'll capture this, Madam	12	affect our conclusions with regards to
13	Chair, I guess, in other materials later on,	13	overpayments on the premiums? Absolutely
14	but Zurich was specifically excluded we were		not.
15	told because they only wrote three years.	15	STAMP, Q.C.:
16	Is that right, Mr. Lazar?	16	Q. Are you done? Zurich, you report only wrote
17	DR. LAZAR:	17	a premium or collected premium in '11, '15,
18	A. I can repeat this only one way. We were	18	and '16, and yet the Superintendent's Report
19	given a certain dataset. The companies	19	shows that they were also here for '12, '13,
20	you're pointing out were not on that	20	and '14. About the same numbers through the
1	dataset. With the OSFI data, I suspect all	21	whole piece in those years as well.
21	dataset. With the Ost i data, i suspect all		DR. LAZAR:
21	the detailed other information may or may	(')')	
22	the detailed other information may or may	22	
22 23	not be available. Why MSA did not include	23	A. That's not what the data that we were given
22			

Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 137		Page 139
1	STAMP, Q.C.:	1	STAMP, Q.C.:
2	Q. Right. So what I'm back to is you had said	2	Q. Are you referring to both here or just one?
3	earlier that you didn't have available the	3	DR. LAZAR:
4	permitted premiums in Newfoundland, which	4	A. I'm just referring to one.
5	are all published through this Public	5	STAMP, Q.C.:
6	Utilities Board, you didn't have the data on	6	Q. Which one are you referring to?
7	who wrote insurance, and you just had to go	7	DR. LAZAR:
8	to the Superintendent's information and	8	A. The return on their investment portfolio.
9	you'd have every insurance company for every	9	STAMP, Q.C.:
10	year in the period you're interested in,	10	Q. So you're not referring to equity here?
11	2011 through 2016. Every single company is	11	DR. LAZAR:
12	here, and you relied on 17 insurers, I	12	A. No.
13	guess, that you were provided with data from	13	STAMP, Q.C.:
14 15	MSA or MSI, whatever they're called, and you	14 15	Q. Returns on equity is not being referred to? DR. LAZAR:
	excluded a bunch of those. Is that right?	16	
16 17	DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	17	A. No. STAMP, Q.C.:
18	STAMP, Q.C.:	18	Q. You say that it is, but it's not, I take it?
19	Q. Okay, because there's, I don't know, 30 or	19	DR. LAZAR:
20	40 insurers here. Your report at page 12,	20	A. Not in that point.
21	Mr. Lazar, this is when you're speaking	21	STAMP, Q.C.:
22	about the ROI, you identify the ROI produced	22	Q. Okay, and at page 30, if you can just turn
23	by Oliver Wyman. That's out of their	23	to that page, there's a series of bullets
24	report, is it not, that table?	24	there, and again I'm trying to understand
25	DR. LAZAR:	25	what terminology you use, or what you
	Page 138		Page 140
1	A. Yes.	1	understand to be the discussion, in the
2	STAMP, Q.C.:	2	fifth bullet it says, "Expected investment
3	Q. At Table 6?	3	income return on equity". Is that the same
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	thing?
5	A. Yes.	5	DR. LAZAR:
6	STAMP, Q.C.:	6	A. No, it's the investment, return investment,
7	Q. And at Table 7, you produce a table that	7	the denominator we equated with equity, and
8	some of it is GISA data, and some of your	8	again if you go to the preceding table,
9	own calculations, I believe. Is that	9	that's how the numbers are calculated, and
10	correct?	10	here investment return on equity, it's not
11	DR. LAZAR:	11	the return on equity, the net profit of the
12	A. These should all be derived from the GISA	12	company divided by their equity, but rather
13	data, yes. Some of these ratios.	13	their net investment income divided by their
14	STAMP, Q.C.:	14	investment portfolio, which we equated with
15	Q. Well, let me just make sure I'm clear, first	15	equity. This is not the return on equity,
16	of all, just a couple of point. If you can	16	it's the return on their investment.
17	pop ahead to page 14, and Item 4. I want to	17	STAMP, Q.C.: O So is bullet 15 (cia) preparly explained or
18	make sure we speak the same language. You		Q. So, is bullet 15 (sic) properly explained or
10	say in Item 4, "The returns on equity, or	19	properly described?
19	DOI bearing in mind the preceding	20	
20	ROI, keeping in mind the preceding	20	DR. LAZAR: A Rullet? Well we thought it was properly
20 21	observations, were in excess of 10 percent".	21	A. Bullet? Well, we thought it was properly
20 21 22	observations, were in excess of 10 percent". Are you saying both, or is ROE and ROI the	21 22	A. Bullet? Well, we thought it was properly described, but obviously it was
20 21 22 23	observations, were in excess of 10 percent". Are you saying both, or is ROE and ROI the same thing?	21 22 23	A. Bullet? Well, we thought it was properly described, but obviously it was misinterpreted. We said, "Return on
20 21 22	observations, were in excess of 10 percent". Are you saying both, or is ROE and ROI the	21 22	A. Bullet? Well, we thought it was properly described, but obviously it was

	nber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 141		Page 143
1	STAMP, Q.C.:	1	divide that by the equity. That's the
2	Q. Okay, all right, just come back to Table 7	2	return on equity. So the return, what we
3	at page 13, please.	3	call return on investment – a line that
4	DR. LAZAR:	4	comes farther up in that table, that's just
5	A. Which table?	5	-
			one component of their overall
$\begin{vmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \end{vmatrix}$	STAMP, Q.C.:	6	profitability.
7	Q. Seven.	7	STAMP, Q.C.:
8	DR. LAZAR:	8	Q. But I'm looking at this line, investment
9	A. Seven.	9	income over equity. Now, am I looking at a
10	STAMP, Q.C.:	10	return on investment?
11	Q. And three parts of the way down that table,	11	DR. LAZAR:
12	there's a net investment income?	12	A. Return on investment.
13	DR. LAZAR:	13	STAMP, Q.C.:
14	A. Yeah.	14	Q. I take it and this pops up at your Table
15	STAMP, Q.C.:	15	9 as well the same numbers show up in
16	Q. And below that an allocated equity, and then	16	Table 9?
17	you divide the two?	17	DR. LAZAR:
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Yes.	19	STAMP, Q.C.:
20	STAMP, Q.C.:	20	Q. So, if I take \$1,000 and invest it and earn
21	Q. And that gives you 15.08 in '12, 10.9 in	21	\$100, I do a calculation that says what my
22	'13, and so on, up to 6.4 in '16. Is that	22	return on my investment was.
23	right?	23	DR. LAZAR:
$\frac{23}{24}$	DR. LAZAR:	24	A. Ten percent.
25	A. Yes.	25	STAMP, Q.C.:
23		23	STAIMI, Q.C
			5 444
١.	Page 142		Page 144
1	STAMP, Q.C.:	1	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw
2	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity,	2	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my
2 3	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not?	2 3	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take
2	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR:	2	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made
2 3	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment.	2 3	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I?
2 3 4	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made
2 3 4 5	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment.	2 3 4 5	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I?
2 3 4 5 6	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment pool, and that will then filter into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment pool, and that will then filter into determining the overall profitability of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment pool, and that will then filter into determining the overall profitability of the companies. Then you look at – the last line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. So you throw that into the base and you look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment pool, and that will then filter into determining the overall profitability of the companies. Then you look at – the last line there, and the insurance companies, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. So you throw that into the base and you look at what is – so, your capital appreciation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment pool, and that will then filter into determining the overall profitability of the companies. Then you look at – the last line there, and the insurance companies, they make underwriting profits or losses, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. So you throw that into the base and you look at what is – so, your capital appreciation. I mean there's capital losses on that less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment pool, and that will then filter into determining the overall profitability of the companies. Then you look at – the last line there, and the insurance companies, they make underwriting profits or losses, they make money on all their investments. Add	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. So you throw that into the base and you look at what is – so, your capital appreciation. I mean there's capital losses on that less whatever sort of maintenance expenditures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And you identify that as return on equity, do you not? DR. LAZAR: A. No, that's return on investment. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's return on investment? DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, there are two parts here, and they both involve equity, so assuming the equity that companies commit a certain amount of cash, that's equivalent to the reserves that are available to invest. So we assume that the two are the same. So that pool is available and invested in a group of financial assets. What we're measuring here is what's the return on that investment pool, and that will then filter into determining the overall profitability of the companies. Then you look at – the last line there, and the insurance companies, they make underwriting profits or losses, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. If I happen to own a house, I don't throw that into the discussion to see what my return on my investment was. I just take the \$1,000 I invested and the income I made on that \$1,000, don't I? DR. LAZAR: A. See that's – if you do that, that's because you didn't take a finance course. Because finance makes it quite clear, you have to look at all your assets, not just pure financial assets. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Um-hm. DR. LAZAR: A. For most people, the house is the biggest asset. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. DR. LAZAR: A. So you throw that into the base and you look at what is – so, your capital appreciation. I mean there's capital losses on that less

Septe	nber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 145		Page 147
1	Q. But I understood you to say that the return	1	STAMP, Q.C.:
2	on equity, ten percent which is the	2	Q. Okay. In any event, you're saying – what
3	benchmark, is too high and one of the	3	you're saying – let me just make sure we
4	reasons it's too high you said, I thought,	4	have this clear, is that return on
5	was that rates of return on investments,	5	investment, you don't take the amount you've
6	ROI, was given at a certain amount but it	6	earned on the investment and divide it by
7	was actually, in your view, higher than the	7	the amount of the investment to get the
8	insurers said it was. And therefore -	8	return on the investment, to get the rate of
9	DR. LAZAR:	9	return? That's not how you do it? Is that
10	A. No. Those two are unrelated. The return on	10	right?
11	equity that we estimated that would be	11	DR. LAZAR:
12	appropriate was derived from – okay, what's	12	A. No, that's exactly how we did it.
13	the risk profile of this industry? So, you	13	STAMP, Q.C.:
14	look at any type of asset class, any type of	14	Q. Oh, okay. Oh, that's how you did it?
15	company, and you've got here's the risk-free	15	DR. LAZAR:
16	return. How much more should that asset	16	A. Um-hm.
17	generate on average? How much more should		STAMP, Q.C.:
18	that company earn on average on its equity	18	Q. That's how you did it?
19	investment in that company? And that	19	DR. LAZAR:
20	depends on the risk profile. The risk	20	A. Yes.
21	profile is derived from applying the capital	21	STAMP, Q.C.:
22	asset pricing model. So, that's what we did	22	Q. Well, I had just said a few minutes ago I
23	and that generated a return on equity.	23	invest \$1,000, earn \$100 on it -
24	Now, we then looked at the actual	24	DR. LAZAR:
25	now, we then looked at the actual	25	A. Right.
43		43	A. Nigiit.
	D 146		
1	Page 146	1	Page 148
1	returns on equity and they're going to be	1	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.:
2	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the	2	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return
2 3	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in	2 3	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was.
2 3 4	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if	2 3 4	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on	2 3 4 5	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you
2 3 4 5 6	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just	2 3 4 5 6	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that return on equity was in part derived out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return you've made on your -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that return on equity was in part derived out of ROI.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return you've made on your - STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that return on equity was in part derived out of ROI. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return you've made on your - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. On what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that return on equity was in part derived out of ROI. DR. LAZAR: A. I didn't say that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return you've made on your - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. On what? DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that return on equity was in part derived out of ROI. DR. LAZAR: A. I didn't say that. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return you've made on your - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. On what? DR. LAZAR: A. On your assets.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that return on equity was in part derived out of ROI. DR. LAZAR: A. I didn't say that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I thought you did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return you've made on your - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. On what? DR. LAZAR: A. On your assets. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	returns on equity and they're going to be influenced in part by the returns the companies generate on their investments in their investment portfolio. And again, if you look at the GISA data and the returns on investment, at those levels that just reinforced the point I made earlier that there's no way you can generate those returns by investing two-thirds of your portfolio in bonds during that period of time. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well, that's a very long answer. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But it did say somewhere in that answer that return on equity was in part derived out of ROI. DR. LAZAR: A. I didn't say that. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 148 STAMP, Q.C.: Q and that tells me what my rate of return was. DR. LAZAR: A. But you then said you had a home and you didn't include that. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Didn't include that in calculating that investment. DR. LAZAR: A. Right. And that's what I said you're wrong. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So, to have my return on my \$1,000 investment calculated properly, I got to include the value of my home? DR. LAZAR: A. No. If you're looking at what's the return you've made on your - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. On what? DR. LAZAR: A. On your assets.

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 149		Page 151
1	A. Your assets will consist of financial assets	1	companies, their investment pools likely are
2	plus real estate. It could also consist of	2	less than the total equity.
3	other assets, furniture, jewelry, whatever.	3	STAMP, Q.C.:
4	So, when you look at what's the net wealth	4	Q. Short answer for what you've told me, I
5	position of an individual, it takes into	5	think, is that you treat investment and
6	account all these holdings. That becomes	6	therefore how to calculate the rate on that
7	the denominator and then the numerator	7	investment, the rate of return on it, you
8	becomes what are your capital gains, what	8	treat investment as equal to the company's
9	are you dividends, interest payments you	9	equity?
10	receive during that period of time.	10	DR. LAZAR:
11	STAMP, Q.C.:	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Well, Mr. Lazar, I'm still troubled by the	12	STAMP, Q.C.:
13	confusion because at the bottom of page 15	13	Q. Thank you.
14	you talk about the implication of a higher	14	DR. LAZAR:
15	ROI, which means you have to study – you	15	A. For automobile insurance companies; and the
16	have to examine the ROI in order to make	16	sole reason we did that, that was the
17	certain conclusions that you have made and	17	assumption used by FSCO in the rate setting
18	the ROI is different from the ROE, is it	18	process.
19	not?	19	STAMP, Q.C.:
20	DR. LAZAR:	20	Q. I'm going to refer you, Mr. Lazar, to Ms.
21	A. Yes.	21	Elliott's evidence.
22	STAMP, Q.C.:	22	DR. LAZAR:
23	Q. So, you have to get the ROI as well. It's a	23	A. Sorry, to what?
24	component you got to get?	24	STAMP, Q.C.:
25	DR. LAZAR:	25	Q. Ms. Elliott's evidence, Paula Elliott.
	D 150		D 150
1	Page 150		Page 152
1		1	DR. LAZAR:
1	_	1 2	-
1 2 3	A. It's a component in the rate setting		DR. LAZAR: A. Okay.
2	A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.:	2	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3	A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the 	2 3 4	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying.
2 3 4 5	A. It's a component in the rate setting process.STAMP, Q.C.:Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return	2 3 4 5	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount 	2 3 4 5 6	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile insurance. With other companies – let me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date? MR. FELTHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile insurance. With other companies – let me think – their investments – okay, so look at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Madam Chair, I guess if we could -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile insurance. With other companies – let me think – their investments – okay, so look at the case of the banks. Well, financial 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Madam Chair, I guess if we could - CHAIR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile insurance. With other companies – let me think – their investments – okay, so look at the case of the banks. Well, financial institutions, if you look at banks, their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Madam Chair, I guess if we could - CHAIR: Q. Are you referring to the transcript?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile insurance. With other companies – let me think – their investments – okay, so look at the case of the banks. Well, financial institutions, if you look at banks, their investment pool most likely exceeds the equity invested in the company. Most other companies, manufacturing companies, they're 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Madam Chair, I guess if we could - CHAIR: Q. Are you referring to the transcript? STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile insurance. With other companies – let me think – their investments – okay, so look at the case of the banks. Well, financial institutions, if you look at banks, their investment pool most likely exceeds the equity invested in the company. Most other companies, manufacturing companies, they're going to be sort of comparable. I'm trying 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Madam Chair, I guess if we could - CHAIR: Q. Are you referring to the transcript? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. September the 6th, yes. DR. LAZAR: A. So, you're not referring to a report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It's a component in the rate setting process. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. And so, you pulled a rate of return by dividing investment income not by the amount of the investment but by the amount of equity. DR. LAZAR: A. Because we assumed that the two were equivalent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, you assumed that every company's investment happens to coincide perfectly with every company's equity? DR. LAZAR: A. Only in this particular case, automobile insurance. With other companies – let me think – their investments – okay, so look at the case of the banks. Well, financial institutions, if you look at banks, their investment pool most likely exceeds the equity invested in the company. Most other companies, manufacturing companies, they're 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. LAZAR: A. Okay. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She was here with Oliver Wyman testifying. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They're the Board's actuarial consultants. DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And at page 85, 86, 87, 88, I guess that all shows up on one page for our technical assistant. CHAIR: Q. What date? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Madam Chair, I guess if we could - CHAIR: Q. Are you referring to the transcript? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. September the 6th, yes. DR. LAZAR:

2017 Automobile Insurance Review

24

25

Page 153 Page 155 1 CHAIR: 1 equity and equity in invested assets are not 2 the same." Investment assets are not the 2 Q. It'll be a transcript reference, Mr. Lazar. 3 3 DR. LAZAR: same thing I think she's saving. 4 4 Oh, okay. So, I asked does it make any sense the A. 5 5 way you did it, and at the top of page 91, CHAIR: 6 Q. It should show up there, yeah. 6 she says "no, because it's not an ROI". And 7 7 of course, down at the bottom of page 91, STAMP, Q.C.: 8 8 We're discussing with Ms. Elliott this she says and it would have been a flag. You Q. 9 9 concept of ROI and at the very top of page see at the bottom, she says "and so, if you 10 86, my question is "and so, it's a division 10 see this measurement as presented by Lazar, of course to get that number parity, but you know, double digit 15 percent, we know 11 11 from 2012-2016, the authors of this report that would be a red flag because we know 12 12 come up with investment income to equity. that government bonds that companies invest 13 13 in are not 15 percent. So, it's different. 14 Is that ROI? Is that what they're thinking 14 about?" 15 15 Something else, it's not ROI." Ms. Elliott's response is "No, this is Now, Mr. Lazar, you were asked 16 16 17 not. ROI is return on your investments 17 questions by the Public Utilities Board and which is a ratio of your investment income we've gone through some of them and I think 18 18 19 divided by your invested assets. What's 19 we'll have a look at presented here in this row is the investment 20 20 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 21 income divided by the equity and the equity 21 Q. Excuse me, Madam Chair. Is there a question 22 does not equal the invested assets." 22 there? He just read from a transcript and 23 So, you have it as a proxy. Ms. 23 said Ms. Elliott said this and now he's 24 Elliott says it is not a proxy. 24 moving to another area. I mean, if you're 25 DR. LAZAR: 25 going to do that, there has to be a Page 154 Page 156 1 A. Okay. And did she provide any estimate or 1 question. number of the invested assets? 2 STAMP, Q.C.: 2 3 3 STAMP, Q.C.: I pointed out to Mr. Lazar that Ms. Elliott Q. 4 4 If we just turn to page 90, and at page 90, has taken a fundamentally different Q. 5 Ms. Elliott is speaking about this topic 5 position; that she does not agree that 6 again, because I explained how your numbers 6 investment and equity are the same thing. 7 were fundamentally different from hers and 7 Mr. Lazar has said one is a proxy for the 8 she said "Right. No, because a return on 8 other and she disagrees. That's my point 9 9 investment rate are your investment income again. including the realized capital gains and 10 10 CHAIR: losses. That's included in the number that To be fair, Mr. Lazar should be able to 11 11 O. we present and it's taken as a ratio of the respond to it. 12 12 average at the beginning of the year and the STAMP, Q.C.: 13 13 end of the year of your investment assets 14 14 O. Sure. He can respond to that if he wishes. that you have. So, all your investments, 15 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 your bonds and your stocks and everything 16 A. I'll only have two comments. If I'm not 17 else, these are the actual return on 17 mistaken, in her work the only return on investment rates that are reported in what's investment she included were dividends and 18 18 19 referred to as a P&C-1, a financial 19 interest payments. She didn't include any 20 statement that is audited, and each company 20 capital gains on any of the financial assets. And second, I don't recall in her 21 is required to file this annually with the 21 regulatory OSFI. So, our numbers are 22 22 report any data on what the magnitude were 23 different. What Lazar has presented is a 23 of these assets, and what's in the response

24

25

ratio of the investment income as he has

extracted it from GISA exhibit divided by

what the magnitude of these assets. So, if

she says they're in the OSFI filings,

Page 159 wonderful. What's the number and how does it differ from the equity number that we're approximating? I mean, even if you double the denominator saying invested assets are twice to the equity, which I seriously doubl's is the case, that still reduces returns to numbers we'l above hers. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: O, Mr. Lazar, you were asked by the Public to you. I Utilities Board in questions that they put to you. I Do Jr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets sets to be the same as equity?" Do Jr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets to be the same as equity?" DR. LAZAR: To A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: O, So explain why in the audited financial statements the invested assets, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? Page 159 Page 159 And then hat discussion is taken out more fully I think in page 16 of your report? Dr. LaZar and of course, the purpose of it? A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: O, This is Question 2B, and the question is: "Do Dr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets to be the same as equity?" DR. LAZAR: To A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: O, So explain why in the audited financial statements the invested assets, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? Page 158 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two response, is as follows," As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reserves and in our work for F&C0 on and that equity (cosely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because F&C0 does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves. To move you're telling us here not only that it's because F&C0 does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because F&C0 does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves. To now you're telling us h	Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
it differ from the equity number that we're approximating? I mean, even if you double the denominator saying invested assets are twice to the equity, which I seriously doubt is the case, that still reduces returns to numbers well above hers. STAMP, Q.C.: O, Mr. Lazar, you were asked by the Public to you. It Utilities Board in questions that they put to you. DR. LAZAR: The propose of you including this, is it not, to show that the Oliver Wyman and of course, the purpose of you including this, is it not, to show that the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are higher than GISA, was that the purpose of it? The propose of you including this, is it not, to show that the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are higher than GISA, was that the purpose of it? The propose of you including this, is it not, to show that the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are higher than GISA, was that the purpose of it? The propose		Page 157		Page 159
a approximating? I mean, even if you double the denominator saying invested assets are twice the equity, which I seriously doubt is the equity, which I seriously doubt is the the equity, which I seriously doubt is the equity, which I seriously doubt is the the equity which I seriously doubt is the equity, which I seriously doubt is the equity which I seriously doubt is the equity. P&C I seriously doubt is the equity which is a sumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for F&CO on auto response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the sasses." So now quir retilling us here not only that it's because F&CO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? and in our work for F&CO on auto in the database that we had. 1 A. Not in the database that we had. 2 Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman report, but 2 Expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided for "13, "14, "15 and "16, I think in page 16 of your including this, is in not, to show that the Oliver Wyman and of Circle of the Circle of the Circle of the Circle of the Circle	1	wonderful. What's the number and how does	1	DR. LAZAR:
a approximating? I mean, even if you double the denominator saying invested assets are twice the equity, which I seriously doubt is the equity, which I seriously doubt is the the equity which is several or which I seriously doubt is the equity which is severand compared to the equity is the assets were and compared to the equity is expense ratios? I make the fully! Think in page 16 of your report? A. A pad. I which in the dust discussion is taken out more of fully! Think in page 16 of your report. A. Page 160 BR. LAZAR: 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 And the Diver Wyman column comes right out of the Cliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the purpose of it's page 14. 29 A. Yeah. 20 A. Y	2	it differ from the equity number that we're	2	A. Yes.
Image were if you double the denominator saying invested assets are twice the equity, which I seriously doubt is the case, that still reduces returns to numbers well above hers.		* *	3	STAMP. O.C.:
denominator saying invested assets are twice the equity, which I seriously doubt is the case, that still reduces returns to numbers well above hers. STAMP, Q.C.: O. Mr. Lazar, you were asked by the Public Utilities Board in questions that they put to you. Dr. LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: O. This is Question 2B, and the question is STAMP, Q.C.: O. This is Question 2B, and the question is assets to be the same as equity?" Dr. LAZAR: Dr. LA		11		
6 the equity, which I seriously doubt is the case, that still reduces returns to numbers well above hers. 8 TAMP, Q.C.: 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Mr. Lazar, you were asked by the Public to you. 11 Utilities Board in questions that they put to you. 12 to you. 13 DR. LaZAR: 14 A. Yeah. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is: 17 "Do Dr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets to be the same as equity?" 18 Assets to be the same as equity?" 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. Yeah. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. So explain why in the audited financial statements the invested asset, p. Rec1, page 20.10, are a different value than the equity. P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? 11 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSC0 on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSC0 assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets and equity to beethe same. We made this assumption for two reserves and in our work for FSC0 on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSC0 assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets. A. Yes. 11 You also tell us you don't have any data for reserves and in our work for FSC0 on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSC0 assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets. A. Yes. 18 A. I think if you go back to Table 7 that's where they are. 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. Yes. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. So explain why in the audited financial yet provided financial yet provided for 13. '14. '15 and the purpose of it? 22 Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman report, but your numbers, you say, are, you say they're GISA numbers? 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 CHAIR: 25 TAMP, Q.C.: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman report, but your numbers of the Oliver Wyman report, but your numbers, you say, are, you say they're GISA numbers? 28 TAMP, Q.C.: 29 TAMP, Q.C.: 30 TAMP, Q.C.: 31 Think if you go back to Table 7 th		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
Case, that still reduces returns to numbers well above hers. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you were asked by the Public to you. It believe. So you will see at Table 10 a comparison of general expense ratios, GISA and Oliver Wyman, and of course, the purpose of you including this, is it not, to show that the Quity of the Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is: 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is: 17 ""Do Dr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets to be the same as equity?" 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 1	1			
STAMP, Q.C.: 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Mr. Lazar, you were asked by the Public Utilities Board in questions that they put to you. 12 to you. 12 to you. 13 to LAZAR: 13 that the Oliver Wyman, and of course, the purpose of what the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are higher than GISA, was that the purpose of it? 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is: 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. 18 assets to be the same as equity?" 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. And the Oliver Wyman column comes right out of the Oliver Wyman column comes right out of the Oliver Wyman report and J just might mention for the record it's at page 21, 18 TAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. And the Oliver Wyman report and J just might mention for the record it's at page 21, 18 TAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two resorves and in our work for FSCO and that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO and in invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? 12 Expense Report. The industry expense can be assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? 12 Expense Report. The industry expense can be asset were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. 17 Language and the question of the Clay. 18 Language and the question is: 18 Language and the q				
STAMP, Q.C.: 0	1	*		•
10 Q. Mr. Lazar, you were asked by the Public Utilities Board in questions that they put to you. 12 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3				
Utilities Board in questions that they put to you. Description of you including this, is it not, to show that the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are higher than GISA, was that the purpose of it that the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are higher than GISA, was that the purpose of it. that the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are higher than GISA, was that the purpose of it. A. Yeah. Description of the Oliver Wyman column comes right out of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might out of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report, but your numbers, you say, are, you say they're of the Oliver Wyman report, but your numbers, you say, are, you say they're of SA numbers? Description of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the				
to you. Define LAZAR: A. Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Do Dr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets to be the same as equity?" Dr. LAZAR:				
13 DR. LAZÁR: 14 A. Yeah. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is: 17 "Do Dr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets to be the same as equity?" 18 assets to be the same as equity?" 19 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Yeah. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. So explain why in the audited financial statements the invested assets, P&Cl, page 20.10, are a different value than the equity, P&Cl, page 20.20, for each insurer? 24 20.10, are a different value than the equity, P&Cl, page 20.20, for each insurer? 25 equity, P&Cl, page 20.20, for each insurer? 26 assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. No, okay. 10 DR. LAZAR: 20 A. Yes. 31 A. Not in the database that we had. 32 STAMP, Q.C.: 33 DR. LAZAR: 44 Q. Yes. You're referring to the general expense ratio? 45 Page 160 46 Page 17 Day 18 Page 160 47 DR. LAZAR: 48 A. Not in the database that we had. 49 A. Not in the database that we had. 40 A. Not in the database that we had. 41 A. Not in the database that we had. 41 A. Not in the database that we had. 41 A. Not in the database that we had. 42 C. This is Question 2B, and the quity of the GISA Industry expense report, has those rates, simply add the mention for expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, and the Oliver Wyman expense ratios are highly mid the database that we had. 4 A. Not in the database that we had. 4 A. Not in the database that we had. 4 A. It think if you go back to Table 7 that's where they are. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 6 Q. Yes. You're referring to the general expense ratio? 7 DR. LAZAR: 8 TAMP, Q.C.: 9 Q. Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, or expense ration for expense ration for the record it's a type 2D. t		1 7 1		
14	1			
15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is: 17 "Do Dr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested assets to be the same as equity?" 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 Q. And the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. So explain why in the audited financial statements the invested assets, P&C1, page 24 20.10, are a different value than the equity, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? 24 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 A. Not in the database that we had. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 there any documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. 29 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense ratios? 24 Laye a discussion on operating expense ratios? 25 CHAIR: 26 CHAIR: 27 It is DR. LAZAR: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. Mic Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense ratios? 29 Laye a discussion on operating expense ratios? 20 Lit's Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, Table 12 of the	1			
16 Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is: 17				, 11
17	15	STAMP, Q.C.:	15	it?
18	16	Q. This is Question 2B, and the question is:	16	DR. LAZAR:
DR. LAZAR: A Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: County, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: A. Not in the database that we had. A. Not in the database that we had. A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity the easest were and compared to the equity the easest were and compared to the equity the assets were and compar	17	"Do Dr. Lazar and Dr. Prisman find invested	17	A. Yes.
DR. LAZAR: A Yeah. STAMP, Q.C.: Comparison of the Oliver Wyman column comes right out of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and then Journ response and in the capture of the Oliver Wyman report and I just might mention for the record it's at page 21, and then Journ report, but your numbers, you say, are, you say they're GISA numbers? DR. LAZAR: Page 158 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: To A. I think if you go back to Table 7 that's where they are. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: To A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes. You're referring to the general expense ratio? DR. LAZAR: To A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry Expense report. The industry expense report, she said, provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: To A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry Expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainl	18	assets to be the same as equity?"	18	STAMP, Q.C.:
20 A. Yeah. 21 STAMP, Q.C: 22 Q. So explain why in the audited financial 23 statements the invested assets, P&C1, page 24 20.10, are a different value than the 25 equity, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? 26 Page 158 27	19	2 •	19	
21 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So explain why in the audited financial 23 statements the invested assets, P&C1, page 24 20.10, are a different value than the 25 equity, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? 26 Page 158 1 And then your response, I take it it's your 27 response, is as follows, "As noted, we 28 assumed invested assets and equity to be the 29 same. We made this assumption for two 20 reasons. We did not have any data for 21 reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto 22 insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed 23 that equity closely approximated invested 24 assets." So now you're telling us here not 25 only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? 26 TAMP, Q.C.: 27				•
22 Q. So explain why in the audited financial 23 statements the invested assets, P&C1, page 24 20.10, are a different value than the 25 equity, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? Page 158 And then your response, I take it it's your 2 response, is as follows, "As noted, we 3 assumed invested assets and equity to be the 4 same. We made this assumption for two 5 reasons. We did not have any data for 6 reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto 1 insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed 8 that equity closely approximated invested 9 assets." So now you're telling us here not 10 only that it's because FSCO does something, 11 you also tell us you don't have any data for 12 reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: 13 PR. LAZAR: 14 A. Not in the database that we had. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, 10 we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her 11 presentation, came out of the GISA Industry 12 Expense Report. The industry expense 13 report, she said, provided the information 14 for expense ratios for each of the years, 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and 16 Q. No, okay. DR. LAZAR: 17 A. I think if you go back to Table 7 that's 18 where they are. 29 Yes. You're referring to the general 20 expense ratio? 20 Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, 21 we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her 21 presentation, came out of the GISA Industry 21 Expense Report. The industry expense 22 report, she said, provided the information 21 for expense ratios for each of the years, 22 actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and 23 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 24 them. 25 TAMP, Q.C.: 26 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 27 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. Is this a document we have? 29 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	1			
statements the invested assets, P&C1, page 20.10, are a different value than the equity, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? Page 158 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, came out of the GISA Industry Expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, then. STAMP, Q.C.: Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry Expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 8.5—not 8.2, sorry, 7.2, 8.5, 7.7 and 9.1. KENNEDY, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense ratios? Rational Page 160 A. I think if you go back to Table 7 that's where they are. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes. You're referring to the general expense ratio? DR. LAZAR: 10 A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: 11 For expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information of the calculation for '12, but certai				
24 20.10, are a different value than the equity, P&C1, page 20.20, for each insurer? Page 158 1 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO on auto only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: Page 160 A. I think if you go back to Table 7 that's where they are. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Yes. You're referring to the general expense ratio? DR. LAZAR: 7 insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: 12 Expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, them. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 A. I think if you go back to Table 7 that's where they are. 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. Yes. You're referring to the general expense ratio? DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Not in the database that we had. 19 Expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report as the many documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. 24 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 8.5—not 8.2, sorry, 7.2, 8.5, 7.7 and 9.1. 25 EXPAMP, Q.C.: 26		- ·		
Page 158 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry Expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think if you go back to Table 7 that's where they are. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry Expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, them. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP		, , , , ,		
Page 158 And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: Not in the database that we had. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: Report, The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '12, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry the assets were and compared to the equity the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. STAMP, Q.C.: STAM	1			
And then your response, I take it it's your response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR.				
response, is as follows, "As noted, we assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry Expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, them. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.	1	=	1	-
assumed invested assets and equity to be the same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. Not in the database that we had. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: Texpense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for DR. LAZAR: A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. STAMP, Q.C.: We yes. You're referring to the general expense ratio? A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, them. STAMP, Q.C.: KENNEDY, Q.C.: WENNEDY, Q.C.: KENNEDY, Q.C.: KENNEDY, Q.C.: KENNEDY, Q.C.: KENNEDY, Q.C.: KENNEDY, Q.C.: KENNEDY, Q.C.: Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her presentation, came out of the GISA Industry report, she said, provided the information for expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, them. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.	1	* *		3 &
same. We made this assumption for two reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: Not in the database that we had. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. Not in the database that we had. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.:				
reasons. We did not have any data for reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZA		* *		
reserves and in our work for FSCO on auto insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, reserves? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZ	1 _			•
insurance companies in Ontario, FSCO assumed that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, tyou also tell us you don't have any data for BR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. Not in the database that we had. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP	1	•		*
that equity closely approximated invested assets." So now you're telling us here not only that it's because FSCO does something, to only that it's because FSCO does something, the presentation only that it's because FSCO does something, the presentation only that it's because FSCO does something, the presentation only that it's because FSCO does something, the presentation only the GISA Industry the presentation only that it's because FSCO does something, the presentation only the GISA Industry the green of the GISA Industry the industry expense that equity closely approximated invested the green only does not only that it's because FSCO does something, the presentation only the GISA Industry the industry expense the presentation only the GISA Industry the presentation only the industry expense the presentation on the GISA Industry the presentation on the distance of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and the presentation on the GISA Industry the presentation on the GISA Industry the presentation on the distance of the green and the presentation on the distance of the presentation on the distance of the	1			
9 assets." So now you're telling us here not 10 only that it's because FSCO does something, 11 you also tell us you don't have any data for 12 reserves? 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 A. Not in the database that we had. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 29 Q. Right. Now, Oliver Wyman's information, 10 we're told by Ms. Elliott here in her 11 presentation, came out of the GISA Industry 12 Expense Report. The industry expense 13 report, she said, provided the information 14 for expense ratios for each of the years, 15 actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '17, '12, but certainly the industry expense 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 them. 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 Rishis a document we have? 26 CHAIR: 27 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	1			
only that it's because FSCO does something, you also tell us you don't have any data for presentation, came out of the GISA Industry expense Report. The industry expense report, she said, provided the information for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for DR. LAZAR: A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see the m. STAMP, Q.C.: 15 actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '17, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, them. 21 8.5—not 8.2, sorry, 7.2, 8.5, 7.7 and 9.1. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense 24 CHAIR: 25 ratios? 20 It's Oliver Wyman's report.		1 3 3 11		, ,
11 you also tell us you don't have any data for 12 reserves? 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 A. Not in the database that we had. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 19 them. 10 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 TAMP, Q.C.: 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 21 Expense Report. The industry expense 12 Expense Report, she said, provided the information 14 for expense ratios for each of the years, 15 actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and 16 '16, I think she had to do a calculation for 17 '12, but certainly the industry expense 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	1			
12 reserves? 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 A. Not in the database that we had. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense ratios? 21 Expense Report. The industry expense 13 report, she said, provided the information 14 for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '17 in the industry expense 15 actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 them. 21 8.5—not 8.2, sorry, 7.2, 8.5, 7.7 and 9.1. 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Is this a document we have? 24 CHAIR: 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	1		10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DR. LAZAR: 13 report, she said, provided the information 14 A. Not in the database that we had. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 21 report, she said, provided the information 14 for expense ratios for each of the years, 16 12 actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and 16 '16, I think she had to do a calculation for 17 '12, but certainly the industry expense 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 Q. Is this a document we have? 26 CHAIR: 27 CHAIR: 28 CHAIR: 29 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.		you also tell us you don't have any data for	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14 A. Not in the database that we had. 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 21 for expense ratios for each of the years, actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and 16 '16, I think she had to do a calculation for 17 '12, but certainly the industry expense 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 8.5—not 8.2, sorry, 7.2, 8.5, 7.7 and 9.1. 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Is this a document we have? 24 CHAIR: 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	12	reserves?	12	Expense Report. The industry expense
15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 21 standard definition of the actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and '16, I think she had to do a calculation for '12, but certainly the industry expense report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 them. 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Is this a document we have? 24 CHAIR: 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	13	DR. LAZAR:	13	report, she said, provided the information
16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 16 I think she had to do a calculation for 17 '12, but certainly the industry expense 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Is this a document we have? 24 CHAIR: 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	14	A. Not in the database that we had.	14	
16 Q. No, okay. 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what 19 the assets were and compared to the equity 20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 16 I think she had to do a calculation for 17 '12, but certainly the industry expense 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry 19 Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21	15	STAMP, Q.C.:	15	actually only provided for '13, '14, '15 and
DR. LAZAR: 18 A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. 20 them. 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense have a discussion on operating expense ratios? 17 in the industry expense in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the industry expense in the propert at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry in the indu	16		16	
A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense have a discussion on operating expense ratios? 18 report at Page 20, this is the GISA Industry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 8.5—not 8.2, sorry, 7.2, 8.5, 7.7 and 9.1. 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Is this a document we have? 24 CHAIR: 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	17	DR. LAZAR:	17	'12, but certainly the industry expense
the assets were and compared to the equity assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see them. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you have a discussion on operating expense ratios? Legistry Expense Report, has those rates, simply add the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21	18	A. Is there any documentation from OSFI what	18	
20 assumptions we made? I'd be curious to see 21 them. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 20 the two expense rates to get the 8.2, 7.2, 21 8.5—not 8.2, sorry, 7.2, 8.5, 7.7 and 9.1. 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Is this a document we have? 24 CHAIR: 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	19	·	19	
them. 21	1		20	
22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 22 KENNEDY, Q.C.: 23 Q. Is this a document we have? 24 CHAIR: 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	21	-	21	*
23 Q. Mr. Lazar, in your report at page 30, you 24 have a discussion on operating expense 25 ratios? 20				
have a discussion on operating expense 24 CHAIR: 25 ratios? 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.		, ,		
25 ratios? 25 Q. It's Oliver Wyman's report.	1			
	1		25	

24

25

DR. LAZAR:

and 6.0, where did you get those?

Sep	tember 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 161		Page 163
1	, .	1	A. From the GISA document.
2		2	STAMP, Q.C.:
3	Elliott when she was giving her evidence.	3	Q. Which document please?
4	We spoke about it then, she spoke about it	4	DR. LAZAR:
5		5	A. If I can find it here, make sure I open up
6	presentation and explained where she got her	6	the right file. So I'm having difficulty
7	data. So, my –	7	figuring out where it is here on my list,
8	KENNEDY, Q.C.:	8	but it was a GISA document. Maybe I shifted
9	Q. The witness has got to be shown the	9	it over here. Again, my apologies, I
10	document, either put it on the screen or	10	haven't got this organized the best way. So
11	show it to the witness. You just can't	11	I can't find the exact document here, but
12	simply, Madam Chair, question a witness on a	12	it's a GISA document from which my Table 7
13	document that you're interpreting the	13	was extracted.
14	evidence of what someone else said without	14	STAMP, Q.C.:
15	showing the witness the document.	15	Q. Ms. Elliott said it was the Industry Profit
16	O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.:	16	and Loss Report that GISA publishes.
17	Q. Madam Chair, it's Table 12 of Ms. Elliott's	17	(12:45 p.m.)
18	report.	18	DR. LAZAR:
19	KENNEDY, Q.C.:	19	A. Most likely that is the case. Here it is,
20	Q. I don't think so, Mr. O'Flaherty.	20	GISA report, automobile insurance financial
21	STAMP, Q.C.:	21	information, Industry Profit and Loss,
22	Q. That's where the numbers come, that's where	22	that's the report. And footnote give gives
23	the numbers are. She explained, Mr. Lazar –	23	the reference.
24	KENNEDY, Q.C.:	24	STAMP, Q.C.:
25	Q. That's not the document he's referring to.	25	Q. I'm sorry, on footnote 5 in your –
	Page 162		Page 164
		1	DR. LAZAR:
2			A. In my report.
3		3	STAMP, Q.C.:
		4	Q. It's page 25 of the GISA –
5	1 /	5	DR. LAZAR:
(, .	6	A. No, page 13.
		7	STAMP, Q.C.:
{	1	8	Q. No, no, but you're referring in your
9		9	footnote to page 25 of the GISA report.
10		10	DR. LAZAR:
11	, ,	11	A. Table on page 25 in the GISA report, yes.
12	1 2	12	STAMP, Q.C.:
13	1, 2	13	Q. Right, and Ms. Elliott referred to that same
14	, ,	14	page. When you used the numbers that you
15	\$ 7	15	used, of course, we know that the total
16	, ,	16	expense ratio that Oliver Wyman produced are
17	, , , ,		set out in Table 12 of the Oliver Wyman
18		18	reform, of profit review document, right, do
19	ϵ	19	you know that?
20	, ~	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	•	21	A. I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
$\frac{1}{2}$, ,	22	STAMP, Q.C.:
23	* ' ' '	23 24	Q. We have to bring up page 21 of the Oliver Wyman profitability review
1 24			

24

25

CHAIR:

Wyman profitability review.

Septe	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 165		Page 167
1	Q. Is that the one that's up, Mr. Stamp?	1	DR. LAZAR:
2	STAMP, Q.C.:	2	A. Was the general expense ratio, yes. Now,
3	Q. Oh yes, sorry, it is up there, sorry. So,	3	can I just make a comment?
4	Mr. Lazar, the total expense ratio for those	4	STAMP, Q.C.:
	-	5	, .
5	years is made up of three components?		Q. I'm just going to ask you questions, please,
6	DR. LAZAR:	6	if I can, Mr. Lazar.
7	A. Uh-hm.	7	MR. LAZAR:
8	STAMP, Q.C.:	8	A. Okay, I'll be patient.
9	Q. Commissions, premium taxes, general expenses	9	STAMP, Q.C.:
10	and total expenses, which Oliver Wyman puts	10	Q. One of the things that I can point you to on
11	at 28, 23, and so on. You have a different	11	this discussion is again at Ms. Elliott's
12	total expense ratio because you have a	12	evidence, because I asked her about where
13	different general expense ratio, is that	13	you got your expense rates that you have in
14	correct?	14	your table, the one we just looked at a
15	DR. LAZAR:	15	moment ago, your expense ratios at your
16	A. Correct.	16	Table 10. I asked her about that and if you
	STAMP, Q.C.:	17	
17	, ,		can go –
18	Q. Simple as that.	18	CHAIR:
19	DR. LAZAR:	19	Q. Page 97 of that transcript.
20	A. Apparently.	20	STAMP, Q.C.:
21	STAMP, Q.C.:	21	Q. Yes, thank you, Madam Chair.
22	Q. How did you determine that the number they	22	KENNEDY, Q.C.:
23	had put in for commissions was correct? Did	23	Q. Sorry, what date is that?
24	you check that?	24	STAMP, Q.C.:
25	DR. LAZAR:	25	Q. September 6th. So I asked her about this and
	Page 166		Page 168
1	A. No, it wasn't broken down, so I took her	1	I said, "They suggest that the column that
2	numbers.	2	they used, GISA numbers, they pulled that
		3	
3	STAMP, Q.C.:		out of, I guess, the same report maybe?"
4	Q. It wasn't broken down?	4	Ms. Elliott says, "No, they pulled that out
5	DR. LAZAR:	5	of 9501. What they're presenting is a
6	A. Not, no, looked at the general numbers, so	6	subset of the general expenses, so they're
7	it was included in acquisition expense	7	both from GISA, but one is a subset of the
8	ratio.	8	general expenses, the column labelled "OW"
9	STAMP, Q.C.:	9	which is GISA 9502, is all general
10	Q. So are you aware of whether or not GISA data	10	expenses." And I said, "Right, and is that
11	specifically identifies the commission	11	the report you're referring to called the
12	percentage in the reports it produces?	12	Industry Profit and Loss Report?" And she
13	DR. LAZAR:	13	says, "Under the column, GISA, yes." And I
14	A. I'd have to go look, back and look at the	14	say, "Right, okay, and so they've
15	data to answer that question.	15	essentially jumped from one GISA report with
16	STAMP, Q.C.:	16	the expenses that they show attributed to
1	7 8		1 1
17	Q. And how did you satisfy yourself that the	17	Oliver Wyman, they actually, they're
18	premium taxes that Oliver Wyman listed in	18	actually GISA, jumped to a different
19	Table 12 were acceptable?	19	report?" Ms. Elliott says, "Right, they
20	DR. LAZAR:	20	have used a profit and expense report which
21	A. I had no reason to question that.	21	presents a subset of the general expenses
			1 1' 1 24 41 4 4 1 1
22	STAMP, Q.C.:	22	and didn't use the total general expenses
22 23	STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Okay, so the only question that you had was	22 23	which is available in the report that we
	, ,		
23	Q. Okay, so the only question that you had was	23	which is available in the report that we

	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 169		Page 171
1	offer any explanation why the authors would	1	consumers have overpaid. I don't care how—
2	have left the expense report, the industry	2	what numbers you use, how you try to cast
3	expense report that has the data right in	3	this. Now, even if you use her numbers and
4	it, and gone to a different report to take a	4	again, she's not an economist, so I can't
5	different set of expense percentages?" Ms.	5	blame her for this, you've got to take into
6	Elliott says, "I have no idea why, I don't	6	account what are best practice, what are
7	know." I asked, "Does it make any sense?"	7	expense ratios that should be the target for
8	She says, "No, I don't agree with their	8	the Board.
9	number, they are missing a component of the	9	STAMP, Q.C.:
10	general expenses." And I say, "You're not	10	Q. Madam Chair, if I can just interrupt here.
11	comparing apples and apples?" And she says,	11	It's not focussing on the question that I
12	"Right." So her point is, and you can	12	asked, it's focussing on –
13	answer this, Mr. Lazar, you've missed	13	DR. LAZAR:
14	expenses when you used the percentages that	14	A. Yes, but there's got to be context.
15	you used which she says comes from the	15	STAMP, Q.C.:
16	Industry Profit and Loss Report and don't	16	Q. It's giving us a seminar that he gave
17	come from the Industry Expense Report.	17	earlier in his direct evidence or his direct
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	presentation.
19	A. Okay, I'll answer this as quickly as I can.	19	DR. LAZAR:
20	I'm sure Ms. Elliott is a great actuary, I'm	20	A. But again, it's the context, you're asking
21	not going to question her, I'm sure she's	21	me these questions, what the difference is,
22	extremely good. She's not an economist and	22	so the question is what difference does it
23	she misses the point entirely. So let's	23	make for the fundamental question, and my
24	take her expense numbers, use those, and I	24	answer is it doesn't, there are
25		25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23	believe it's one to put in context, what's Page 170	23	overpayments, regardless of what numbers you
	Page 17(1)		
1 1		1	Page 172
1	the relevance of all of this if we use a	1	throw in because of the differences in
2	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for	2	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that
2 3	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto	2 3	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom
2 3 4	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much?	2 3 4	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to
2 3 4 5	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why.	2 3 4 5	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's
2 3 4 5 6	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's	2 3 4 5 6	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is,
2 3 4 5 6 7	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into	2 3 4 5 6 7	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return in equity, whatever number you want for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr. Stamp?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return in equity, whatever number you want for the return on investment, here the premiums	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr. Stamp? STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return in equity, whatever number you want for the return on investment, here the premiums would have been allowed, redo that with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr. Stamp? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well my point was, simply this —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return in equity, whatever number you want for the return on investment, here the premiums would have been allowed, redo that with a lower return on equity number, use her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr. Stamp? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well my point was, simply this — CHAIR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return in equity, whatever number you want for the return on investment, here the premiums would have been allowed, redo that with a lower return on equity number, use her return investment if you want, use her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr. Stamp? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well my point was, simply this — CHAIR: Q. Did you ask a question first of all?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return in equity, whatever number you want for the return on investment, here the premiums would have been allowed, redo that with a lower return on equity number, use her return investment if you want, use her expense numbers, that maximum allowable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr. Stamp? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well my point was, simply this — CHAIR: Q. Did you ask a question first of all? STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the relevance of all of this if we use a higher number? There is no relevance for the question of did consumers of auto insurance in this province pay too much? It's not relevant and here's the reason why. If you accept her expense numbers, let's take them, so they're going to enter into the rate setting process. What are the two key variables that we still disagree upon? The return on equity and the return on investment. The return on equity, Ms. Elliott, that was not her area of expertise, that's not what she was asked to do. So all I'm saying is even if we take her expense numbers for the time being, plug them in and let's go through the exercise, let's determine what the maximum premiums would have been allowed with a 10 percent return in equity, whatever number you want for the return on investment, here the premiums would have been allowed, redo that with a lower return on equity number, use her return investment if you want, use her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	throw in because of the differences in return on equity that were used and that should have been used, that's the bottom line. Then, is her number the right one to have been used in the exercise, that's another question. And my answer to that is, no, it's not, regardless of general expense number it's the wrong number to use because, again, not being an economist, you don't realize that part of the regulatory process is to incentivize the companies that are being regulated to achieve the best practices. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Madam Chair, you know, we're getting a seminar here. I'm getting a lecture. CHAIR: Q. Did you get an answer to your question, Mr. Stamp? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Well my point was, simply this — CHAIR: Q. Did you ask a question first of all?

September 13, 2018 2017 Automobile Insurance Review Page 173 Page 175 1 going to go back because Mr. Lazar keeps 1 regardless of what expense ratio you plug 2 2 going around and around in these long-winded in, regardless what return on investment you 3 3 plug in because you used the wrong return on answers. The fact is he has said the 4 4 expense ratio doesn't matter. Now he's equity. And then the other question 5 5 becomes, even if you take her number – explained it's one of the three, I think, 6 critical points to why he says the premium 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 7 is overpaid. Q. So, go ahead, go ahead. 8 8 DR. LAZAR: CHAIR: 9 I think we're going around in circles here. 9 Okay, she used it to try and estimate 0. A. 10 I just wanted to know if you got an answer 10 premium over underpayment for 2017, but she to the question that I thought you asked. never asked the question what are the best 11 11 practices, so our criticism of her work was 12 STAMP, O.C.: 12 I'll ask it again, Madam Chair. 13 when she tried to take what she had done and 13 Q. 14 CHAIR: 14 then try to extend it to deal with what 15 Q. Okay, that's fair enough. 15 should be the fundamental question here, STAMP, Q.C.: were premiums too high or too low? That's 16 16 Why would you exclude pertinent expenses 17 where our criticism came in and that's my 17 from the expense ratio? 18 18 criticism of her work, period. 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 20 Madam Chair, earlier this morning we had 20 Because the dataset I had and we used didn't 21 distinguish them. 21 discussed perhaps we would check at around 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 12:45 and I see we are past that, to see how 23 23 we were progressing with the examination and Okay, so you didn't look at all of the charts that were available, you looked at 24 24 I have spoken with my learned friend, Mr. 25 one chart and picked it, is that correct? 25 Browne, and he indicated that he had a time Page 176 Page 174 estimate he could share with us once we find 1 DR. LAZAR: 1 2 2 Α That seemed to be the relevant chart because out from Mr. Stamp as to how he's 3 it's dealing with the profits of the 3 progressing with his questioning to determine how we move forward. 4 4 industry. 5 STAMP, Q.C.: 5 CHAIR: 6 Well Ms. Elliott, who is an actuary and 6 Mr. Stamp, I don't want to put you in a Q. Q. 7 studies this all the time, says you looked 7 position of having to tell us how long you 8 8 at the wrong chart. are going to be, but – 9 DR. LAZAR: 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 But the issue is what does that have to do 10 Q. Madam Chair, we would typically stop at A. 1:30, but if we kept going, we won't stop at with estimating overpayments? 11 11 12

STAMP, Q.C.:

14

Well it has this to do, does it not, Mr. 13 Q.

Lazar, doesn't it suggest that the

15 overpayment that you are contemplating or

16 advancing has occurred, you're wrong on the 17 expense ratio, that's one of the critical

points that you've made. 18

19 DR. LAZAR:

20 No, my answer is our analysis, whether you

accept it or not, is if you were to have 21

done the right analysis, to do it thoroughly 22 23 you conclude unequivocally and I'll repeat

24 it again and I hate to repeat things, but 25 premiums are too high, plain and simple,

1:30, so if you wish to take a break, 12 that's, you know, probably the best thing to 13

do if you feel that that is –

CHAIR:

14

15

16 Q. Well, yes, let's take a fifteen-minute 17 break, let everybody get prepared for another, what appears to be at least an hour 18 19 or so, at least.

KENNEDY, O.C.: 20

21 Madam Chair, if we're going to do that, I'd Q. suggest we take a lunch break. I mean, we 22 23 sat here yesterday until 2:30, you know, 24 this is just—we are sitting from 9:00 in the 25 morning to 2:30 in the afternoon. It's a

1 very long time to be sitting here like this. 2 I mean, we've got the witness, we've got 3 staff members, we've got all of us. If 4 we're not going to finish, I'd just as soon 5 take the lunch break, come back and just 6 keep going, like we would do as if we were 7 in a courtroom. 8 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 9 Q. I don't think everybody has the 10 availability. I'm seeing heads being shaken 11 behind Mr. Kennedy, so I think, I don't 12 think that's going to be workable, Madam 13 Chair. 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. We're just going to push through to finish 16 Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be 17 needed here, we'll take, so – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over	Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
2		Page 177		Page 179
staff members, we've got all of us. If 4 we're not going to finish, I'd just as soon take the lumch break, come back and just 6 keep going, like we would do as if we were in a courtroom. 8 O'FLAHIRITY, Q.C.: 9 Q. I don't think everybody has the 10 availability. I'm seeing heads being shaken 11 behind Mr, Kennedy, so I think, I don't 12 think that's going to be workable, Madam 13 Chair. 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. We're just going to push through to finish 16 Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be 17 needed here, we'll take, so – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'lt take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over Tage 178 1 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll only be 10 minutes at most. My questions will be quite specific. 3 will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. Lunderstood yesterday, I think what we had a greed to is that we would stay until we frished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try 8 to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CIHAIR: 12 Q. S.30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 13 GRAP, Q.C.: 14 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I thur to say focused on the questions. 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 21 Q. Good, Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 24 doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 11 doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 11 doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 11 doesn't matter about the expense recipies of the overpayments we'd one, Mr. 12 your - 2 your	1	very long time to be sitting here like this.	1	
we're not going to Inrish, I'd just as soon take the hunch break, come back and just 6 keep going, like we would do as if we were in a courtroom. 8 O'FLATIRETY, Q.C.: 9 Q. I don't think everybody has the 10 availability. I'm seeing heads being shaken 10 availability. I'm seeing heads being shaken 11 behind Mr. Kennedy, so I think, I don't 12 think that's going to be workable, Madam 12 think that's going to be workable, Madam 13 Chair. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 20 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 21 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne'l 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne'l 24 CHAIR: 21 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 1 finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try a finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'	2	I mean, we've got the witness, we've got	2	find best practices, is that where you are?
take the lunch break, come back and just keep going, like we would do as if we were in a courtroom. 8 O'FLAHERIY, Q.C.: 9 Q. I don't think everybody has the availability. I'm seeing heads being shaken behind Mr. Kennedy, so I think, I don't lithink that's going to be workable, Madam I'l chink that's going to be workable, Madam I'l chink that's going to be workable, Madam I'l chiar. 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. We're just going to push through to finish Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be needed here, we'll take, so — 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 25 Page 178 will be quite specific. 4 CITAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had a greed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 10 CHAIR: 11 Londerstood yesterday, I think what we had a greed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 12 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 13 CHAIR: 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 15 A. I think so. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 ti doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 ti doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 ti doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 ti doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 26 ti doesn't ma	3	staff members, we've got all of us. If	3	
6 keep going, like we would do as if we were 7 in a courtroom. 7 about, she has said to this panel in her evidence, in her presentation, that you have approached the ROI incorrectly. She also 10 as availability. 17 mseeing heads being shaken 11 behind Mr. Kennedy, so I think, I don't 12 think that's going to be workable, Madam 13 Chair. 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. We're just going to push through to finish 16 Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be needed here, we'll take, so – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne' 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 26 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 27 will be quite specific. 27 GHAIR: 28 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had 6 agreed to is that we would stay until we 7 finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try 8 to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. (RECUSS – 1:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. 1 think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliout says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she: 29 about, hat you have approached the ROI incorrectly. She also and you've miscalculated the expenses ratios because you left out expenses, and these are the things that she says lead you where you wrie saying best practices have to come into play? 15 may going to say it doesn't matter in the ROI incorrectly. She also wore gor to come into play? 15 may going to say left out expenses ratios because you left out expenses ratios because you left out expenses ratios because you left out expenses ratios on the the things that she says lead you where you were were an into play	4		4	
7 in a courtroom. 7 about, she has said to this panel in her evidence, in her presentation, that you have approached the ROI incorrectly. She also said you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios said you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you left out expenses ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you 've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you ve miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios aid you've miscalculated the expense ratios because you've miscalculated the expense ratios. 13 about, she has asaid to this panel approached the ROI incorrectly. She also said you've miscalculated the expense ratios aid you've miscalculated the expense ratios. 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. Bott you want to take a break now, Madam the are the things that says lead you where you have gone, to wrong conclosines. But you' re saying best practices have to come into play? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. Okay, but look advantage at the break to d	5		5	STAMP, Q.C.:
8 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 9 Q. I don't think everybody has the 10 availability. I'm seeing heads being shaken 11 behind Mr. Kennedy, so I think, I don't 12 think that's going to be workable, Madam 13 Chair. 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. We're just going to push through to finish 16 Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be 17 needed here, we'll take, so – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browner 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 26 A. Sao. 3 will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had 6 agreed to is that we would stay until we 7 finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try 8 to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 Q. Sao, okay. Thank you, see you in about 11 GHAIR: 12 Q. Sao, okay. Thank you, see you in about 12 Ifferen minutes. 13 (RECESS – I:00 p.m.) 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter if the ROI incorrectly. She also because you led nout expenses, and these are the things that she says lead you where approached the ROI incorrectly. She also you or in sayi doesn't matter about the expense ratios. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 19 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 20 Q. Stay. Stay by did some calculations and because of the expenses ratios. 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter about the expense if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter about the expense if t	6	keep going, like we would do as if we were	6	Q. And because, as we have already talked
9 Q. I don't think everybody has the 10 availability. I'm seeing heads being shaken 11 behind Mr. Kennedy, so I think, I don't 12 think that's going to be workable, Madam 13 CChair. 14 CHAIR: 15 Q. We're just going to push through to finish 16 Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be 17 needed here, we'll take, so – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CIIAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNF, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 26 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 27 CHAIR: 28 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll 29 only be 10 minutes at most. My questions 30 will be quite specific. 41 CHAIR: 42 CHAIR: 43 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll 44 only be 10 minutes at most. My questions 45 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had a garced to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 41 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 42 Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter and event the things that she says lead you where you have gone, to wrong conclusions. But you have gone, to wrong conclusions. But you say it doesn't matter a the things that she says lead you where you have gone, to wrong conclusions. But you have gone, to wrong conclusions, because you're saying best practices have to come into the think that have gone, to wrong conclusions. But you have gone, to wrong conclusions. But you have the think pour into play? DR. LAZAR: 24 A. Okay, well 1took advantage at the break to do some sort of rough calculations, to get an estimate of what the ove	7	in a courtroom.	7	about, she has said to this panel in her
10	8	O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.:	8	evidence, in her presentation, that you have
behind Mr. Kennedy, so I think, I don't Chair. Chair. Chair. Chair. STAMP, Q.C.: CliAIR: CHAIR: CHAI	9	Q. I don't think everybody has the	9	approached the ROI incorrectly. She also
think that's going to be workable, Madam Chair. Chair. Chair. Cy. We're just going to push through to finish Come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browner Chair. CHAIR: Chair. Ch	10		10	said you've miscalculated the expense ratios
13	1	•		
CHAIR: 15 Q. We're just going to push through to finish 16 Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be 17 needed here, we'll take, so – 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 26 ABROWNE, Q.C.: 27 Q. We'll take a fifteen minutes at most. My questions 28 will be quite specific. 29 A. Si 30. 30 A. Si 30. 31 CHAIR: 31 GRECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 31 GRECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 32 GRECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 33 GRECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 34 A. I think so. 34 A. I think so. 35 TAMP, Q.C.: 37 AMP, Q.C.: 37 AMP, Q.C.: 38 DR. LAZAR: 39 A. I think so. 30 A. I think so. 30 BR. LAZAR: 31 BR. LAZAR:	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
15 We're just going to push through to finish 16	1			
16 Mr. Lazar and whatever break is going to be needed here, we'll take, so — 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 26 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 27 Q. No, I don't want to go back to that overpayment, I want to come back to the expenses ratios. Page 180 Q. No, no, but it's — 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 CHAIR: 1 DR. LAZAR: 2 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 3 Will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 1 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 6 DR. LAZAR: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 6 DR. LAZAR: 8 DR. LAZAR: 8 DR. LAZAR: 8 DR. LAZAR: 9 DR. LAZAR: 1 DR. LAZA	1			
17	1			, , ,
18 STAMP, Q.C.: 19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam 20 Chair? 21 CHAIR: 21 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 22 RROWNE, Q.C.: 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 25 CHAIR: 27 CHAIR: 28 CHAIR: 29 CHAIR: 29 CHAIR: 20 CHAIR: 20 CHAIR: 20 CHAIR: 20 CHAIR: 21 CHAIR: 21 CHAIR: 22 CHAIR: 23 CHAIR: 24 CHAIR: 25 CHAIR: 26 CHAIR: 27 CHAIR: 28 CHAIR: 29	1			1 2
19 Q. Did you want to take a break now, Madam Chair? 20 CHAIR: 21 and - 22 and - 22 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 23 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 25 very separations 26 very separations 27 very separations 28 very separations 29 very separations 20 very separations 21 very separations 22 very separations 23 very separations 24 very separations 25 very separations 26 very separations 27 very separations 28 very separations 29 very se	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Chair? 20	1	, ,		•
21 CHAIR: 22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over Page 178 1 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll 2 only be 10 minutes at most. My questions 3 will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had 6 agreed to is that we would stay until we 7 finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try 8 to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. No, I don't want to go back to that 24 overpayment, I want to come back to the 25 expense ratios. Page 178 1 DR. LAZAR: 2 A. No, no, but it's – 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if 5 we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, 6 then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not 13 good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 — 20 Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back to that 25 overpayment, I want to go back to that 26 only beacter at the expenses of the overpayments would 27 first and — 28 TAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 5 DR. LAZAR: 6 A. Okay, but your questi	1	,		, ,
22 Q. We'll take a fifteen-minute break and we'll 23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over Page 178 1 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll 2 only be 10 minutes at most. My questions 3 will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had a agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 13 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I don't want to go back to that overpayment, I want to come back to the expense ratios. Page 180 Pa	1			1 2
23 come back, is that okay for you, Mr. Browne? 24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over Page 178 1 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll only be 10 minutes at most. My questions will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 13 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she Page 178 24 overpayment, I want to go back to that overpayment, I want to come back to the expenses ratios. Page 180 DR. LAZAR: 4 A. No, no, but it's – 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 11 Was going to say I did some calculations and better way to do business? 11 Was going to say I did some calculations and your — STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to go back over everything we've done, Mr. Lazar.	1			
24 BROWNE, Q.C.: 25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 26 Page 178 1 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll 2 only be 10 minutes at most. My questions will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 13 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 24 overpayment, I want to come back to the expense ratios. Page 180 DR. LAZAR: 2 A. No, no, but it's – 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if' 7 we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But you still say to that it's still not good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I was going to say I did some calculations and Page 180 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to your – 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 24 coverpayment, I want to come back to the expense a most to your – 25 TAMP, Q.C.: 26 ON, O, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to go back over everything we've done, Mr.		`		, -
25 Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over 25 expense ratios.	1			•
Page 178 the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll only be 10 minutes at most. My questions will be quite specific. CHAIR: Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: I want to stay focused on the questions. DR. LAZAR: A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. THAIR: CHAIR:	1	· •		
the 2:00 mark, there's commitments and I'll only be 10 minutes at most. My questions will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 13 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 14 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 10 DR. LAZAR: 2 A. No, no, but it's – 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 14 Was going to say I did some calculations and was going to say I did some calculations and your — 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to your — 27 STAMP, Q.C.: 28 STAMP, Q.C.: 29 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to go back over everything we've done, Mr. Lazar.	25	Q. Yes, that's fine, Chair. We can't go over	25	expense ratios.
only be 10 minutes at most. My questions will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 8 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 13 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 2 A. No, no, but it's – 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. DR. LAZAR: 4 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. STAMP, Q.C.: 10 A. 5:30. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. But you still say to that it's still not good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 13 DR. LAZAR: 14 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I was going to say I did some calculations and better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she		-		Page 180
will be quite specific. 4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 13 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 3 STAMP, Q.C.: 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. DR. LAZAR: 4 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 5 DR. LAZAR: 7 we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I was going to say I did some calculations and Phank of the overpayments would be valid. 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she				
4 CHAIR: 5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 4 Q. I want to stay focused on the questions. 5 DR. LAZAR: 4 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott say, in we accepted everything Ms. Elliott say, and then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I was going to say I did some calculations and land second now, did you get an answer to your — STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she				
5 Q. I understood yesterday, I think what we had agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 8 to do. Is your flight out today, sir? 9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about fifteen minutes. 13 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 5 DR. LAZAR: 6 A. Okay, but your question is saying, gee, if we accepted everything Ms. Elliott said, then our estimates of the overpayments would disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that it's still not good enough because you've got to find a better way to do business? 17 was going to say I did some calculations and Was going to say I did some calculations and your — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 What you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she	1	* *		
agreed to is that we would stay until we finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) STAMP, Q.C.: RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) By DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: CRESUME – 1:15 p.m.) By DR. LAZAR: CRESUME – 1:15 p.m.) CRESUME – 1:15 p.m				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
finished Dr. Lazar, so that's what we'll try to do. Is your flight out today, sir? DR. LAZAR: A. 5:30. CHAIR: CHAIR: CHAIR: CHAIR: CHAIR: CHECESS – 1:00 p.m.) CRESUME – 1:15 p.m.) Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? DR. LAZAR: CHAZAR: CH				
to do. Is your flight out today, sir? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) RESUME –				
9 DR. LAZAR: 10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 9 disappear, her numbers that suggest there's actually been underpayments would be valid. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not 13 good enough because you've got to find a 14 better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- · · · ·
10 A. 5:30. 11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 10 actually been underpayments would be valid. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not 13 good enough because you've got to find a 14 better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 — 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1 7
11 CHAIR: 12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not 13 good enough because you've got to find a 14 better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 — 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1			
12 Q. 5:30, okay. Thank you, see you in about 13 fifteen minutes. 14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 12 Q. But you still say to that it's still not 13 good enough because you've got to find a 14 better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 – 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1			
fifteen minutes. (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) RESUME – 1:10 p.m.) RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) RESUME – 1:16 p.m.) RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) RESUME – 1:16 p.m.) RESUME –	1			, ,
14 (RECESS – 1:00 p.m.) 15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 14 better way to do business? 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 – 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1			
15 (RESUME – 1:15 p.m.) 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 15 DR. LAZAR: 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 — 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1			
16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 16 A. I'm saying that's one variable. I mean, I 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 — 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17 Q. Batteries recharged, Mr. Lazar? 18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 17 was going to say I did some calculations and 18 — 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.		•		
18 DR. LAZAR: 19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 18 — 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your — 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1	, ,		
19 A. I think so. 20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 19 CHAIR: 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1	•		was going to say I did some calculations and
20 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 20 Q. Just a second now, did you get an answer to 21 your – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1			_
21 Q. Good. Mr. Lazar, look, on this point 22 generally that we've been talking about, 23 what you say is it doesn't matter about the 24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 21 your – 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to 24 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1			
generally that we've been talking about, what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.	1			, ,
what you say is it doesn't matter about the expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 23 Q. No, I'm trying to be clear, I don't want to go back over everything we've done, Mr. 25 Lazar.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
24 expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says, 25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 26 go back over everything we've done, Mr. 27 Lazar.	1			· -
25 it doesn't matter if the ROI is what she 25 Lazar.	1			, , ,
	24	expenses if they're what Ms. Elliott says,	24	go back over everything we've done, Mr.
	l			, ,

Page 181 Page 183 MR. LAZAR: 1 with? 1 No, no, I'm not going to go over it, I'm 2 DR. LAZAR: 2 3 3 just wanting to give you some indication of Α. I would have no reason to sort of challenge 4 what the differences are. 4 them, I might disagree with the numbers, but 5 5 I'm saying these are the numbers she STAMP, Q.C.: Well we have Oliver Wyman's calculations -6 presented and they're of little relevance 6 Q. 7 7 for the questions that Eli and I were asked CHAIR: 8 8 But Mr. Stamp hasn't asked for that to address. Q. 9 9 STAMP, O.C.: auestion. 10 10 STAMP, Q.C.: Okay, but one of those questions that she has spoken about, or one of the issues she 11 Q. We have Oliver Wyman's calculations as to 11 the answers that she has provided, what I spoke about is ROI, and she says you and she 12 12 want to make clear is this, you're saying are on a different page when you discuss 13 13 that if her expense calculations, her ROI. She says you're not talking about ROI 14 14 15 expense ratio which she says I took all the 15 like she's talking about it, you're talking expenses and she says you didn't take all about something else. That's a difference 16 16 17 the expenses. To that, you say, I took what 17 she has that gives her a different ROI than I had and I didn't know about some other 18 18 you give. 19 chart and it doesn't matter, you said 19 DR. LAZAR: anyway, I think, did you? 20 20 Except the question I have in my response Α. 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 earlier was she only included dividend and It doesn't matter in -22 interest payments in terms of the return on 22 A. 23 23 the investment and in terms of her STAMP, Q.C.: 24 The expenses of what she says or what you 24 denominator, I have no idea what numbers she Q. 25 say, it doesn't matter, did you say 25 used. We didn't see any number, all we saw Page 184 Page 182 1 1 DR. LAZAR: was the ROI percentage. I know I went into 2 2 the numerator, I don't know what was the Α In terms of determining the overpayments, 3 3 denominator and how that differed from what yes. 4 STAMP, O.C.: 4 we used. So that was a question that we 5 Right, so how can it be that an important 5 posed. 6 element like expense ratios doesn't make any 6 STAMP, Q.C.: 7 difference? Why have you spent so much time 7 I'm pretty certain she spoke about including Q. 8 talking about it if it makes no difference? 8 capital gains. You turned to page 90 -9 9 DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: 10 Essentially to sort of cast some doubt on 10 No, no, no, go to her report, forget about A. A. her methodology for trying to determine are what she did in the testimony. We went by 11 11 the premiums too high or too low for 2017. her report. I don't know what she testified 12 12 As I said in my presentation, if she had here, but in her report there are only 13 13 dividends in interest payments. stopped before that, whatever numbers she 14 14 has, those are her numbers, you presented 15 15 STAMP, Q.C.: 16 the facts as you know them, that's 16 Q. Where are you referring to? 17 wonderful. But it doesn't address the DR. LAZAR: 17 18 question that Eli and I were asked to Sorry? 18 Α. 19 address, have the premiums been too high or 19 STAMP, Q.C.: too low, that was our question. And until 20 Where in her report are you referring to? 20 0. 21 she moved in that direction, we would have DR. LAZAR: 21 22 had very few comments to make. 22 When she defines the returns there. I don't A. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 think she mentioned at all capital gains. 24 24 Q. So essentially, then, everything up to her STAMP, Q.C.: conclusions as to 17, you would not disagree 25 25 Take us to where you are on that point, Mr.

Page 185 1 Lazar, please. 2 DR, LAZAR: 3 A. I'm going to have to, so I believe through 4 her whole report here, claim methodology, 5 expenses, investment income, okay, so we 6 used, the rate that was calculated there was investment income, I guess on her page 8 she doesn't really explain this. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Are you saying she didn't include it? 11 DR, LAZAR: 12 A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure 13		nber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
2 DR. LAZAR: 3 A. I'm going to have to, so I believe through 4 her whole report here, claim methodology, 5 expenses, investment income, okay, so we 6 used, the rate that was calculated there was 7 investment income, I guess on her page 8 she 8 doesn't really explain this. 9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Are you saying she didn't include it? 11 DR. LAZAR: 12 A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure 13 ————————————————————————————————————		Page 185		Page 187
A. I'm going to have to, so I believe through her whole report here, claim methodology, expenses, investment income, okay, so we used, the rate that was calculated there was increased in the control of the more of the control of th	1	Lazar, please.	1	above Table 5, you see that Oliver Wyman did
her whole report here, claim methodology, expenses, investment income, okay, so we sused, the rate that was calculated there was investment income, I guess on her page 8 she doesn't really explain this. STAMP, Q.C.: A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure and the state of the I sta	2	DR. LAZAR:	2	disaggregate the operating expenses in the
her whole report here, claim methodology, expenses, investment income, kays, so we used, the rate that was calculated there was investment income, I guess on her page 8 she doesn't really explain this. STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Are you saying she didn't include it? 11 DR. I.AZAR: 12 A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure 13	3	A. I'm going to have to, so I believe through	3	three categories.
symbols, investment income, okay, so we used, the rate that was calculated there was used. STAMP, Q.C.: 10	4	• •	4	DR. LAZAR:
STAMP, Q.C.	1			
investment income, I guess on her page 8 she doesn't really explain this. It is a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their their investment returns and their investment returns and their their investment returns and their their investment returns and their investment returns and their their investment returns a	1 .	-		
doesn't really explain this. STAMP, Q.C.: Ner you saying she didn't include it? A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure tell us where you are? The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure tell us where you are? RIAJAR: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: BA. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. STAMP, Q.C.: O. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: The looking at page 8 of her report. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: O. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account; I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where lntact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. The ALZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where lntact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. The ALZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where lntact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. The ALZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and a given the values here, the low values, that she said — I may any included were dividend and interest payments. The comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? I nate, where lntact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. The ALZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and a given the values here, the low values, that she said — I must point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. The Thy ing the discussion, and I'm treferring to a ta				
9 STAMP, Q.C.: 10 Q. Are you saying she didn't include it? 11 DR. LAZAR: 12 A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure 13 FTAMP, Q.C.: 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. Where are you, Mr. Lazar, I'm sorry, can you tell us where you are? 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. Tam looking at page 8 of her report. 18 A. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 21 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she addin't take that into account? 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where linact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 10 DR. LAZAR: 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she said — 23 Intake that in her report that she said — 24 STAMP, Q.C.: 35 A. Just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. She didn't state that at all in her report that she said — 18 A. Fullooked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 18 A. Fullow that the low returns the returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 19 DR. LAZAR: 10 LaZAR: 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 21 Condo it know about Intact, but I know that she said — 22 StaMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front that sure I've got the right report here in front that sure I've got the right report here in front that sure I've got the right report here in front that	1			•
10 Q. Are you saying she didn't include it? 11 DR. LAZAR: 12 A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure 13	1			,
DR. LAZAR: A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm surrely and the state of the string date again that we're referring to, and I'm referring to, and I'	1	, ·		1 0 /
12 A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure 13	1			
13 STAMP, Q.C.: 14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. Where are you, Mr. Lazar, I'm sorry, can you it lell us where you are? 15 G. Where are you, Mr. Lazar, I'm sorry, can you it lell us where you are? 16 G. Where are you, Mr. Lazar, I'm sorry, can you it lell us where you are? 17 STAMP, Q.C.: 18 A. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. 18 Categories and describes those categories and describes those categories and describes those categories and the breakdown is presented in the categories and the point the breakdown is presented in the categories and the categories and the presented in the categories and the categories and the categories and the categories and the presented in the categories and the categories and the presented in the categories and the categories and the categories and the categories and the presented in the categories and the categories and the presented in the categories and the categories and the categories and the categories and the presented in the categories and the presente				
14 STAMP, Q.C.: 15 Q. Where are you, Mr. Lazar, I'm sorry, can you left lus where you are? 16 Ide lus where you are? 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. 18 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 24 what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 25 FAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 29 Look into account, I think it was here that she said — 20 Look Report to take a subset of that she said — 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 25 G. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 30 DR. LAZAR: 41 Carrier down on the page 106 at line 4, 5, "That'is correct, Yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 4, 5, with the categories and described hown, she says, "That's correct." I say, "So it wasn't the categories and the brown that was," if the categories and the brown that was," if the categories	12	A. The numbers she had there did not, I'm sure	12	September 6th hearing date again that we're
15 Q. Where are you, Mr. Lazar, I'm sorry, can you tell us where you are? 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 DR. LAZAR: 20 Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 23 ESTAMP, Q.C.: 24 What goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 25 Table 7, Q.C.: 26 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 LAZAR: 29 A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 29 Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 20 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front tell us where you are? 21 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front tell us where are pounded. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 31 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front tell us where shock into accounts. I think it was here in that she said — that she condition and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 32 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front tell us where gate of the private Passenger and the breakdown is presented in three categories and the breakdown is presented in there categories and the breakdown is presented in there categories and the breakdown is presented in tables. Table 5."	13	_	13	referring to, and I'm referring to a table.
tell us where you are? DR. LAZAR: A. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: O. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: O. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: O. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their she sind interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she said — that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. In the table for our report. "So it wasn't the case that Oliver Wyman broke the numbers down as we have in this table, the GISA numbers are broken down that way?" She says, "That's correct," I say, "So it wasn't the case that Oliver Wyman broke the numbers are broken down that way?" She says, "That's correct," I say, "So it wasn't the case that Oliver Wyman broke the numbers down as we have in this table, the GISA numbers are broken down that way?" She says, "That's correct," I say, "So it wasn't the case that Oliver Wyman broken down that way?" She says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the lable for our report." "So it wasn't olive numbers from the chart and putting them in the lable for our report." So it wasn't olive numbers from the chart and putting them in the lable for our report. "So it wasn't olive numbers from th	14	STAMP, Q.C.:	14	I say, "The authors say"—this is at line 4,
tell us where you are? 17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 23 ETAMP, Q.C.: 24 C. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns and their she investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 29 G. I don't know about Intact, but I know that that she said — that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 20 G. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front that or proports. 21 G. Wr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front that sure I've got the right report here in front that sure are gate the operating extended in three categories and the breakdown is presented in Table 5. And I'l skip down, she says, "That's correct." I say, "So it wasn't the case that Oliver Wyman broke the numbers down as we have in this table, the GISA numbers are broken down that way?" She says, "That's correct." I say, "So it wasn't the case that Oliver Wyman that lake into says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the lable for our report." "So it wasn't correct, yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 8, says, "It's all clearly that I'm just tak	15	Q. Where are you, Mr. Lazar, I'm sorry, can you	15	5, "The authors say that Oliver Wyman did
17 DR. LAZAR: 18 A. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 24 what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 25 FTAMP, Q.C.: 26 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns who dividends and interest payments. 29 DR. LAZAR: 30 Lord Fall Stamp, Q.C.: 40 DR. LAZAR: 41 DR. LAZAR: 42 DR. LAZAR: 43 DR. LAZAR: 44 DOliver Wyman broke the numbers down that way?" She says, "That's correct, yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 8, she 24 Stamp, Q.C.: 25 I says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the lable for our report." So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: 4 A. The looking at page 8 of her report. 5 A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investm	16			disaggregate the operating expenses into
18 A. I'm looking at page 8 of her report. 19 STAMP, Q.C.: 20 Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. 25 returns. 26 Page 186 27 STAMP, Q.C.: 28 So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 29 Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — 20 I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ashe took into account, I think it was here that she said — 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 Late Wyman report? 24 what goes into the numerator, what are the returns and she were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 25 Intact, where Intact reported and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 23 DR. LAZAR: 24 DR. LAZAR: 25 DR. LAZAR: 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 DR. LAZAR: 28 DR. LAZAR: 29 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 20 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 DR. LAZAR: 2	17	y .		
Table 5." And I'll skip down, she says, Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? DR. LAZAR: A Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report B. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report B. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report B. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report B. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report B. DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report B. A. She didn't state that at all in her report B. DR. LAZAR: D	1			
20 Q. Of the March 29, Oliver Wyman report? 21 DR. LAZAR: 22 A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the 23 investment rates and she does not discuss 24 what goes into the numerator, what are the 25 returns. Page 186 2 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she 3 didn't take that into account? 4 DR. LAZAR: 4 DR. LAZAR: 5 A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a 6 comparison to, I think, what company do I 7 have here? Intact, where Intact reported 8 their investment returns and their 9 investment returns were clearly only 10 dividends and interest payments. 11 STAMP, Q.C.: 12 Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that 13 Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that 14 she took into account, I think it was here 15 that she said — 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. She didn't state that at all in her report 18 and given the values here, the low values, 19 that could only suggest that what was 10 included were dividend and interest 10 payments. 11 DR. LAZAR: 12 A. She didn't state that at all in her report 13 In the table for our report." 'So it wasn't 14 Oliver Wyman that disapte, that of word on the page 106 at line 8, she 15 In the table for our report." 'So it wasn't 16 Oliver Wyman that disapte, the GISA 18 says, "That's correct, yes." And a little 19 says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking 10 the numbers from the chart and putting them 11 in the table for our report." 'So it wasn't 12 Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA 18 had done this?" 'Uh-hm, yeah." So the 19 course for your purpose, is that she took it 20 I don't know about Intact, but I know that 21 thought in the freport to take a subset of 22 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 23 topic, but if you have anything to say on 24 that point before I go, by all means, this 25 is the time to say it. 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 A. I'm trying to remember in her report she 28 referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, 29 Financial Information Industry Profit and 29 Loss Report for Private Passenger 20 Automobiles, she referred to that and she 21 made some co	1			
DR. LAZAR: A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: 1 STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: 1 STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR:	1			1
A. Table 6, okay, that's where she has the investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report she that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and she included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and she included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. Tim trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: So how did you conclude from that that she says, "It's all clearly that I intel intel says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't a payment shad one this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expense says I don't know	1			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
investment rates and she does not discuss what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: OR So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: 1				
what goes into the numerator, what are the returns. Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: The page 188 Says, "That's correct, yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 8, she Page 188 Says, "That's correct, yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 8, she Page 188 Says, "That's correct, yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 8, she Page 188 Says, "That's correct, yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 8, she Page 188 Says, "That's correct, yes." And a little further down on the page 106 at line 8, she Page 188 Says, "That's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you t				
Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: I don't know about Intact, but I know that she took into account, I think it was here that she she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their and dividends and interest payments. I STAMP, Q.C.: I don't know about Intact, but I know that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: C. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front Z. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She used two reports.	1			
Page 186 STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: 10 Stamp, Q.C.: 11 Industry Profit Report to take a subset of their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front that she says then the carry that that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She used two reports.	1	-		The state of the s
STAMP, Q.C.: Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front 1 says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report. "So it wasn't on the table for our report." "So it wasn't olive nin that table for our report. "So it wasn't olive nin the table for our report." "So it wasn't olive nin the table for our report. "So it wasn't olive Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of expenses and the point that she's making, of expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that sh	25		25	
Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: STAMP, Q.C.: DR. LAZAR: Standa done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the cause of their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. Course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the lindustry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. Course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and t		Page 186		Page 188 I
didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: 1 just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: DR. Loss Report in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger payments. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: DR. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front STAMP, Q.C.: DR. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front DR. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front DR. Lazar you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front				
DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. BYTAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: Dividends and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. DR. LAZAR: DIVIDITION AND THE METHON AND THE AUTOM AND THE AUTOM AUTOM AND THE AUTOM	1			says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking
A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their sinvestment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 10	2	Q. So how did you conclude from that that she	2	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them
comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: I don't know about Intact, but I know that she said — Box. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. Comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she 's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she 's making is she's taken the expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of the low via the low it industry Profit Report to take a subset of the says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of to take a subset of the says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of 12 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to sa	2	Q. So how did you conclude from that that she	2	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them
have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their tinvestment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that specific provide anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that specific provide provide anything to say on that specific provide	2 3	Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account?	2 3	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA
have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their tinvestment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that specific provide anything to say on that she said — Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that specific provide provide anything to say on that specific provide	2 3 4	Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account?DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA
their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. Take Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this that she said — 15 that she said — 15 is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. She didn't state that at all in her report 18 and given the values here, the low values, 19 that could only suggest that what was 19 that could not suggest that what was 19 that she said those expenses. So I'm going to take a subset of 10 that says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the 10 that as all from the Industry Profit and 10 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 10 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 10 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 10 those expenses. So I'	2 3 4 5	Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account?DR. LAZAR:A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a	2 3 4 5	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the
investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: 10	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I 	2 3 4 5 6	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the
dividends and interest payments. 10	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported 	2 3 4 5 6 7	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of
STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that 12 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 13 topic, but if you have anything to say on 14 that point before I go, by all means, this 15 is the time to say it. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. I'm trying to remember in her report she 18 referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, 19 that could only suggest that what was 19 Financial Information Industry Profit and 20 included were dividend and interest 21 payments. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 24 sure I've got the right report here in front 25 that Provide Report to take a subset of 26 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 27 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 28 topic, but if you have anything to say on 29 that point before I go, by all means, this 29 is the time to say it. 20 LoZAR: 21 A. I'm trying to remember in her report she 21 Loss Report for Private Passenger 22 Automobiles, she referred to that and she 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 STAMP, Q.C.: 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 Q. She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it
12 Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that 13 Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that 14 she took into account, I think it was here 15 that she said – 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. She didn't state that at all in her report 18 and given the values here, the low values, 19 that could only suggest that what was 20 included were dividend and interest 21 payments. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 24 sure I've got the right report here in front 21 those expenses. So I'm going to leave this 13 topic, but if you have anything to say on 14 that point before I go, by all means, this 15 is the time to say it. 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. I'm trying to remember in her report she 18 referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, 19 Financial Information Industry Profit and 20 Loss Report for Private Passenger 21 Automobiles, she referred to that and she 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 24 sure I've got the right report here in front 25 STAMP, Q.C.: 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she
Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said — DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that topic, but if you have anything to say on that topic, but if you have anything to say on that topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the
she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. The sum of the point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front I hat point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front Value of the time to say it. A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger STAMP, Q.C.: Automobiles, she referred to that and she STAMP, Q.C.: Value of the time to say it. Value of the time to say it. A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, The point of the time to say it. Value of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of
that she said — 15 is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: 16 DR. LAZAR: 16 DR. LAZAR: 17 A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was 20 included were dividend and interest payments. 21 payments. 21 Dr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front 24 Q. She used two reports. 25 Is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: 17 A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger 21 Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this
DR. LAZAR: 17 A. She didn't state that at all in her report 18 and given the values here, the low values, 19 that could only suggest that what was 20 included were dividend and interest 21 payments. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 24 sure I've got the right report here in front 26 DR. LAZAR: 27 A. I'm trying to remember in her report she 28 referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, 29 Financial Information Industry Profit and 20 Loss Report for Private Passenger 21 Automobiles, she referred to that and she 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on
A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this
and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was it? Yeah, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front includes, she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it.
that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 21 payments. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front 29 Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger 21 Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR:
20 included were dividend and interest 21 payments. 21 Automobiles, she referred to that and she 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 22 made some comparisons. 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 24 sure I've got the right report here in front 24 Q. She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she
payments. 21 Automobiles, she referred to that and she 22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 24 sure I've got the right report here in front 21 Automobiles, she referred to that and she 22 made some comparisons. 23 STAMP, Q.C.: 24 Q. She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah,
22 STAMP, Q.C.: 23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 24 sure I've got the right report here in front 25 made some comparisons. 26 STAMP, Q.C.: 27 24 Q. She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and
23 Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 23 STAMP, Q.C.: sure I've got the right report here in front 24 Q. She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger
sure I've got the right report here in front 24 Q. She used two reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons.
of me now, at page 11 of your report, it's 25 DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.:
Discoveries Unlimited Inc. (700)/437-5028 Page 185 Page 188	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So how did you conclude from that that she didn't take that into account? DR. LAZAR: A. I just looked at the low returns, I did a comparison to, I think, what company do I have here? Intact, where Intact reported their investment returns and their investment returns were clearly only dividends and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I don't know about Intact, but I know that Ms. Elliott testified here, page 90, that she took into account, I think it was here that she said – DR. LAZAR: A. She didn't state that at all in her report and given the values here, the low values, that could only suggest that what was included were dividend and interest payments. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Lazar, you talk about, let me just make sure I've got the right report here in front 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	says, "It's all clearly that I'm just taking the numbers from the chart and putting them in the table for our report." "So it wasn't Oliver Wyman that disaggregated, it was GISA had done this?" "Uh-hm, yeah." So the point that she's making is she's taken the expenses and the point that she's making, of course for your purpose, is that she took it all from the Industry Expense Report and she says I don't know why Mr. Lazar went to the Industry Profit Report to take a subset of those expenses. So I'm going to leave this topic, but if you have anything to say on that point before I go, by all means, this is the time to say it. DR. LAZAR: A. I'm trying to remember in her report she referred to GISA's—okay, what was it? Yeah, Financial Information Industry Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger Automobiles, she referred to that and she made some comparisons. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. She used two reports.

Septe	mber 13, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 189		Page 191
1	A. Well, that's what's referred to here on her		DR. LAZAR:
2	page 11, and that the report we went to and	2	A. That's going back to table 7 in our report.
3	used and took those numbers. Up to that	3	STAMP, Q.C.:
4 5	point, again her original report, that had	4	Q. Right, and I'm going to suggest to you
\int_{0}^{2}	nothing to do with Oliver Wynman. We used	5	that's right out of that same GISA Industry
6	the MSA data. We only went to the GISA data		Profit and Loss Report?
7	after we got the Oliver Wynman Report and	7	DR. LAZAR:
8	her reference here is strictly to this	8	A. Yes.
9	report, Financial Information Industry	9	STAMP, Q.C.:
10	Profit and Loss Report for Private Passenger	10	Q. But Oliver Wynman of course as you know, has
11	Automobiles. That's the report we used.	11	generated and they've calculated the loss
12	Now it seems that she claiming she used a	12	ratios based on accident year?
13	different report. If so, I don't see any	13	DR. LAZAR:
14	reference to it. Perhaps I missed it, but I	14	A. Yes.
15	don't see that Eli and would both have	15	STAMP, Q.C.:
16	missed that.	16	Q. And there's a focus on that issue in her
17	STAMP, Q.C.:	17	presentation that you don't—you say over
18	Q. That's fine.	18	time it'll all be a wash, I think you said
19	DR. LAZAR:	19	maybe?
20	A. Okay.	20	DR. LAZAR:
21	STAMP, Q.C.:	21	A. Yeah, it should average out.
22	Q. Her presentation is here on that point, so	22	STAMP, Q.C.:
23	we have all that already.	23	Q. Yes.
24	DR. LAZAR:	24	DR. LAZAR:
25	A. Okay.	25	A. Whether it's three years, five years, eight
,	Page 190	,	Page 192
	STAMP, Q.C.:	1	years, I don't know the exact timeframe, but
2	Q. I'm going to turn, Mr. Lazar, to the claims	2	it should wash out.
3	ratio, and this—again, you picked this up	3	STAMP, Q.C.:
4	at, in your report, at—again, picked up or	4	Q. Yes, yes. So, if you wait long enough,
5	mentioned again in that group of bullets at	5	it'll all sort itself out, but she uses the
$\begin{vmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \end{vmatrix}$	page 30.	6	accident years for a particular reason she
7	DR. LAZAR:	7	explains. Did you know what she had said
8	A. Yeah.	8	about that?
9	STAMP, Q.C.:	9	DR. LAZAR:
10	Q. You'll see in the second bullet 79 percent,	10	A. I read it, but again, the data we had
11	approximately halfway between the GISA for	11	available were only available on a calendar
12	2016 and Oliver Wynman's assumption for '17.		year basis.
13	So, what, you just did an average? Is that	13	(1:30 p.m.)
14	right, or an approximate average?	14	STAMP, Q.C.:
15	DR. LAZAR:	15	Q. So, would you agree that the question of the
16	A. Yeah, just an average, a simple average.	16	calculation of accident year loss ratios is
17	STAMP, Q.C.:	17	an actuarial exercise?
18	Q. Sure. Okay. And you point out in your	18	DR. LAZAR:
19	report, I think it's table 12 that you got	19	A. Yes, but you know, it can all be put on
20	your claims ratios from a GISA FII PNL	20	computers. I mean, yes.
21	Report or ratios from that report?	21	STAMP, Q.C.:
22	DR. LAZAR:	22	Q. You don't need actuaries any more, is that
23	A. Yes.	23	right?
24	STAMP, Q.C.:	24	DR. LAZAR:
25	Q. Is that right?	25	A. It's an actuarial exercise.
		'	(700) 427 5020 Page 100 Page 100

	nber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 193		Page 195
1	STAMP, Q.C.:	1	A. The MSA data.
2	Q. Okay.	2	STAMP, Q.C.:
3	DR. LAZAR:	3	Q. Oh, it's MSA again, is it? Okay.
1			DR. LAZAR:
4	A. Okay.	4	
5	STAMP, Q.C.:	5	A. And the GISA data we looked up ourselves.
6	Q. Yes, I can't put it on the computer and make	6	STAMP, Q.C.:
7	it happen.	7	Q. Okay.
8	DR. LAZAR:	8	DR. LAZAR:
9	A. There's no reason to disagree.	9	A. I believe, or it was a document sent, but
10	STAMP, Q.C.:	10	it's—you know, the report that Ms. Elliott
11	Q. I'm going to turn to the questions asked to	11	referred to in her report.
12	you, are put you, or put the Campaign for	12	STAMP, Q.C.:
13	you, are put you, or put the Campaign for you I guess by IBC. And I'm going to turn	13	
1			
14	to question 1a. And essentially the	14	Utilities Board Questions, and question
15	question—I don't know if that needs to be	15	number 3. And that question 3 at the bottom
16	brought up, but we can, I guess, have it	16	of the page I think. Yes, thank you. "As
17	brought up if it's best.	17	reported by GISA, its accident year 2016
18	DR. LAZAR:	18	estimate ultimate loss ratio is 87 percent,
19	A. Yeah.	19	and as of December 31st, 2017, AUTO 1005. As
20	STAMP, Q.C.:	20	presented in the Oliver Wynman report, the
21	Q. This is IBC questions to the Campaign for	21	estimated ultimate loss ratio for accident
22	Dr. Lazar, and 1 –	22	year 2016 is 85 percent. On page 22, Dr.
	, and the second		
23	MR. FELTHAM:	23	Lazar and Dr. Prisman state Oliver Wyman's
24	Q. The questions or the answers?	24	estimate of the ultimate loss ratio for
25	STAMP, Q.C.:	25	accident year 2016 is out of line with
1	Page 194		Page 196
1	5	1	-
1 2	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just		GISA." And then the question is, "Given
2	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case	2	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year
2 3	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr.	2 3	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close,
2 3 4	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this	2 3 4	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement."
2 3 4 5	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are.	2 3 4 5	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your
2 3 4 5 6	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN:	2 3 4 5 6	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, too, if you wish, but it says, "We relied"— 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her number of 85 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, too, if you wish, but it says, "We relied"— 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her number of 85 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, too, if you wish, but it says, "We relied"—this is 1a. "We relied on financial year data because these were the data provided to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her number of 85 percent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But they had asked you to explain the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, too, if you wish, but it says, "We relied"—this is 1a. "We relied on financial year data because these were the data provided to us. Over a period of time, any year-to-year 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her number of 85 percent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But they had asked you to explain the difference when it came to this 85 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, too, if you wish, but it says, "We relied"—this is 1a. "We relied on financial year data because these were the data provided to us. Over a period of time, any year-to-year differences should net out to zero." When 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her number of 85 percent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But they had asked you to explain the difference when it came to this 85 percent versus 87 percent, and you just repeated the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, too, if you wish, but it says, "We relied"—this is 1a. "We relied on financial year data because these were the data provided to us. Over a period of time, any year-to-year differences should net out to zero." When you say, "It was provided to us," who do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her number of 85 percent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But they had asked you to explain the difference when it came to this 85 percent versus 87 percent, and you just repeated the 74 percent, is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I'm going to get to both I guess, but I just want to get the question first if we—in case people want to see it. I don't want Mr. Kennedy mad at me. So, I'm sorry, is this IBC? I'm not sure. Yes, here we are. MS. KEAN: Q. Yes, sorry. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you. That's right, thank you. So, the question is essentially, "Could you please explain financial year data and accident year data, and why differences in the financial year data should affect an analysis based on accident year data?" That's the question that was asked of you at question 1a, and I can tell what the answer is that you gave. It can be brought up, too, if you wish, but it says, "We relied"—this is 1a. "We relied on financial year data because these were the data provided to us. Over a period of time, any year-to-year differences should net out to zero." When 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GISA." And then the question is, "Given that GISA's and Oliver Wyman's accident year 2016 ultimate loss ratio are quite close, explain the basis for this statement." That's 3a. And if I can turn to your response to question 3a, you don't appear to answer the question, Mr. Lazar. You simply refer back to your 74 percent again, don't you? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. They've asked for an explanation, but all you did was repeat the 74 percent. DR. LAZAR: A. Well, our comment was there was a significant gap; 74 percent compared to her number of 85 percent. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. But they had asked you to explain the difference when it came to this 85 percent versus 87 percent, and you just repeated the

Septer	mber 13, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 197		Page 199
1	to the difference between the GISA number	1	calendar year, versus accident year?
2	and this 87 percent number.	2	DR. LAZAR:
3	STAMP, Q.C.:	3	A. Undoubtedly, there will be timing issues.
4	Q. I think they were drawing your attention to	4	There will be differences, you know. Can I
5	the fact that the 85 and 87 percents were so	5	predict what they might be from year to
6	-	6	year; no, I can't, I don't know.
7	close. Were they not doing that? DR. LAZAR:	7	
1			STAMP, Q.C.:
8	A. That's not how I interpreted the question.	8	Q. I'm going to just ask you to – we're going
9	STAMP, Q.C.:	9	to turn to page 109 in Ms. Elliott's
10	Q. Okay. In 3b you are asked by the Public	10	presentation on the 6th of September, please.
11	Utilities Board, "In comparing loss ratio	11	I'm sorry, September 6th, Ms. Elliott's
12	findings, explain what consideration Dr.	12	transcript. Sorry about that.
13	Lazar and Dr. Prisman gave to the	13	MS. KEAN:
14	differences in accident year versus calendar	14	Q. Page?
15	year definition of loss ratios." And	15	STAMP, Q.C.:
16	there's an answer to that given –	16	Q. Page 109. Can you see that, Mr. Lazar?
17	DR. LAZAR:	17	DR. LAZAR:
18	A. We did not answer that.	18	A. Yes.
19	STAMP, Q.C.:	19	STAMP, Q.C.:
20	Q. Oh, you did.	20	Q. So Ms. Elliott says, "The information that's
21	DR. LAZAR:	21	provided where we do our analysis of
22	A. Uh?	22	estimating what the ultimate losses will be
23	STAMP, Q.C.:	23	is provided by coverage on an accident year
24	, ,	24	basis, and we also as part of the review
25	· ·	25	
23	responses.	23	process wanted to review the coverage
١.	Page 198		Page 200
1	DR. LAZAR:	1	information that is only available by
2	A. I refer to the answer to 1a.	2	accident year, and it would be the standard
3	STAMP, Q.C.:	3	way to review pricing review work is on an
4	Q. Yes, but I'm looking at your response to	4	accident year basis. So when companies
5	question 3 –	5	submit rate applications, it's using
6	DR. LAZAR:	6	accident year data, and so this review was
7	A. I don't know what the difference would be	7	looking at a hindsight review of the return
8	h - k		
9	between calendar and financial year, year by	8	
1 7	between calendar and financial year, year by year, since we didn't have sort of the	8 9	on equity that was achieved and measuring
1	year, since we didn't have sort of the	9	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that
10	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't	9 10	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all
10 11	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So -	9 10 11	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the
10 11 12	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.:	9 10 11 12	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year.
10 11 12 13	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm	9 10 11 12 13	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's
10 11 12 13 14	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar.	9 10 11 12 13 14	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party
10 11 12 13 14 15	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay. You said the data we used was annual data?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're looking at pricing, it's all done before any
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay. You said the data we used was annual data? DR. LAZAR:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're looking at pricing, it's all done before any financial reinsurance, and that's done kind
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay. You said the data we used was annual data?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're looking at pricing, it's all done before any
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay. You said the data we used was annual data? DR. LAZAR:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're looking at pricing, it's all done before any financial reinsurance, and that's done kind
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay. You said the data we used was annual data? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're looking at pricing, it's all done before any financial reinsurance, and that's done kind of after the fact by the financial
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay. You said the data we used was annual data? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're looking at pricing, it's all done before any financial reinsurance, and that's done kind of after the fact by the financial departments in insurance companies". Can you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	year, since we didn't have sort of the calendar and accident year because we didn't have the accident year data. So - STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I'm sorry, what did you say again? I'm sorry, Mr. Lazar. A. Okay, I think your question was—sorry, I'm going to refer back to your—"In comparing"—yes. "What consideration"—"gave to the differences"—we did not give any because we did not have the accident year data. Okay. You said the data we used was annual data? DR. LAZAR: A. Yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do you not accept that there's an	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	on equity that was achieved and measuring that against is a 10 percent target that would be allowed in rates, and that is all done on an accident year basis. So the detailed data is available by accident year. If you look at the calendar year data, it's done on a higher level, so it's third party liability accident benefits and all other coverages combined. It doesn't have the same detail as the accident year data does, and also the calendar year data is net of reinsurance arrangements, whereas when we're looking at pricing, it's all done before any financial reinsurance, and that's done kind of after the fact by the financial departments in insurance companies". Can you respond to that? Do you have anything to say to that observation that Ms. Elliott has

Septer	September 13, 2018 2017 Automobile Insurance Review				
	Page 201		Page 203		
1	made about why it's important to use	1	you could tell of any jurisdiction that used		
2	accident year and not calendar year?	2	that model to do ROE for auto insurance?		
3	DR. LAZAR:	3	DR. LAZAR:		
4	A. Again my response will be two-fold. One,	4	A. Other jurisdiction?		
5	I'll accept there are going to be,	5	STAMP, Q.C.:		
6	obviously, differences in whatever analysis	6	Q. That used that model for automobile		
7	you want to undertake, and, you know, I have	7	insurance?		
8	no reason to quarrel with this, and my	8	DR. LAZAR:		
9	second comment is, I refer you back to my	9	A. I can sort of go back to the work we did for		
10	dissertations that I've repeated several	10	the Ontario Energy Board, and when we looked		
11	times before, and no need to repeat it now.	11	at other jurisdictions, Canada, US, there		
12	STAMP, Q.C.:	12	were several in the US that were using this		
13	Q. Thank you. I'm going to turn for one moment		model for regulating electrical utilities.		
14	to a report that you prepared for the	14	STAMP, Q.C.:		
15	Ontario Trial Lawyers.	15			
16	DR. LAZAR:	16			
17	A. Yeah.	17	asking whether you – DR. LAZAR:		
18		18			
	STAMP, Q.C.:		,		
19	Q. It was done April, 2018. You may recall all	19	asked us to do this, so we never did it for		
20	of that.	20	them. I've never followed up and looked at		
21	DR. LAZAR:	21	it.		
22	A. Yeah, well, you're assuming my memory is	22	STAMP, Q.C.:		
23	better than it is, thank you.	23	Q. But were you asked by the Board here whether		
24	STAMP, Q.C.:	24	that approach was followed by any other rate		
25	Q. It's just April.	25	regulator?		
	Page 202		Page 204		
1	DR. LAZAR:	1	DR. LAZAR:		
2	DR. LAZAR: A. Um?	2	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions.		
2 3	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.:		
2 3 4	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April.	2 3 4	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer?		
2 3	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR:	2 3	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR:		
2 3 4 5 6	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the	2 3 4	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know.		
2 3 4 5	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR:		
2 3 4 5 6	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the	2 3 4 5 6	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any?		
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt.	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12 percent benchmark continued to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes. STAMP, Q.C.:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12 percent benchmark continued to be appropriate in light of the changed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And when I look at this paragraph, we saw on		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12 percent benchmark continued to be appropriate in light of the changed financial and economic environments. In our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And when I look at this paragraph, we saw on your CV some reference to a 2015 report. Is		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12 percent benchmark continued to be appropriate in light of the changed financial and economic environments. In our study for FSCO, we estimated ROE caps for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And when I look at this paragraph, we saw on		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12 percent benchmark continued to be appropriate in light of the changed financial and economic environments. In our study for FSCO, we estimated ROE caps for 2013 ranging between 4.2 percent and 5.3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And when I look at this paragraph, we saw on your CV some reference to a 2015 report. Is that what you mean by several years ago? DR. LAZAR:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12 percent benchmark continued to be appropriate in light of the changed financial and economic environments. In our study for FSCO, we estimated ROE caps for 2013 ranging between 4.2 percent and 5.3 percent based on capital asset pricing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And when I look at this paragraph, we saw on your CV some reference to a 2015 report. Is that what you mean by several years ago? DR. LAZAR: A. Where is this several years ago?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. LAZAR: A. Um? STAMP, Q.C.: Q. It's only just April. DR. LAZAR: A. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the doubt. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. When that shows up, turn to page six, if I can, please. This is a discussion on ROE, as you'll see in a moment when it comes up, page 6, the bottom of the page, please. Thank you, and you'll see this last full paragraph. It says, "Several years ago my colleague at the Schulich School of Business, Professor Eli Prisman, and I, were retained by FSCO to determine whether the 12 percent benchmark continued to be appropriate in light of the changed financial and economic environments. In our study for FSCO, we estimated ROE caps for 2013 ranging between 4.2 percent and 5.3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. LAZAR: A. That was one of the questions. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And did you have an answer? DR. LAZAR: A. I don't know. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Of any? DR. LAZAR: A. No, no, I don't know if any are using it. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, okay. Now to come back to what you said here, you recommended to FSCO – I take it this is a recommendation to FSCO, is that what you were saying? DR. LAZAR: A. At that time, yes. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And when I look at this paragraph, we saw on your CV some reference to a 2015 report. Is that what you mean by several years ago? DR. LAZAR:		

	September 13, 2018 2017 Automobile Insurance Review				
	Page 205		Page 207		
1	DR. LAZAR:	1	as to the reasons for this, but based solely		
2	A. Oh, FSCO.	2	on our experiences with FSCO and the Ontario		
3	STAMP, Q.C.:	3	Energy Board, where we were retained to		
4	Q. I'm just wondering if you –	4	estimate appropriate ROE's for the regulated		
5	DR. LAZAR:	5			
			local electric utilities, the regulators		
6	A. I think we did the work 2013.	6	succumbed to the pressures of the regulated		
7	STAMP, Q.C.:	7	industries". I take it that means you don't		
8	Q. You had a report or something in your CV	8	know of any where this is done for auto		
9	that spoke about a 2015 rate of return	9	insurance?		
10	equity discussion for, I thought, FSCO. I'm	10	DR. LAZAR:		
11	wondering is what you're referring to –	11	A. I don't know.		
12	DR. LAZAR:	12	STAMP, Q.C.:		
13	A. There was a publication in 2015.	13	Q. Thanks, Mr. Lazar.		
14	STAMP, Q.C.:	14	DR. LAZAR:		
15	Q. Okay, is that the one?	15	A. But I know what happened in Ontario.		
16	DR. LAZAR:	16	STAMP, Q.C.:		
17	A. No, but this FSCO is the work we actually	17	Q. Thanks very much. That's all the questions		
18	did for FSCO.	18	I have, thank you.		
1					
19	STAMP, Q.C.:	19	DR. LAZAR:		
20	Q. All right.	20	A. Okay.		
21	DR. LAZAR:	21	CHAIR:		
22	A. It wasn't published. It was internal to	22	Q. Consumer advocate.		
23	FSCO.	23	BROWNE, Q.C.:		
24	STAMP, Q.C.:	24	Q. Thank you, Chair. Thank you, Dr. Lazar.		
25	Q. Thank you. So in any event, you	25	Dr. Lazar, can we go to page 17 of your		
	Daga 206				
1	Page 206		Page 208		
1	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it	1	Page 208 evidence.		
1 2	-	1 2	=		
1	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it		evidence. STAMP, Q.C.:		
2	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR:	2	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report?		
2 3 4	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we	2 3 4	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.:		
2 3 4 5	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is	2 3 4 5	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018.		
2 3 4 5 6	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then	2 3 4 5 6	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR:		
2 3 4 5 6 7	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average,	2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I just want to read your answer to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current 30 year Canada Bond rates were at around 5.3		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I just want to read your answer to the question I put to you a moment ago about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current 30 year Canada Bond rates were at around 5.3 percent, and 10 year Canada Bond rates were at 4.5 percent. Given that the long term		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I just want to read your answer to the question I put to you a moment ago about the methodology, CAPM. Your answer at number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current 30 year Canada Bond rates were at around 5.3 percent, and 10 year Canada Bond rates were at 4.5 percent. Given that the long term market risk premium based on previous		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I just want to read your answer to the question I put to you a moment ago about the methodology, CAPM. Your answer at number five is, "There are no jurisdictions in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current 30 year Canada Bond rates were at around 5.3 percent, and 10 year Canada Bond rates were at 4.5 percent. Given that the long term market risk premium based on previous studies is around 4.6", that's combined the		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I just want to read your answer to the question I put to you a moment ago about the methodology, CAPM. Your answer at number five is, "There are no jurisdictions in Canada that rely on the methodology that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current 30 year Canada Bond rates were at around 5.3 percent, and 10 year Canada Bond rates were at 4.5 percent. Given that the long term market risk premium based on previous studies is around 4.6", that's combined the two, I guess, "and that the beta risk of		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommended, is that right, to FSCO that it be capped between 4.2 and 5.3? DR. LAZAR: A. No, we said those are the numbers we generated. What we recommended to FSCO is if they're going to use this model, then start it off as a ten year rolling average, so either you can go back and correct it, or use your 12 percent, which fell to 11 percent, and then just adjust annually from that point onwards. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. And do I understand from your paragraph above that FSCO did not adopt your recommendations? DR. LAZAR: A. Well, they didn't. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. I just want to read your answer to the question I put to you a moment ago about the methodology, CAPM. Your answer at number five is, "There are no jurisdictions in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence. STAMP, Q.C.: Q. Evidence? Report? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah, the report of July, 2018. DR. LAZAR: A. Yeah. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Okay, at page 17, and we see here sort of the genesis of where the rate of return comes from, which we're referring here, and we see down below in the 2005 decision for automobile insurance, PUB stated, and this is our PUB, Dr. Kalymon, I assume that's "Dr. Basil Kalymon, recommended a target ROE for setting automobile insurance of 9 to 10 percent. "According to Dr. Kalymon, current 30 year Canada Bond rates were at around 5.3 percent, and 10 year Canada Bond rates were at 4.5 percent. Given that the long term market risk premium based on previous studies is around 4.6", that's combined the		

2017 Automobile Insurance Review

Page 209 Page 211 1 setting automobile insurance rates should be 1 the period 2011 to 2016, it's 5.5 percent, 2 2 at 9.63 percent. so slightly higher than his number, and if 3 BROWNE, Q.C.: 3 you take the average of the risk-free rate "And in considering the issue of the 4 4 that we use, which is about one and a half 5 appropriate ROE for automobile insurance 5 percent, add those two together and it gives benchmark rates in the Province, the Board 6 you an upper limit of seven percent, which 6 7 found Dr. Kalymon's evidence and testimony 7 is -8 8 most instructive and compelling" and went BROWNE, Q.C.: 9 9 on, "the Board finds that an ROE of ten So, the rate of return should have been Q. 10 percent is reasonable for the use in 10 closer to seven percent based on those determining the 2005 benchmark rates for values if you were to find -11 11 automobile insurance." DR. LAZAR: 12 12 Just on those values. If you take into Now, what are – this was 2005. Can you 13 13 14 give us generally what happened to the 30-14 account our calculations and that beta, it's 15 year Canada bond rate after that period and 15 closer to six percent. indeed the ten-year Canada bond? Can you 16 16 BROWNE, Q.C.: 17 give us some history of that? Now, there's a general criticism of utility 17 Q. boards across the country, regulators across 18 (1:45 p.m.)18 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 the country in reference to not accepting 20 the new values of the new economy. I see 20 Let me just go to – what rate did we use 21 here. Okay. We wouldn't use either of 21 you're smiling there. In that rates of 22 those, but nevertheless what happened from 22 return seem to be set minus consideration of 23 2005 to onwards, especially following 23 the long term Canada bonds and the ten-year 24 September 2008 with the financial market 24 bonds and indeed the interest rates. Do you 25 collapse in prices, that interest rates, 25 have any comments on that? Page 210 Page 212 1 short, medium and long term collapsed. They 1 DR. LAZAR: 2 all declined very sharply. And only in the 2 Yeah. Both in the Ontario Energy Board case and the automobile insurance case with FSCO, 3 past two or three years, the ten-year and 3 4 the 30-year bond rates in Canada have edged 4 the regulated companies argued quite 5 upwards. They'll still well below the five 5 vociferously this would be disastrous, 6 percent level in that period, you know, pre-6 they'll pull out. They're going to leave 7 2005. Short rates are still at historically 7 the industry. They're going to leave the 8 8 country. And the boards, in both cases, low levels and somewhere in the one, one and 9 9 wasn't really willing to challenge them, a half percent range. thinking "what if they do? Then we look 10 BROWNE, Q.C.: 10 rather stupid." So, in both those cases, So, therefore, if these are the benchmarks 11 11 for establishing the ROE and these factors they capitulated, you know. 12 12 are lower now, certainly you apply them, Did they do the right thing or not? 13 13 should we be having an ROE of ten percent? I'm not going to speculate on that. But 14 14 Would that be reasonable in the current that's what happened. Those are the same 15 15 16 environment? Just roughly. 16 argument in both cases, different types of 17 DR. LAZAR: 17 companies, different industries, but with a 18 18 threat that they were going to exit if the Not at all. Even if you accept Professor Kalymon's estimate of the beta, take his returns were too low. I personally didn't 19 19 20 think that would happen, but I didn't offer one, he never sort of calculated that. He 20 just assumed that was the right value. We 21 that opinion. I'm not the one that had to 21 make the final decision. And I didn't have 22 actually did calculate and came up with a 22 23 lower value. But if you accept that, a 23 to report to political masters. So, it's 24 value of one, and we've got in our Table 11 24 easy for me to say this is what it should what the risk premium has been averaged over 25 25

Page 213 Page 215 1 1 feature. be. 2 DR. LAZAR: BROWNE, Q.C.: 2 3 3 And there's some thought that follows that Α. I'm a firm supporter of simplicity. 4 that ratepayers haven't got the full value 4 BROWNE, Q.C.: 5 of this changing financial economy because 5 Yes. Q. 6 boards have been reluctant to lower rates of 6 DR. LAZAR: 7 7 return consistent with that economy. Is Hearings, there are times when they have 8 8 that a fair comment? values. Most often they're a monumental 9 9 DR. LAZAR: cost burden to everybody and simply a waste 10 Absolutely, and that was the point I was 10 of time. I'm not a fan of meetings. I trying to make in my repeated dissertations think issues can be resolved very quickly. 11 11 why we can quibble the numbers, but at the So, is an automatic formula the way to go? 12 12 end of the day, there's a difference between Yes. You're going to need a hearing to 13 13 the ROE that was allowed and the ROE that determine what that formula should look 14 14 15 should have been allowed. That's one of the 15 like, what should go into it, and then it's 16 major issues. 16 on auto pilot. 17 BROWNE, Q.C.: 17 BROWNE, Q.C.: 18 Q. And I know in this particular jurisdiction, 18 Q. Yes 19 at one point, at least for the energy 19 DR. LAZAR: utility, there was an automatic adjustment 20 20 Period. Α. 21 formula in place back – requested by the 21 BROWNE, Q.C.: 22 utility actually and brought evidence of how 22 Because it seems to me that the regulators 23 it would be more beneficial to us all, in 23 across the country have kowtowed to the 1998, I do believe, 1999, and indeed, the regulated by compensating them more in this 24 24 particular economy. Is that fair comment? 25 Board implemented an automatic adjustment 25 Page 214 Page 216 1 formula based on the long term Canada and 1 DR. LAZAR: 2 the ten-year, an average of those, and plus 2 Α That's been the net result and it's not just 3 put three percent on top of that which 3 Canada, but you see the same thing in the US 4 became the rate of return for the company. 4 as well. It set the range for the rate of return. 5 5 BROWNE, Q.C.: 6 And I remember anecdotally, the first 6 Is there a role for the legislature in this? O. 7 year in which it was implemented, there was 7 That the legislature should take note of the 8 press releases from the utility saying how 8 situation as it is and that rates could be 9 pleased they were because their rates went 9 set based on long term bonds and percentages up. Well, lo and behold, then we got the and for them to give more direction to the 10 10 new economy and all of a sudden, the regulator when setting rates of return in 11 11 automatic adjustment formula was no longer the interest of consumers generally? 12 12 applicable to the utility. They said "we DR. LAZAR: 13 13 can't make any money like this", when 14 14 A. Well, the government appoints the board, actually if it had to stay in place, we gives the board the mandate. Should the 15 15 16 probably wouldn't be talking about the 16 legislature pass a law saying there should overcompensation and the rate of return of 17 be a set formula and then it should be on 17 the insurance industry and perhaps, no 18 auto pilot thereafter? I think that they 18 doubt, of the utilities. should. Then it would be up to the board to 19 19 Do you have any comment on the 20 again have a hearing and determine the 20 implementation of an automatic adjustment formula and the inputs into it. 21 21 formula as opposed to going through these 22 22 BROWNE, Q.C.: 23 processes, based on the long term rate or 23 Thank you, Dr. Lazar. Q. 24 the short term rate? Because the Canada 24 CHAIR: 25 bond really is what is the distinguishing 0. Any questions?

Page 217 Page 219 1 COMMISSIONER OXFORD: 1 changing return on equity from ten to six 2 percent, accepting everything else in the 2 Q. No. 3 CHAIR: 3 Oliver Wyman report, we get overpayments of 4 4 Anything three percent year in year out. Q. 5 MR. FELTHAM: 5 Now, what if we change – reduce the 6 Q. Just one to go back to − I'm not sure we 6 expense ratio? Take the four percent return 7 7 on investment, the six percent return on have a fulsome answer on one question that 8 8 was put to you by Mr. Stamp, Dr. Lazar, with equity, but we're also reducing the expense 9 9 respect to – the questions concerning can we ratio. What is the result? Now you get 10 take the numbers from Oliver Wyman, you 10 overpayments of five and a half percent per know, and put those in; that that's somehow year. So, change that assumption. Well, 11 11 12 going to change your opinion with respect to 12 now what if we go one step further, change what the overpayment looks like. And you expense ratio, change return on investment 13 13 indicated "well, I've looked more closely at to six percent, the return on equity to six 14 14 15 that and I've done some calculations to kind 15 percent, what does this do? It increases of get a sense of what that might be", and I 16 the overpayments each year by six percent. 16 17 think it's important that the Board hear 17 So, ranges from three to six percent 18 from you on that point. 18 per year. What are the premiums on average 19 DR. LAZAR: 19 here? They're running around 300 million. 20 20 Apply these numbers. So that means Okay. Again, there's a simple formula for Α. 21 how you define profits, underwriting profits 21 overpayments of 9 to 18 million per year at 22 plus whatever investment returns there are. 22 the current premium rate. Plain and simple. 23 Simple formula for then determining what the 23 Doesn't matter what the end profitability is 24 return on equity, can play around with it, of the insurance companies. If you plug in 24 25 and what you get then is premiums depending 25 different expense ratios, different return Page 218 Page 220 1 1 on investments, more importantly different upon key assumptions, such as operating 2 expense, return investment, return on equity 2 return on equity, you're going to get 3 equals some multiple of whatever the claims 3 overpayments. Everything else just becomes 4 are expected for that year. So, it's a irrelevant noise. 4 5 straightforward exercise. I'm quite happy 5 MR. FELTHAM: to sort of write out the formula and send it 6 6 Q. Thank you. 7 7 CHAIR: along to you. 8 8 But nevertheless, plugged in that Thank you, Mr. Feltham. Thank you very Ο. 9 formula and I just did some rough much, Dr. Lazar. 9 DR. LAZAR: calculations and I said, okay, what if we 10 10 take the Oliver Wyman assumptions as a Oh, my pleasure. 11 11 A. starting point this is how premiums should 12 12 CHAIR: have been set, and I used their expense Wish you safe travels home this evening. 13 13 Q. ratio of 26 percent on average, four percent We're back here tomorrow morning at nine 14 14 return on investment, ten percent return on with Dr. – please help me with his 15 15 16 equity. So, that would give us some 16 pronunciation. 17 multiple of premiums to whatever the claims MR. FELTHAM: 17 18 Madam Chair, I think 9:00 we're going to 18 are. Q. begin with the Consumer Advocate presenter. 19 And so, okay, now let's adjust those. 19 20 Let's adjust – let's take the 26 percent CHAIR: 20 21 expense ratio, four percent return on 21 Oh, we switched? Q. investment and only change the return on 22 MR. FELTHAM: 22 23 equity. What difference does this make? 23 0. Yeah. 24 And the difference is three percent of 24 CHAIR: premium overpayments each year. So, just by Okay. All right. 25 0.

Α

Aberration - 42:11, 42:15, 42:18 **Ability -** 27:12, 30:25, 51:16 Able - 16:2, 23:4, 110:3, 135:3, 156:11 **Above -** 27:6, 47:6, 55:21, 61:1, 62:14, 65:8, 157:8, 187:1, 206:14 Absence - 5:3, 15:16, 22:23 Accept - 45:2, 170:6, 174:21, 198:24, 201:5, 210:18, 210:23 Acceptable - 101:1 5, 166:19 Accepted - 39:9, 180:7 Accepting - 211:19 , 219:2 Access - 21:14, 22:3, 22:7, 22:10, 22:21, 29:9, 31:4, 39:23, 39:25 Accident - 13:4, 122:14, 191:12, 192:6, 192:16, 194:12, 194:14, 195:17, 195:21, 195:25, 196:2, 197:14, 198:10, 198:11, 198:19, 199:1, 199:23, 200:2, 200:4, 200:6, 200:11, 200:12, 200:15, 200:17, 201:2 According - 51:23, 57:25, 108:12, 119:19, 126:1, 132:2, 208:17 Accordingly - 43:1 Account - 49:19, 53:3, 61:15, 62:17, 63:11, 80:13, 80:15, 149:6, 171:6, 186:3, 186:14, 211:14 Accounted - 32:17, 32:23, 114:15 Accounting - 6:8, 27:16, 28:12, 28:22, 40:1, 43:7 Accurate - 77:16 Accurately - 102:1

ACE - 121:21, 122:24 **Achieve - 172:12** Achieved - 200:8 Acknowledge - 45: Acquired - 24:25, Acquisition - 166:7 **Across -** 37:4, 44:19, 44:20, 60:19, 211:18, 215:23 Activities - 7:15, 31:3, 35:17 Activity - 35:5, 35:15 Actual - 10:14, 14:23, 21:23, 22:16, 47:8, 57:3, 57:10, 75:19, 84:22, 86:14, 87:7, 101:14, 126:15, 127:10, 128:15, 145:24, 154:17 Actuarial - 152:8, 192:17, 192:25 Actuaries - 192:22 **Actuary - 45:8**, 59:2, 169:20, 174:6 Add - 48:4, 50:5, 115:18, 142:23, 160:19, 211:5 Added - 79:3. 79:11 **Adding -** 48:21 Addition - 22:20 Address - 43:15, 182:17, 182:19, 183:8 Adds - 90:25 Adequacy - 38:22, 41:10, 42:4, 69:10, 162:12 Adequate - 41:19 Adjust - 206:10, 218:19, 218:20 **Adjusted -** 43:10, 52:16, 52:17 Adjusting - 128:1 Adjustment - 126: 5, 213:20, 213:25, 214:12, 214:21 Adjustments - 101 :25 Adopt - 62:13, 206:14 **Advance - 107:22** Advancing - 174:1 Advantage - 4:1,

37:4, 78:14, 179:18 Advantages - 37:8 Advised - 66:1 Advocate - 207:22, 220:19 Affect - 43:2, 128:23, 136:12, 194:13 Affected - 120:24, 121:5 Affects - 83:24, 128:22, 135:4 **Afternoon - 176:25 Against -** 51:8, 102:20, 103:3, 200:9 **Agenda - 108:6** Aggregate - 17:12, 17:19, 20:5, 20:16, 21:15, 21:17, 22:14, 28:6, 29:25, 36:17, 55:11, 55:21, 84:4. 89:16 Aggregated - 75:2 Aggressive - 36:14 Aggressively - 25: Agree - 46:15, 108:24, 156:5, 169:8, 192:15 **Agreed - 178:6** Agreeing - 2:4 **Agrees - 56:23** Ahead - 127:3, 138:17, 175:7 Alberta - 26:9, 26:10 Allocate - 78:5 Allocated - 35:24, 113:13, 141:16 Allocation - 32:8, 36:3, 36:23, 38:10 Allocations - 29:6 **Allow -** 50:8 Allowable - 170:24 Allowances - 12:1 **Allowed -** 15:5, 129:22, 170:18, 170:21, 200:10, 213:14, 213:15 **Alter - 136:10 Amazon -** 63:8 **AMF - 114:16** Amount - 26:20. 57:19, 103:3, 103:24, 104:18, 114:17, 142:11, 145:6, 147:5,

Amounts - 94:13, 94:15 **Analysis - 9:25**, 12:9, 19:11, 24:6, 43:4, 43:16, 51:17, 55:24, 76:10, 84:3, 123:10, 125:2, 128:14, 135:13, 136:9, 136:10, 174:20, 174:22, 194:14, 199:21, 201:6 And/Or - 101:14 Anecdotally - 214: Announced - 70:1 **Annual - 29:18**, 29:21, 86:17, 111:10, 198:20, 198:25 **Annually - 154:21**, 206:10 **Anti -** 135:14 Anticipate - 107:11 , 221:11 Anticipated - 87:12 , 127:12 Anticipates - 87:14 Anyway - 20:25, 117:11, 181:20 **Anywhere - 59:21**, 65:7, 111:20 Apologies - 163:9 Apologize - 14:20, 70:6 Apparently - 41:3, 115:9, 165:20 Appear - 38:8, 196:6 **Appeared - 118:15 Appears - 6:17**, 7:17, 36:6, 36:12, 57:25, 66:21, 66:25, 69:25, 176:18 **Appendix - 14:21**, 50:14 **Apples - 169:11** Applicable - 214:1 **Application - 3:15** Applications - 200: **Applied -** 3:2, 13:5, 13:15 **Apply -** 8:12, 13:8, 15:18, 24:13, 40:5, 210:13, 219:20 Applying - 6:1, 112:4, 145:21

Appointed - 2:25 **Appoints -** 216:14 Appreciate - 109:1 Appreciation - 112 :2, 144:21 Approach - 77:7, 85:11, 85:15, 85:18, 86:2, 86:8, 87:1, 101:11, 102:4, 203:24 Approached - 15:1 5, 68:12, 179:9 Approaches - 101: Appropriate - 7:11, 10:18, 10:20, 11:3, 12:2, 13:12, 17:8, 34:5, 39:20, 40:12, 41:5, 42:7, 42:22, 43:22, 44:24, 46:1, 48:13, 48:14, 49:1, 49:18, 51:24, 54:10, 69:8, 84:24, 85:2, 85:11, 85:14, 85:17, 86:15, 89:17, 92:6, 99:3, 102:3, 112:10, 127:19, 129:12, 130:11, 145:12, 202:19, 207:4, 209:5 Approved - 77:2 Approximate - 124 :19, 190:14 **Approximated - 15** 8:8 Approximately - 9 5:13, 98:13, 190:11 Approximating - 1 57:3 **April -** 73:6, 73:8, 73:9, 73:12, 73:17, 201:19, 201:25, 202:4 Area - 3:9, 8:14, 45:11, 63:16, 155:24, 170:12 **Areas -** 3:7, 44:18 Aren't - 49:17 Argued - 49:20, 212:4 Arguing - 91:5 **Argument -** 35:18, 35:23, 36:2, 37:13, 61:3, 80:18, 127:18, 212:16 Arguments - 87:4 Arise - 91:12 Arises - 108:22, 108:24

147:7, 150:6

Armed - 107:11

Arrangements - 20 0:19 **Arrival -** 2:6, 2:7 Article - 5:1 **Arts -** 3:1, 3:10 **Aspect - 107:15** Asserting - 78:8 **Assess -** 9:17 Assessment - 38:1 5, 60:9 Asset - 6:1, 13:9, 13:15, 15:18, 40:2, 44:22, 45:24, 46:15, 46:16, 47:7, 56:6, 71:23, 111:15, 123:24, 126:2, 144:16, 145:14, 145:16, 145:22, 202:23 **Assets -** 31:8, 56:5, 142:16, 144:10, 144:11, 148:22, 149:1, 149:3, 153:19, 153:22, 154:2, 154:14, 155:1, 155:2, 156:21, 156:23, 156:24, 157:5, 157:18, 157:23, 158:3, 158:9, 158:19 **Assistant - 152:14 Assisted -** 71:10 Associate - 67:15 Association - 9:9, 9:12 **Assumed -** 63:25, 150:9, 150:12, 158:3, 158:7, 210:21 Assumption - 55:7 55:16, 58:23, 151:17, 158:4, 190:12, 219:11 Assumptions - 21: 19, 21:20, 22:25, 23:1, 23:3, 30:1, 39:3, 40:18, 40:19, 40:21, 54:19, 63:22, 64:9, 64:13, 65:3, 65:4, 65:7, 65:11, 65:13, 65:16, 75:23, 84:7, 84:8, 126:18, 127:20, 127:21, 128:5, 128:18, 129:5, 129:12, 135:22, 158:20, 218:1, 218:11 Atlantic - 124:4, 124:14, 125:10

Attack - 92:19 Attention - 197:4 **Attract - 27:12** Attributed - 168:16 Audited - 154:20, 157:22 **Auditor -** 7:6, 8:25 Authored - 1:16, 5.16 Authorities - 106:1 Authority - 29:9 **Authors -** 153:12, 169:1, 187:14, 187:15 Auto - 14:12, 16:21, 18:23, 24:23, 29:21, 32:3, 32:15, 33:17, 33:21, 35:19, 44:17, 63:21, 68:23, 110:25, 111:11, 112:10, 158:6, 170:3, 195:19, 203:2, 207:8, 215:16, 216:18 Automatic - 213:20 213:25, 214:12, 214:21, 215:12 Automobile - 1:12, 5:4, 7:1, 7:12, 9:18, 11:7, 13:1, 26:2, 27:22, 27:24, 28:17, 30:5, 34:24, 35:12, 57:4, 62:4, 69:6, 122:8, 150:16, 151:15, 163:20, 203:6, 203:18, 208:13, 208:16, 209:1, 209:5, 209:12, 212:3 Automobiles - 188 :21, 189:11 Availability - 37:18 , 177:10 Available - 1:18, 1:23, 6:7, 12:14, 22:13, 50:5, 52:3, 52:5, 55:15, 57:24, 58:2, 61:25, 69:12, 76:21, 77:1, 103:7, 125:6, 132:5, 132:9, 132:11, 134:23, 137:3, 142:13, 142:15, 168:23, 173:24, 192:11, 200:1, 200:12

Average - 19:2,

19:4, 20:4, 34:2, 45:20, 49:7, 50:4, 50:6, 50:23, 54:8, 54:9, 58:2, 60:15, 60:16, 86:18, 106:2, 118:3, 118:21, 119:4, 120:2, 145:17, 145:18, 154:13, 190:13, 190:14, 190:16, 191:21, 206:7, 211:3, 214:2, 218:14, 219:18 **Averaged - 130:12**, 210:25 Averages - 54:1 Awarded - 11:16, 12:13, 22:15 Aware - 59:20, 166:10 В

Back - 9:20, 18:20, 42:8, 42:12, 54:6, 54:17, 59:16, 68:9, 69:23, 70:9, 77:8, 78:19, 81:1, 87:24, 89:13, 99:13, 99:16, 102:1, 102:8, 108:3, 112:25, 115:25, 122:17, 129:15, 129:16, 133:13, 137:2, 141:2, 160:1, 166:14, 173:1, 177:5, 177:23, 179:23, 179:24, 180:24, 191:2, 196:8, 198:16, 201:9, 203:9, 204:12, 206:8, 213:21, 217:6, 220:14 Background - 2:10 , 29:17 Backtrack - 86:10 **Badly -** 87:24 **Baffled -** 87:21 Bank - 52:1 Banks - 150:19, 150:20 Base - 60:16, 113:2, 135:19, 144:20 Based - 12:13, 13:12, 36:6, 42:1, 45:18, 66:25, 71:12, 127:18, 135:21, 191:12, 194:14, 202:23,

214:23, 216:9 **Basic -** 62:11 **Basil -** 208:15 **Basis - 130:12**, 192:12, 196:4, 199:24, 200:4, 200:11 **Batteries - 178:17 BC - 26:11 Bear -** 57:4, 66:19 Became - 214:4 **Become - 37:6**, 50:5, 61:11, 62:15, 67:18 Becomes - 46:19, 83:21, 97:19, 97:21, 98:18, 98:23, 128:17, 128:20, 149:6, 149:8, 175:5, 220:3 **Began -** 67:23 Begins - 43:23, 56:23 Behaviour - 3:13, 3:18 **Behind -** 177:11 Behold - 214:10 Belabour - 4:15, 48:10 **Below -** 20:10, 22:19, 29:16, 56:19, 89:17, 117:16, 141:16, 208:12, 210:5 Benchmark - 39:9, 39:12, 39:17, 45:7, 46:2, 56:21, 145:3, 202:18, 209:6, 209:11 **Benchmarking - 5** 7:6 Benchmarks - 210: Beneficial - 213:23 **Benefit - 202:6 Benefits - 200:15** Berkshire - 30:18 **Bet -** 126:7, 130:8 Beta - 47:16, 47:19, 47:25, 50:17, 51:2, 51:12, 52:8, 53:8, 71:23, 118:12, 120:25, 125:22, 125:24, 126:3. 126:5. 208:23, 210:19, 211:14 **Bias** - 64:14, 64:16, 64:17 **Biased -** 126:4

211:10, 214:1,

Biggest - 144:15 Bit - 2:9, 13:20, 14:7, 18:13, 18:16, 38:15, 44:12, 45:22, 48:8, 60:8, 81:2, 87:23, 92:3 Blame - 171:5 Blank - 87:2, 89:5, 89:15 Blidook - 221:16, 221:20, 221:22 Boards - 44:17, 211:18, 212:8, 213:6 **Board's -** 45:1, 56:21, 152:8 **Boils - 37:10** Bond - 46:12, 46:13, 46:14, 47:3, 105:24, 111:18, 111:21, 208:18, 208:19, 209:15, 209:16, 210:4, 214:25 **Bonds -** 31:10, 31:16, 31:18, 32:8, 111:13, 146:10, 154:16, 155:13, 211:23, 211:24, 216:9 **Bonuses - 29:3 Book - 106:7 Books -** 4:16 **Boost -** 31:6, 58:4, 58:16 **Both -** 48:18, 59:3, 82:8, 82:25, 89:15, 98:25, 114:17, 117:22, 119:21, 138:22, 139:2, 142:10, 168:7, 189:15, 194:1, 212:2, 212:8, 212:11, 212:16 Bottom - 4:21, 27:3, 33:11, 40:18, 50:12, 79:2, 96:15, 100:4, 100:15, 114:8, 114:11, 122:6, 149:13, 155:7, 155:9, 172:3, 195:15, 202:12 **Bounce - 32:1 Bounced -** 56:15 Brackets - 7:16 Break - 54:22, 99:7, 99:10, 113:9, 176:12, 176:17, 176:22, 177:5,

207:1, 208:21,

177:16, 177:19,

177:22, 179:18 Breakdown - 122:1 9, 187:18 Breaking - 58:9 **Brings -** 34:10 **Broaden - 135:2 Broader - 24:16 Broke - 187:21 Broken - 166:1**, 166:4, 187:23 Brokerage - 61:22 **Brokerages - 62:2 Brought -** 90:23. 193:16, 193:17, 194:17, 213:22 Browne - 175:25, 177:23, 177:24, 207:23, 208:4, 208:8, 209:3, 210:10, 211:8, 211:16, 213:2, 213:17, 215:4, 215:17, 215:21, 216:5, 216:22 **Buffett -** 30:17 **Build -** 43:3 Built - 22:22, 45:19, 55:17, 57:13 **Bullet - 140:2**, 140:18, 140:21, 190:10 **Bullets - 139:23**, 190:5 **Bunch - 137:15 Burden - 215:9** Business - 3:3, 3:14, 24:16, 24:17, 24:18, 25:7, 25:8, 25:11, 27:18, 28:1, 28:11, 28:20, 29:2, 35:7, 35:9, 35:25, 36:15, 36:24, 37:1, 37:11, 38:11, 47:1, 51:14, 57:18, 58:5, 62:22, 104:15, 104:21, 180:14, 202:16 **Buy -** 56:6 **Buying -** 62:22

C

Calculate - 23:4, 118:11, 118:12, 151:6, 210:22 Calculated - 52:17. 63:24, 71:23, 140:9, 148:14, 185:6, 191:11, 210:20 Calculating - 6:25,

51:2, 148:8 Calculation - 51:2, 75:1, 113:9, 143:21, 160:16, 192:16 Calculations - 23:1 1, 56:17, 64:3, 64:20, 71:9, 111:17, 113:14, 135:16, 135:24, 138:9, 179:19, 180:17, 181:6, 181:11, 181:14, 211:14, 217:15, 218:10 Calendar - 192:11, 197:14, 198:8, 198:10, 199:1, 200:13, 200:18, 201:2 Call - 19:10, 34:13, 51:5, 143:3 Called - 3:14, 16:10, 47:16, 115:3, 137:14, 168:11 Campaign - 1:4, 13:3, 193:12, 193:21, 221:4 Canada - 16:11, 30:23, 44:19, 44:20, 47:11, 52:2, 60:19, 60:20, 110:11, 114:1, 203:11, 206:23, 208:18, 208:19, 209:15, 209:16, 210:4, 211:23, 214:1, 214:24, 216:3 Canadian - 57:4, 62:2 Can't - 15:18, 46:24, 79:16, 97:5, 107:10, 108:4, 108:10, 108:19, 108:20, 161:11, 163:11, 171:4, 177:25, 193:6, 199:6, 214:14 **Capable -** 71:9. 72:4 Capital - 6:1, 13:9, 13:15, 15:18, 27:12, 35:23, 36:4, 36:23, 37:17, 38:11, 40:2, 44:22, 45:20, 45:24, 47:2, 56:2, 56:12, 69:10, 71:23, 112:2, 123:24, 126:1, 144:21, 144:22,

145:21, 149:8,

154:10, 156:20,

184:8, 184:23, 202:23, 208:25 Capitulated - 212:1 **CAPM -** 33:24, 206:21 Capped - 206:2 **Caps -** 202:21 **Capture - 134:12** Care - 171:1 Carrying - 60:5 Case - 2:17, 5:4, 6:3, 8:24, 23:24, 24:11, 28:2, 30:22, 35:21, 36:8, 36:10, 36:13, 45:2, 45:25, 54:7, 55:19, 67:15, 69:25, 72:9, 86:21, 121:4, 122:20, 130:9, 130:15, 130:18, 150:16, 150:19, 157:7, 163:19, 187:21, 194:2, 212:2, 212:3 Cases - 26:12, 119:21, 212:8, 212:11, 212:16 Cash - 55:25, 57:24, 58:1, 58:8, 103:6, 142:12 Cast - 171:2, 182:10 Categories - 122:9, 187:3, 187:17, 187:18 **Category - 114:20 Certain -** 19:10, 28:12, 28:14, 57:19, 57:22, 78:25, 103:3, 134:19, 142:11, 145:6, 149:17, 184:7 **Certainly - 160:17**, 210:13 **Certainty - 21:13**, 126:17 Cetera - 44:19, 102:2 Challenge - 183:3, 212:9 Chances - 25:20, 26:1 Change - 10:5, 14:7, 42:20, 48:19, 49:21, 126:8, 217:12, 218:22, 219:5, 219:11, 219:12, 219:13 Changed - 7:22, 10:6, 42:14, 59:25,

61:9, 101:7, 202:19 **Changes - 49:18** Changing - 10:2, 213:5, 219:1 **Charge - 28:16 Charged - 11:19**, 11:22, 127:8 Chart - 34:12, 116:14, 121:18, 173:25, 174:2, 174:8, 181:19, 188:2 **Charts -** 123:5, 173:24 **Cheated - 78:9** Check - 165:24, 175:21 Choose - 77:2, 92:16 Chose - 92:16 **Circles - 173:9** Claim - 40:20, 62:9, 185:4 **Claimed - 103:18 Claiming -** 189:12 Claims - 22:21, 38:5, 49:6, 64:4, 64:24, 65:2, 65:8, 65:15, 77:11, 87:7, 102:21, 103:4, 103:5, 103:25, 104:3, 104:5, 121:15, 122:22, 127:14, 135:14, 135:21, 190:2, 190:20, 218:3, 218:17 Clarification - 91:9 Clarify - 66:12, 93:14, 94:25 **Clarity - 162:15** Class - 46:15, 46:16, 49:2, 145:14 **Classes - 111:16** Classic - 30:17 **Clearer - 97:5 Close -** 58:6, 129:1, 196:3, 197:6 **Closely -** 36:19, 158:8, 217:14 Closer - 211:10, 211:15 **Co -** 1:16, 5:16 **Coincide - 150:13 Colin -** 70:5, 82:3 Colin's - 67:10 **Collapse - 209:25** Collapsed - 210:1 Colleague - 67:11, 70:7, 202:15 Colleagues - 72:16

Collected - 136:17 Collectively - 10:1 Column - 159:19, 168:1, 168:8, 168:13 **Columns - 122:8 Combined -** 200:16 , 208:22 Combining - 7:25 Come - 9:20, 28:8, 45:25, 51:11, 52:7, 77:12, 77:16, 78:19, 81:14, 89:13, 102:8, 107:11, 107:12, 108:1, 108:19, 108:20, 110:7, 115:25, 128:25, 129:15, 129:16, 141:2, 153:13, 161:22, 169:17, 177:5, 177:23, 179:15, 179:24, 204:12, 221:17 Comes - 26:18, 39:11, 95:8, 130:4, 143:4, 159:19, 169:15, 179:1, 202:11, 208:11 Coming - 108:20 Comment - 7:7, 103:11, 107:25, 110:10, 111:8, 167:3, 196:16, 201:9, 213:8, 214:20, 215:25 Commentary - 41: 18, 41:22, 68:15, 69:14, 70:22, 90:24, 90:25, 91:25 Comments - 30:3, 68:13, 91:1, 91:24, 92:5, 156:16, 182:22, 211:25 Commission - 6:24 , 62:24, 166:11 **COMMISSIONER -**217:1 Commissioners -1:8, 14:5 Commissions - 61: 16, 61:19, 165:9, 165:23 **Commit - 142:11** Commitments - 17 8:1 Committed - 35:22 , 104:14, 104:21 Commodity - 62:5, 62:11

Common - 42:23, 129:11 Company - 21:23, 24:14, 25:4, 27:12, 27:19, 30:24, 31:12, 39:25, 46:10, 46:20, 46:23, 47:3, 47:9, 47:14, 47:18, 49:10, 62:8, 103:13, 104:6, 104:12, 104:18, 121:23, 122:19, 123:2, 129:25, 131:14, 131:15, 132:22, 137:9, 137:11, 140:12, 145:15, 145:18, 145:19, 150:22, 154:20, 186:6, 214:4 Company's - 46:20 , 48:1, 150:12, 150:14, 151:8 Comparable - 8:7. 94:21, 150:24 Compare - 12:15, 14:25, 16:23, 95:23, 97:25, 105:23, 111:9 **Compared - 10:17**, 47:15, 84:22, 87:11, 99:2, 158:19, 196:17 Comparing - 169:1 1, 197:11, 198:16 Comparison - 19:2 2, 62:3, 63:6, 75:14, 159:10, 186:6 Comparisons - 54: 16, 54:18, 188:22 Compelling - 209:8 Compensated - 47 :5 Compensating - 2 15:24 **Compete - 3:22** Competing - 25:16 . 36:14 Competitive - 3:13, 3:18, 11:19, 52:18, 87:8, 127:9 Competitively - 64: Complete - 4:6, 84:2, 125:20, 126:6, 135:12 Complicated - 102: Complication - 10

7:1 Component - 31:2 0, 33:8, 143:5, 149:24, 150:1, 169:9 Components - 165 **Computer - 193:6** Computers - 192:2 **Concept - 153:9** Concepts - 3:16 Concerning - 217: Conclude - 174:23, 186:2 Concluded - 24:20 Concludes - 65:19 Conclusion - 63:16 , 63:18, 222:10 Conclusions - 14:3 , 18:20, 38:16, 68:9, 136:12, 149:17, 179:13, 182:25 Conducted - 92:7, 92:8 Conducting - 15:2 Conference - 16:11 **Confirm -** 38:19 Confused - 81:1, 88:20 Confusion - 93:15. 97:6, 149:13 Considerable - 32: Considerably - 16: 17, 65:2 Consideration - 43 :21, 197:12, 198:17, 211:22 Considered - 16:2 Considering - 209: Consist - 149:1, 149:2 Consistency - 57:5 , 121:6, 123:18 Consistent - 213:7 Consistently - 24:4 , 24:14, 35:6 Consolidated - 28: Constant - 49:13. 49:14 Constantly - 133:1 6 Constraints - 95:2 3

Consultants - 152: Consulting - 7:15, 40:7 **Consumer -** 62:7, 207:22, 220:19 Consumers - 9:17, 19:20, 21:3, 26:19, 63:9, 130:25, 170:3, 171:1, 216:12 Consuming - 101: 19 Contact - 134:24 Contacted - 8:11, 67:3, 67:8, 67:11, 72:14, 73:9 **Contacts - 59:16** Contained - 93:9 Contemplating - 1 74:15 Contentious - 221: 10 Context - 44:12, 104:25, 133:19, 169:25, 171:14, 171:20 Continued - 202:1 Continues - 25:6 Continuous - 38:9 **Copy -** 109:17 Core - 206:24 **Corporate - 25:25.** 26:6, 27:15, 28:5, 28:19, 31:10 **Correctly -** 34:18, 94:16, 128:15 Cost - 15:23, 16:5, 28:7, 28:16, 28:21, 28:24, 29:5, 45:20, 60:22, 63:6, 63:10, 208:25, 215:9 Costs - 40:20, 62:18 Counsel - 58:25, 133:9 Count - 116:18 **Country - 37:5**, 211:18, 211:19, 212:8, 215:23 Couple - 9:9, 20:22, 23:16, 24:24, 138:16 Course - 3:10, 3:14, 45:15, 91:7, 101:2, 108:25, 111:14, 124:10, 144:8, 153:11, 155:7, 159:11,

191:10 **Courses - 206:25** Courtroom - 177:7 Coverage - 123:6, 199:23, 199:25 Coverages - 124:1 1, 200:16 **CRA -** 29:9, 29:11 **Create -** 60:14 **Created - 63:9,** 84:19, 84:21 Credentials - 2:10 Critical - 108:19. 173:6, 174:17 **Criticism - 175:12**, 175:17, 175:18, 211:17 Cross - 2:25, 108:25 **Curious - 158:20 Current - 61:22**, 68:8, 208:17, 210:15. 219:22 Currently - 61:2 **Cut -** 25:16, 95:19, 95:22, 120:3, 120:7 CV - 1:21, 1:23, 2:9, 2:22, 4:3, 4:16, 6:11, 204:20, 205:8 D **Database - 158:14 Dataset - 133:11**, 134:19, 134:21, 136:3, 136:8, 173:20 Date - 66:15, 69:17, 69:21, 73:4, 73:20, 152:16, 167:23, 187:12 **Dated -** 67:24, 70:14, 73:19 **Dates - 1:20**, 73:16, 73:23 **Day -** 213:13 **Days -** 74:9, 74:10, 92:5 **Deal -** 14:8, 14:10, 15:11, 62:8, 108:22, 175:14, 221:19 Dealing - 6:4,

44:17, 174:3

Deals - 3:12

Dealt - 69:11

195:19

December - 106:15

, 110:17, 114:11,

Decide - 77:15

208:12, 212:22

45:1, 56:21,

Decision - 3:16,

Decisions - 22:11, 22:22, 44:10 Decline - 37:25, 38:6, 38:10 **Declined -** 35:25, 210:2 **Deducted -** 81:13 **Deficiencies - 30:7 Define - 217:21 Defines - 184:22 Definition - 197:15 Degree - 4:6**, 28:12, 28:14, 126:5 **Denominator - 140** :7, 149:7, 157:5, 183:24, 184:3 **Denying - 131:15** Department - 2:23, 2:25, 3:9 Departments - 200 :23 Dependent - 51:7 **Derive -** 50:25, 55:10 **Derived -** 52:1. 138:12, 145:12, 145:21, 146:18 Describe - 11:9 Described - 140:19 , 140:22 Describes - 187:17 **Detailed - 27:14**, 43:21, 55:23, 76:10, 134:22, 135:2, 200:12 Deteriorate - 92:21 **Determination - 5**: 2, 9:2, 55:5, 55:17, 59:22 **Determinations - 1** 1:15, 16:18 Determine - 7:10, 21:12, 23:2, 26:23, 28:25, 29:2, 44:23, 45:15, 45:17, 52:8, 75:18, 76:3, 165:22, 170:17, 176:4, 182:11, 202:17, 215:14, 216:20 Determined - 19:9, 49:15 **Determining - 13:1** 1, 69:7, 142:19, 182:2, 209:11, 217:23 **Develop - 3:25** Developed - 63:5 **Developments - 10** :21, 17:9, 40:13 **Didn't -** 22:12,

164:15, 188:8,

50:1, 72:9, 76:7, 76:21, 77:2, 81:6, 107:25, 108:1, 113:14, 123:2, 124:25, 125:10, 129:25, 130:1, 132:3, 132:11, 137:3, 137:6, 144:8, 146:21, 146:25, 148:6, 148:8, 156:19, 164:21, 168:22, 173:20, 173:23, 181:16, 181:18, 183:25, 185:10, 186:3, 186:17, 198:9, 198:10, 206:17, 212:19, 212:20, 212:22 **Differ - 157:2 Differed - 13:13**, 184:3 Difference - 13:8. 53:4, 55:22, 101:14, 130:2, 171:21, 171:22, 182:7, 182:8, 183:16, 196:21, 197:1, 198:7, 213:13, 218:23, 218:24 Differences - 4:11, 23:5, 43:9, 62:5, 75:2, 101:25, 172:1, 181:4, 194:12, 194:22, 197:14, 198:18, 199:4, 201:6 Differential - 65:12 . 84:9 **Difficult - 27:17**, 40:8, 107:21, 109:12 Difficulty - 71:11, 163:6 **Digit - 155:11 Diminish** - 26:13 Directed - 57:7 Direction - 64:17, 182:21, 216:10 **Directly - 59:20** Disaggregate - 18 7:2, 187:16 Disaggregated - 1 88:4 **Disagree - 170:9**, 182:25, 183:4, 193:9 Disagrees - 156:8 Disappear - 180:9 Disastrous - 212:5

Discloses - 106:7 Discussing - 153:8 Discussions - 3:24 **Dismiss - 36:2** Disparaging - 92:9 **Disrupt -** 61:22 Dissertation - 4:10 **Dissertations - 20** 1:10, 213:11 Distinction - 198:2 Distinguish - 173:2 Distinguishing - 2 14:25 **Distribution - 8:9** Distributors - 9:4 **Divide - 141:17**, 143:1, 147:6 **Divided - 140:12**, 140:13, 153:19, 153:21, 154:25 **Dividend - 183:21.** 186:20 **Dividends -** 55:25, 149:9, 156:18, 184:14, 186:10 **Dividing - 150:5 Division - 153:10 Doctor -** 2:8, 38:14, 52:14, 58:19, 65:18, 65:21 **Document - 89:23.** 107:19, 107:20, 107:22, 109:9, 114:7, 121:24, 122:6, 160:23, 161:10, 161:13, 161:15, 161:25, 163:1, 163:3, 163:8, 163:11, 163:12, 164:18, 195:9 **Documentation - 1** 06:19, 108:3, 158:18 Documents - 1:21 Doesn't - 25:20, 133:5, 171:24, 173:4, 174:14, 178:23, 178:25, 179:14, 181:19, 181:22, 181:25, 182:6, 182:17, 185:8, 200:16, 219:23 **Dollar - 94:13**, 114:17 **Dollars - 20:18**, 21:2, 21:3, 114:10,

122:12, 123:8,

124:19, 125:15, 131:10 **Donaher - 221:3**, 221:9, 221:15 **Don't' -** 90:24 **Double - 155:11**, 157:4 **Doubt - 157:6**, 182:10, 202:7, 214:19 **Drawing - 197:4 Driven - 30:25**, 32:10, 61:19 **Driver - 30:15.** 53:11, 102:12 **Drivers -** 17:13, 17:20, 33:19 **Drop -** 50:6 **Drove - 87:10** Ε

Each - 41:9, 75:21, 76:1, 77:9, 105:14, 122:18, 122:20, 130:24, 132:22, 134:9, 154:20, 157:25, 160:14, 218:25, 219:16 Earlier - 23:16, 30:3, 33:23, 56:13, 71:15, 102:9, 105:9, 133:17, 137:3, 146:7, 171:17, 175:20, 183:21 Early - 2:6, 72:19, 73:17, 73:22, 116:1 Earn - 30:14, 47:5, 48:25, 143:20, 145:18, 147:23 Earned - 122:9, 122:11, 122:12, 122:21, 124:20, 147:6 **Easier - 97:3 Easily - 39:24** Easy - 62:3, 212:24 Economic - 3:16, 24:7, 24:13, 35:4, 202:20 Economics - 2:23, 2:25, 3:9, 3:14, 4:10, 4:12, 51:5 Economist - 169:2 2, 171:4, 172:9

135:6 Efficient - 49:4, 60:15, 60:22, 61:11, 61:12, 61:13, 62:16 **Effort -** 71:13 **Eight - 74:10**, 119:6, 191:25 **Eighty - 119:6 Electric - 44:18**, 207:5 Electrical - 203:13, 203:15 Electricity - 8:9, 9:3 **Element - 63:11**, 182:6 Elementary - 45:23 Eli - 1:16, 7:5, 8:7, 9:15, 12:17, 14:16, 15:19, 17:7, 22:10, 43:15, 51:23, 59:8, 59:23, 67:11, 71:8, 81:6, 111:16, 182:18, 183:7, 189:15, 202:16 **Elliott -** 59:1, 151:25, 153:8, 153:24, 154:5, 155:23, 156:3, 160:10, 161:3, 163:15, 164:13, 168:4, 168:19, 169:6, 169:20, 170:12, 174:6, 178:24, 180:7, 186:13, 187:7, 195:10, 199:20, 200:25 Elliott's - 151:21, 151:25, 153:16, 161:17, 167:11, 199:9, 199:11 **Employed -** 101:18 Encourage - 62:15 Energy - 8:8, 8:18, 8:23, 203:10, 207:3, 212:2, 213:19 **Engaged -** 39:13, 66:13, 67:19 **Ensure - 48:24 Enter - 170:7** Enters - 54:25 Entertain - 65:24 **Entire -** 19:3, 19:14, 21:10, 32:18, 33:4, 34:3, 88:25, 104:20 **Entirely - 169:23 Entities - 44:25**

Entitled - 1:11,

133:11 **Entry - 124:5** Environment - 210 :16 **Environments - 20** 2:20 **Equal -** 47:19, 131:1, 151:8, 153:22 **Equals - 34:6**, 218:3 **Equated -** 103:15, 140:7, 140:14 **Equities - 11:15**, 11:23, 11:25, 12:17, 13:13, 17:6, 17:7, 29:19, 31:12, 31:15, 31:19, 42:22, 47:23, 56:10, 64:18, 69:8, 106:2, 106:14, 111:14, 128:16 Equivalent - 95:5, 96:23, 97:11, 104:8, 142:12, 150:10 **Eroded -** 38:3, 79:24 **Essence - 87:18** Essentially - 16:12 , 17:5, 19:9, 31:24, 46:9, 61:22, 68:6, 68:14, 70:21, 71:2, 71:6, 103:12, 104:6, 104:10, 104:11, 105:22, 115:13, 168:15, 182:10, 182:24, 193:14, 194:10 Establish - 28:10 Establishing - 210: 12 Estate - 31:9, 31:17, 149:2 **Estimate - 14:23**, 21:1, 41:24, 43:12, 47:16, 47:17, 50:15, 50:17, 51:11, 52:7, 54:25, 64:5, 77:12, 86:15, 86:19, 88:24, 101:15, 120:24, 123:25, 125:22, 126:9, 128:23, 135:7, 154:1, 175:9, 176:1, 179:20, 195:18, 195:24, 207:4, 210:19 Estimated - 1:12, 17:7, 20:4, 20:7, 27:10, 33:13,

Economy - 211:20,

213:5, 213:7,

214:11, 215:25

Edged - 210:4

Education - 4:4

Effect - 102:14,

33:14, 33:17, 34:5, 48:13, 64:15, 64:17, 74:16, 98:18, 145:11, 195:21, 202:21 **Estimates - 10:14**, 12:17, 15:1, 29:25, 41:10, 63:20, 81:8, 89:17, 96:1, 126:11, 180:8 Estimating - 22:4, 22:5, 45:6, 71:16, 123:23, 128:16, 130:16, 174:11, 199:22 Estimation - 50:19 Estimations - 18:2 Et - 44:19, 102:2 **Evening - 220:13**, 222:8 Everybody - 1:3, 82:4, 87:14, 176:17, 177:9, 215:9 Everyone - 222:9 Everything - 70:21, 154:16, 180:7, 180:24, 182:24, 219:2, 220:3 **Evidence - 56:24**, 71:15, 90:23, 102:9, 151:21, 151:25, 161:3, 161:5, 161:14, 167:12, 171:17, 179:8, 208:1, 208:3, 209:7, 213:22, 221:11 **Evolve -** 3:24 **Evolved - 7:24 Ex -** 87:11, 135:14 Examination - 63:1 5, 108:25, 175:23 **Examine -** 7:10, 41:7, 67:15, 68:12, 149:16 **Examined - 23:12**, 39:19, 43:10 **Example - 28:17**, 46:21, 78:1, 95:15 Examples - 30:17 **Exceed - 30:1** Exceeded - 11:2, 33:16, 34:4 Exceeds - 150:21 Excel - 51:16 **Except -** 70:22, 99:22, 183:20 Excess - 12:1, 47:21, 138:21

63:22 Exclude - 18:25, 20:21, 20:22, 114:14, 173:17 **Excluded - 19:16.** 23:17, 24:5, 32:22, 75:3, 75:5, 117:21, 118:9, 118:11, 119:16, 120:1, 123:17, 124:21, 124:24, 132:2, 132:7, 134:14, 137:15 **Excluding - 20:15**, 34:1, 34:15, 38:5, 82:9, 83:13, 95:6, 96:11, 100:25 Exclusion - 116:8 **Executive - 13:24**, 18:12 Exercise - 40:8. 170:16, 172:5, 192:17, 192:25, 218:5 **Exhibit -** 122:18, 154:25 Exists - 61:20, 93:2 Exit - 25:5, 25:21, 35:6, 212:18 Exited - 24:22 **Exiting - 37:11**, 37:14 **Expand - 37:5**, 136:2, 136:3 **Expanded -** 18:13, 125:21 **Expands - 128:2 Expect - 25:18**, 35:24, 37:1, 52:25, 57:14, 57:21, 58:11 Expectations - 22: 21 **Expected -** 50:23, 56:11, 64:24, 65:1, 65:8, 65:15, 77:11, 140:2, 218:4 Expects - 47:4 Expenditures - 78: 6, 144:23 Expenses - 60:7, 60:10, 64:6, 103:6, 126:22, 127:15, 128:2, 165:9, 165:10, 168:6, 168:8. 168:10. 168:16, 168:21, 168:22, 168:25, 169:10, 169:14, 173:17, 178:24, 179:11, 181:16,

Excessive - 15:24,

181:17, 181:24, 185:5, 187:2, 187:16, 188:7, 188:12 Experience - 8:13, 9:16, 14:11, 14:14, 16:21, 16:23, 25:12, 26:23, 27:8, 127:14, 127:16, 128:7 Experiences - 207: **Expert -** 6:13, 90:22, 90:23, 91:4, 92:15 **Expertise -** 45:12, 170:12 **Experts - 40:6**, 56:25 **Explain -** 8:18, 13:21, 33:12, 45:22, 53:14, 59:5, 89:4, 91:16, 92:3, 108:5, 109:23, 125:19, 157:22, 185:8, 194:11, 196:4, 196:20, 197:12 **Explained - 87:23**, 93:1, 93:13, 101:10, 140:18, 154:6, 161:6, 161:23, 162:2, 173:5 Explaining - 96:9 **Explains - 192:7** Explanation - 23:9. 169:1, 196:13 Explicitly - 140:24 **Explore -** 67:15 Explored - 61:6 Extension - 5:24 Extensively - 44:9 **Extent -** 57:2 **Extracted - 154:25.** 163:13 Extrapolated - 55: 18 F Factored - 80:21

Factored - 80:21 Factors - 60:17, 87:10, 210:12 Faculty - 3:1 Fair - 16:24, 92:17, 156:11, 173:15, 213:8, 215:25 Fairfax - 25:1, 25:3, 30:23 Fairly - 44:9 Fan - 215:10 Far - 11:2, 12:24 **Farther - 143:4** Fashion - 93:2, 123:10 Fastest - 133:14 Favourable - 77:24 **Feature - 215:1 Feel -** 176:14 Fell - 206:9 Field - 4:13, 40:7 Fields - 35:14 Fifteen - 176:16. 177:22, 178:13 Fifth - 140:2 Figure - 26:18, 39:11, 48:16, 53:17, 120:8 **Figuring - 163:7 FII -** 190:20 File - 51:16, 66:20, 66:25, 154:21, 163:6 Filed - 1:15 Filings - 156:25 Filter - 142:18 Final - 34:11, 128:20, 212:22 Finally - 17:11, 64:6 **Finance -** 3:11, 40:4, 45:11, 45:14, 45:15, 51:5, 71:17, 144:8, 144:9, 206:24 Financial - 6:9, 6:24, 17:8, 24:19, 28:3, 30:23, 31:7, 35:9, 39:25, 40:12, 42:8, 48:19, 49:12, 49:17, 50:10, 142:16, 144:11, 149:1, 150:19, 154:19, 156:20, 157:22, 163:20, 188:19, 189:9, 194:11, 194:13, 194:19, 198:8, 200:21, 200:22, 202:20, 209:24, 213:5 Find - 46:14, 47:13, 60:21, 79:16, 95:25, 98:2, 112:22, 157:17, 163:5, 163:11, 176:1, 179:2. 180:13. 187:8, 211:11, 221:15 **Findings -** 37:23, 48:9, 60:10, 197:12

Finds - 209:9

Fine - 49:16, 66:5, 81:16, 100:5, 110:6, 131:4, 177:25, 189:18 **Fingers - 79:17** Firm - 215:3 Firms - 3:22, 5:3, 25:12 First - 18:21, 45:14, 59:13, 61:9, 69:17, 69:21, 69:23, 70:13, 95:5, 96:18, 121:21, 121:22, 121:23, 129:17, 138:15, 172:23, 172:25, 194:2, 214:6 Firstly - 39:18, 44:8 Five - 20:24, 20:25, 50:24, 54:8, 74:10, 191:25, 206:22, 210:5, 219:10 Flag - 155:8, 155:12 Flexibility - 28:13, 28:14, 28:24, 78:4, 222:2 Flight - 2:8, 178:8 Flipped - 96:13 Floor - 131:5 Fluctuate - 29:19 Fluctuated - 29:22 Focus - 18:21, 191:16 **Focused -** 180:4 **Focusing - 122:4** Focussed - 69:5 **Focusses - 70:19** Focussing - 171:1 1, 171:12 Fold - 201:4 **Follow -** 10:13 Followed - 36:18, 203:20, 203:24 Following - 8:10, 42:5, 63:22, 64:24, 209:23 **Follows -** 33:15, 158:2, 213:3 Footnote - 163:22, 163:25, 164:9 Foreign - 72:5 **Forever - 2:19** Forget - 70:6, 184:10 Form - 14:2 **Formal - 4**:4 Format - 15:22, 16:2

Former - 70:2

Formula - 59:13, 213:21, 214:1, 214:12, 214:22, 215:12, 215:14, 216:17, 216:21, 217:20, 217:23, 218:6, 218:9 Forward - 43:14, 45:3, 50:24, 51:2, 61:18, 133:14, 176:4, 221:10 Forwarded - 82:4 **Found -** 10:23, 24:12, 209:7 Four - 13:23, 13:25, 17:18, 17:25, 63:17, 218:14, 218:21, 219:6 Fourth - 17:11 Fraize - 66:5, 66:7, 162:14, 162:17 Fred - 1:9 Free - 46:10, 46:23, 47:7, 47:21, 47:23, 48:4, 48:21, 48:22, 50:16, 50:22, 51:22, 53:12, 71:24, 131:2, 145:15, 211:3 Friend - 108:19, 175:24 Front - 100:11, 122:17, 186:24 **FSCO -** 5:25, 6:23, 7:3, 7:5, 8:6, 8:10, 9:5, 11:12, 15:25, 16:16, 49:20, 54:5, 58:22, 59:5, 59:9, 59:16, 59:20, 61:4, 67:14, 103:16, 103:18, 108:2, 151:17, 158:6, 158:7, 158:10, 202:17, 202:21, 203:18, 204:13, 204:14, 205:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:18, 205:23, 206:1, 206:5, 206:14, 207:2, 212:3 **FSCO's -** 55:17 Full - 27:4, 202:13, 213:4 **Fully -** 71:9, 72:4, 78:13, 159:5 **Fulsome - 217:7 Function - 74:25** Fundamental - 42: 20, 171:23, 175:15

Fundamentally - 1 54:7, 156:4 Funds - 103:21, 105:22, 114:14 Furniture - 149:3 Further - 13:22, 58:20, 92:4, 116:22, 116:23, 116:24, 187:25, 219:12 Furthermore - 27:5 , 27:10, 29:25 Future - 37:2, 102:21, 103:4, 103:5, 104:3, 104:5

G Gain - 3:25 Gains - 56:2, 56:12, 149:8, 154:10, 156:20, 184:8, 184:23 **Gap -** 11:3, 12:19, 17:5, 21:20, 56:7, 85:24, 86:14, 86:18, 86:20, 89:11, 93:1, 93:2, 95:20, 101:13, 127:24, 196:17 Gaps - 33:22, 57:15, 89:25 Gas - 44:19 Gave - 59:11, 59:23, 59:25, 85:22, 89:20, 98:16, 171:16, 194:17, 197:13, 198:17 General - 7:7, 8:25, 37:4, 60:6, 134:10, 159:10, 160:4, 165:9, 165:13, 166:6, 166:24, 167:2, 168:6, 168:8, 168:9, 168:21, 168:22, 169:10, 172:7, 211:17 **Generally - 102:10,** 178:22, 209:14, 216:12 Generate - 25:10, 26:14, 30:21, 31:2, 31:6, 35:9, 58:3, 106:3, 111:23, 130:23, 145:17, 146:3, 146:8 Generated - 55:20, 57:23, 58:1, 85:2, 105:21, 145:23, 191:11, 206:5 Genesis - 208:10

Gentlemen - 9:10 Get - 8:16, 13:20, 13:21, 16:14, 16:25, 18:16, 18:20, 45:18, 48:9, 49:5, 49:22, 53:6, 59:6, 60:8, 65:6, 66:20, 85:12, 86:8, 88:19, 92:2, 107:1, 109:21, 111:20, 112:5, 112:14, 116:2, 116:7, 123:2, 124:25, 127:24, 133:3, 147:7, 147:8, 149:23, 149:24, 153:11, 160:20, 162:24, 172:18, 176:17, 179:19, 180:20, 194:1, 194:2, 217:16, 217:25, 219:3, 219:9, 220:2 Gets - 54:14 GISA's - 188:18, 196:2 **Gittens -** 66:1 Give - 29:16, 44:12, 46:6, 88:21, 107:13, 135:2, 163:22, 181:3, 183:18, 197:24, 198:18, 209:14, 209:17, 216:10, 218:16, 221:16, 222:4 **Given -** 1:18, 59:8, 64:13, 93:12, 111:22, 119:19, 128:12, 132:19, 132:20, 134:19, 136:23, 145:6, 186:18, 196:1, 197:16, 208:20 **Gives -** 50:7, 88:24, 135:25, 141:21, 142:24, 163:22, 183:17, 211:5, 216:15 **Glynn -** 109:20 Gone - 11:13, 50:16, 93:20, 95:2, 155:18, 169:4, 179:13 **Good -** 1:3, 1:7, 1:24, 2:2, 26:2, 32:2, 99:6, 133:18, 169:22, 178:21,

76:16, 81:1, 87:20, 87:21, 93:5, 97:25, 100:22, 102:10, 128:9, 128:11, 130:22, 131:5, 145:15, 148:14, 149:24, 161:6, 161:9, 162:2, 163:10, 167:13, 171:5, 171:14, 173:10, 177:2, 177:3, 179:1, 180:13, 186:24, 189:7, 190:19, 210:24, 213:4, 214:10 Government - 31:1 0, 46:12, 46:13, 46:14, 47:2, 155:13, 216:14 Graduate - 4:6 Graduated - 4:4 Gratuitous - 90:24. 91:24, 92:5 **Greater - 15:3**, 15:5, 21:13, 32:4 **Group -** 9:6, 16:13, 16:17, 142:15, 190:5 **Groupings - 82:15**, 97:25 **Groups - 33:25 Grow -** 37:7 Guarantee - 130:2 **Guess -** 1:3, 1:10, 2:7, 6:22, 7:16, 8:20, 9:11, 13:23, 15:11, 18:14, 19:8, 19:18, 19:21, 20:25, 24:2, 24:6, 26:24, 27:4, 33:12, 34:13, 38:18, 39:18, 48:11, 52:19, 52:22, 53:15, 54:21, 64:8, 66:6, 70:9, 87:15, 88:7, 88:19, 99:22, 100:3, 114:9, 114:10, 122:11, 133:3, 134:13, 137:13, 152:12, 152:18, 168:3, 185:7, 193:13, 193:16, 194:1, 208:23

Н

Hadn't - 7:8 Half - 210:9, 211:4, 219:10

Halfway - 190:11 Happening - 50:10, 91:17 Happy - 80:23, 107:9, 218:5 Hard - 114:12 Harvard - 4:6 Hasn't - 42:14, 106:19, 106:20, 181:8 Hate - 174:24 Hathaway - 30:18 Haven't - 32:6, 36:18, 55:23, 61:8, 72:6, 107:6, 163:10, 213:4 **Head - 28:19** Hear - 107:25, 164:21, 217:17 Heard - 76:20, 108:1 **Hearing -** 42:13, 57:1, 91:1, 133:9, 187:12, 215:13, 216:20 **Hearings -** 6:17, 6:18, 70:10, 215:7 Help - 44:14, 220:15 Helpful - 109:2, 133:19 Hence - 47:5, 135:7 Here's - 49:7. 64:21, 113:11, 113:12, 145:15, 170:5 **He's -** 30:19, 93:1, 106:22, 107:6, 107:18, 107:22, 132:20, 133:6, 155:23, 161:25, 173:4, 176:2 **High -** 10:8, 10:11, 32:20, 33:19, 52:20, 126:20, 130:17, 145:3, 145:4, 174:25, 175:16, 182:12, 182:19 Higher - 13:17, 25:24, 53:2, 53:5, 56:11, 61:12, 64:25, 65:10, 75:2, 98:13, 98:14, 125:24, 126:3, 126:10, 145:7, 149:14,

Got - 16:9, 18:17,

30:19, 31:7, 52:16,

52:19, 58:8, 62:22,

180:13

159:14, 170:2,

200:14, 211:2

Hindsight - 200:7

Historical - 42:12,

42:25, 53:25 **Historically -** 42:18 , 49:15, 210:7 **History -** 8:14, 63:1, 209:17 Hold - 84:12, 86:2, 90:9, 102:20, 103:3 **Holdings - 149:6** Home - 148:5, 148:15, 220:13 **Hope -** 1:20, 222:6 **Hopefully - 102:10** Host - 87:9 **Hour -** 176:18. 221:14 House - 144:1, 144:15 Hundred - 120:16, 120:21

ı

IBC - 58:25, 90:21, 93:4, 106:6, 107:20, 108:3, 108:12, 114:7, 114:15, 193:13, 193:21, 194:5 I'd - 2:8, 14:9, 18:15, 38:14, 60:7, 158:20, 166:14, 176:21, 177:4 Idealistic - 7:21 Identified - 50:20 Identifies - 166:11 Ignored - 80:12 **I'II -** 1:15, 8:16, 13:21, 19:10, 22:25, 29:15, 34:13, 39:15, 48:8, 52:16, 54:15, 62:20, 66:19, 81:19, 110:7, 121:21, 136:2, 156:16, 162:21, 167:8, 169:19, 173:13, 174:23, 178:1, 187:19, 201:5 **Illegal -** 78:16 Impact - 62:18, 130:5 **Impacts -** 130:8 Implementation - 2 14:21 Implemented - 213 :25. 214:7 Implication - 149:1 **Implications - 17:1** 2, 17:19, 18:4,

64:22

Important - 48:12, 54:23, 127:5, 182:5, 198:25, 201:1, 217:17 Importantly - 220:1 Imposed - 78:13 **Improve -** 25:20 **Impure -** 46:18 INA - 121:22, 122:24 Inadequacies - 53: 19 Inadequacy - 63:1 9, 63:20 Incentive - 61:10 Incentives - 60:14 Incentivize - 172:1 Inclusion - 116:8 Income - 64:1, 64:3, 77:20, 113:12, 140:3, 140:13, 141:12, 143:9, 144:4, 150:5, 153:13, 153:18, 153:21, 154:9, 154:24, 185:5, 185:7 Incorporate - 125: Incorporated - 11: 14, 59:12 Incorporating - 9:2 4, 123:24 Incorrectly - 179:9 Increase - 25:15, 25:17, 28:21, 38:5 Increased - 19:5. 36:4, 130:10 **Increases - 219:15** Increasing - 36:25, 38:2 Increasingly - 44:2 Incur - 144:24 Incurred - 87:5 Indeed - 209:16, 211:24, 213:24 Index - 52:4 Indicated - 14:1, 175:25, 217:14 Indicating - 132:20 Indication - 11:5, 15:4, 38:8, 181:3 Industrial - 3:12 Industries - 63:2, 207:7, 212:17 **Industry -** 24:23, 25:5, 25:21, 33:18, 35:22, 49:8, 52:11,

Importance - 56:16

55:11, 60:15, 60:18, 61:18, 89:18, 118:3, 121:8, 128:8, 128:11, 128:12, 145:13, 160:11, 160:12, 160:17, 160:18, 163:15, 163:21, 168:12, 169:2, 169:16, 169:17, 174:4, 188:9, 188:11, 188:19, 189:9, 191:5, 212:7, 214:18 Infer - 105:24 **Inferred - 11:22** Influenced - 146:2 **Informed -** 59:18 **Initially -** 67:12 **Input - 16:3 Inputs -** 216:21 **Inquiry -** 76:2 Insignificant - 33:7 Institutions - 150:2 Instructive - 209:8 Insurer - 105:14, 125:16, 157:25 Insurers - 23:12, 57:4, 60:7, 77:2, 102:12, 105:1, 106:8, 107:3, 108:7, 137:12, 137:20, 145:8 Intact - 36:10, 36:13, 75:6, 186:7, 186:12 Interest - 42:10, 42:15, 42:19, 46:11, 49:13, 50:16, 51:1, 53:1, 53:4, 55:25, 112:1, 149:9, 156:19, 183:22, 184:14, 186:10, 186:20, 209:25, 211:24, 216:12 Interesting - 112:8 **Internal - 37:8**, 57:5, 205:22 Internally - 29:1 International - 3:11 Interpreted - 196:2 5, 197:8 **Interpreting - 161**: 13 **Interrupt - 171:10** Interrupting - 133: 17 **Intra -** 27:15

Introduced - 41:5 Invest - 30:13, 31:5, 31:8, 31:9, 31:11, 31:14, 31:16, 47:2, 56:9, 58:3, 58:8, 103:8, 105:1, 105:14, 106:8, 106:9, 107:3, 142:13, 143:20, 147:23, 155:13 Investable - 103:21 Invested - 32:6. 102:11, 106:2, 142:15, 144:4, 150:22, 153:19, 153:22, 154:2, 155:1, 157:5, 157:17, 157:23, 158:3, 158:8 Investigation - 60: 20 Investing - 30:21, 47:22, 57:19. 105:23, 115:14, 146:9 Investments - 29:1 8, 57:15, 105:21, 114:9, 114:13, 142:23, 145:5, 146:3, 150:18, 153:17, 154:15, 220:1 **Involve -** 40:19, 142:10 **Irrelevant -** 128:17, 128:21, 220:4 Isn't - 1:24 **Issue -** 14:8, 14:10, 39:16, 42:2, 43:16, 59:3, 71:1, 174:10, 191:16, 209:4 Issues - 2:8, 18:15, 39:4, 60:12, 69:11, 179:4, 183:11, 199:3, 213:16, 215:11 **Italics - 20:10** Item - 17:11, 19:19, 138:17, 138:19 Iterative - 95:21, 95:25, 99:3, 101:23 **It'll -** 153:2, 191:18, 192:5 I've - 2:19, 9:22, 16:9, 61:15, 93:5, 93:7. 100:22. 108:13, 124:12, 131:24, 132:20, 133:17, 135:15,

186:24, 201:10,

203:20, 217:14,

217:15

J

Jewelry - 149:3 Joint - 71:13 Journal - 4:16. 4:18, 5:12 Journals - 4:20 **Judge -** 81:18 **July -** 13:2, 67:24, 69:24, 70:15, 72:15, 73:19, 73:20, 73:22, 208:5 **Jump - 124:3** Jumped - 168:15, 168:18 June - 66:21, 66:23, 67:1, 67:21 Jurisdiction - 35:1 1, 78:1, 203:1, 203:4, 213:18 Jurisdictions - 25: 24, 25:25, 203:11, 206:22

K Kalymon - 208:14,

208:15, 208:17, 208:24 **Kalymond -** 56:24 **Kalymon's -** 209:7, 210:19 **KEAN - 194:6**, 199:13 **Keeps -** 173:1 **KENNEDY -** 90:17. 91:23, 92:13, 129:20, 155:20, 160:22, 161:8, 161:19, 161:24, 167:22, 176:20, 177:11, 194:4 **Key -** 39:3, 40:17, 40:19, 40:21, 50:14, 50:20, 53:11, 55:4, 63:22, 170:9, 218:1 **Knowing -** 221:5

L

Kowtowed - 215:2

Known - 40:3

Labelled - 168:8 Labour - 4:12 Labrador - 1:14, 13:6, 14:6, 14:13, 14:25, 17:14, 17:20, 18:24, 19:21, 26:5, 28:18,

Intricacies - 27:14

Introduce - 1:5

33:6, 33:20, 35:1, 35:20, 36:24, 38:12, 50:2, 55:19, 67:16, 69:7, 89:19, 111:2, 111:12, 115:20 **Lack - 12:10 Laid -** 13:25 **Language - 138:18 Large -** 43:9, 57:16 Largely - 6:4, 6:18, 13:14, 30:24, 62:5 Larger - 19:15, 96:1, 128:21 **Las -** 11:4 **Late -** 2:6 Later - 70:9, 90:20, 134:13 **Lawyers -** 8:11, 8:16, 9:9, 9:12, 59:14, 67:14, 201:15 **Lazar's - 91:11 Lead -** 63:17, 64:9, 179:12 Leader - 24:19, 25:6, 35:8, 36:6, 37:12 Learned - 7:22, 175:24 Leave - 18:12, 35:7, 66:2, 87:2, 87:22, 188:12, 212:6, 212:7 **Lecture - 172:16 Left -** 89:5, 89:15, 169:2, 179:11 **Legal -** 78:2, 78:4, 78:12, 78:16, 103:2 Legislature - 216:6 216:7, 216:16 Legitimate - 26:16 **Lesson -** 7:23 **Let's -** 14:23, 15:10, 62:13, 62:16, 169:23, 170:6, 170:16, 176:16, 218:19, 218:20 **Level -** 10:8, 10:11, 26:1, 26:7, 28:5, 41:9, 43:22, 55:12, 56:1, 200:14, 210:6 Levels - 11:3, 42:13, 42:16, 146:6, 210:8 Liabilities - 78:15 Liability - 29:7, 122:13, 200:15 **Liaison -** 70:5 Liberal - 3:1

Library - 107:12, 107:18 Lies - 93:15 **Light -** 10:21, 17:8, 40:12, 42:7, 202:19 Limit - 20:5, 20:16, 21:6, 22:18, 74:18, 74:22, 74:23, 75:2, 75:9, 75:10, 75:12, 75:15, 75:17, 81:9, Limitations - 78:12 **Limited -** 104:18 Line - 24:15, 24:17, 24:18, 27:13, 27:18, 28:1, 35:7, 36:23, 38:11, 51:13, 61:24, 64:5, 79:1, 79:2, 82:13, 83:7, 83:11, 95:3, 99:21, 100:24, 104:14, 104:21, 122:10, 132:22, 142:20, 143:3, 143:8, 172:4, 187:14, 187:25, 195:25 Lined - 87:24 Lines - 25:8, 25:10, 28:3, 36:15, 82:8, 95:2 List - 116:13, 117:17, 124:23, 131:8, 132:11, 136:6, 136:7, 163:7 **Listed -** 4:15, 4:18, 6:12, 166:18 Listened - 108:4 **Lo -** 214:10 Local - 8:9, 9:3, 207:5 Locate - 74:14 Location - 77:24 **Logic - 129:10** Logically - 57:17 **Long -** 2:17, 38:10, 40:3, 61:4, 74:6, 74:8, 146:13, 173:2, 176:7, 177:1, 192:4, 208:20, 210:1, 211:23, 214:1, 214:23, 216:9, 221:5 Longer - 131:4, 214:12 Looked - 14:1, 56:14, 75:9, 77:7,

96:8, 123:4,

145:24, 166:6,

174:7, 186:5,

167:14, 173:24,

195:5, 203:10, 203:20, 217:14 **Looking -** 3:21, 10:19, 51:4, 53:18, 67:23, 68:22, 68:24, 69:5, 69:8, 87:21, 91:9, 111:24, 120:6, 130:6, 135:20, 143:8, 143:9, 148:17, 162:15, 185:18, 198:4, 200:7, 200:20 **Lose - 128:4 Losing -** 24:15, 24:22, 25:4 Loss - 25:9, 36:6, 37:12, 126:11, 142:25, 163:16, 163:21, 168:12, 169:16, 188:20, 189:10, 191:6, 191:11, 192:16, 195:18, 195:21, 195:24, 196:3, 197:11, 197:15 Losses - 25:14, 26:14, 32:2, 35:15, 56:2, 79:19, 81:10, 87:5, 87:10, 87:11, 142:22, 144:22, 154:11, 199:22 **Lost -** 2:19, 24:19, 25:6, 35:8, 129:3 Lot - 22:4, 62:21 **Louder -** 67:6 Low - 32:19, 42:11, 42:18, 52:20, 52:21, 54:21, 55:8, 63:25, 64:4, 65:7, 111:22, 112:3, 120:20, 126:21, 175:16, 182:12, 182:20, 186:5, 186:18, 210:8, 212:19 **Lower -** 16:5, 21:19, 25:24, 26:8, 26:11, 49:10, 53:11, 58:14, 61:2, 61:10, 61:13, 65:14, 75:10, 75:12, 75:15, 75:17, 112:7, 125:24, 125:25, 126:2, 127:8, 127:22, 127:23, 170:22, 170:25, 210:13, 210:23,

Lucky - 49:5, 128:10 Lunch - 176:22, 177:5

M Mad - 194:4 Madam - 65:20. 66:1, 91:7, 92:18, 92:25, 99:9, 99:15, 106:17, 106:25, 107:18, 107:25, 109:7, 109:24, 132:18, 133:10, 134:12, 152:18, 155:21, 161:12, 161:17, 162:8, 167:21, 171:10, 172:15, 173:13, 175:20, 176:10, 176:21, 177:12, 177:19, 220:18, 221:2 Magnitude - 156:2 2. 156:24 Maintained - 103:1 Maintenance - 144 :23 Major - 27:21, 30:15, 33:5, 102:12, 213:16 Make - 20:18, 37:9, 54:18, 68:13, 68:19, 69:2, 76:1, 87:16, 97:5, 109:17, 114:12, 126:12, 133:10, 138:15, 138:18, 142:22, 142:23, 147:3, 149:16, 155:4, 162:22, 163:5, 167:3, 169:7, 171:23, 181:13, 182:6, 182:22, 186:23, 193:6, 212:22, 213:11, 214:14, 218:23 **Making - 3:16**, 35:16, 44:13, 101:24, 188:6, 188:7 Male - 4:11 Management - 3:1 5. 4:22 **Mandate - 77:6**, 216:15 Manufacturing - 1 18:8, 82:24, 98:11,

March - 38:22, 73:5, 185:20 **Marginal - 118:2**, 118:16, 119:11, 130:9 Marginally - 28:22 **Marine -** 117:6, 117:11, 118:1 Mark - 178:1 Marked - 100:15 Market - 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 17:9, 25:15, 25:17, 33:5, 33:8, 36:14, 37:6, 40:13, 43:2, 47:10, 47:15, 47:18, 47:22, 48:3, 48:5, 51:9, 52:3, 56:14, 208:21, 209:24 Marketplace - 4:1 Markets - 32:11, 42:8, 48:19, 49:12, 49:17, 49:23, 50:10 Market's - 49:13 Masters - 212:23 Matched - 63:9 Material - 121:11 **Materials - 134:13** Matters - 128:17, 135:1 **Maximum - 11:18**, 49:9, 170:17, 170:24 Mcshane - 56:25 Means - 47:19, 47:25, 81:18, 84:9, 127:25, 149:15, 170:25, 188:14, 207:7, 219:20 **Meant - 125:25** Measure - 12:20, 27:17, 51:12, 51:21, 64:21, 77:17, 103:20, 123:25, 135:5 Measurement - 15 5:10 Measuring - 142:1 6, 200:8 **Medium -** 46:14, 210:1 **Meetings -** 215:10 **Members -** 90:19, 177:3 Memory - 201:22 **Method -** 114:15 Methodology - 8:1 2, 10:2, 10:5, 10:12, 12:11, 13:5,

50:23

Many - 28:3, 70:8

Lowest - 63:10

Luck - 87:24

213:6

99:1, 99:3, 101:17,

101:18, 182:11, 185:4, 206:21, 206:23 Million - 20:6, 20:17, 21:2, 83:3, 83:5, 83:15, 94:19, 94:22, 94:23, 95:8, 95:13, 95:16, 97:14, 97:15, 97:19, 98:10, 98:17, 101:2, 120:7, 122:13, 122:14, 122:15, 123:8, 124:10, 124:11, 124:15, 124:16, 124:17, 124:18, 124:19, 125:15, 131:10, 219:19, 219:21 **Millions - 114:10 Minimize - 29:6**, 78:14 Minus - 94:18, 94:22, 95:8, 95:13, 95:15, 95:16, 111:6, 211:22 Miscalculated - 17 9:10 Misestimating - 87 Misinterpreted - 1 40:23 Misjudging - 87:6 Misleading - 27:11, 41:11, 41:12 Missed - 125:15, 130:7, 169:13, 189:14, 189:16 Misses - 169:23 Missing - 169:9 **Mistaken -** 156:17 Mix - 6:4, 7:17, 32:7 Model - 6:2, 13:9, 13:12, 13:15, 15:18, 16:3, 40:2, 44:22, 45:3, 45:24, 71:24, 123:25, 126:2, 145:22, 202:24, 203:2, 203:6, 203:13, 206:6 Money - 24:15, 24:22, 25:4, 87:16, 128:4, 129:3, 142:23, 214:14 **Month - 120:12 Months -** 70:8, 74:2 Monumental - 215: 8

Morning - 1:3, 1:7, 1:8, 1:24, 2:2, 2:7, 74:16, 107:2, 107:8, 175:20, 176:25, 220:14 Mortgages - 114:2 Move - 7:14, 32:13, 53:10, 176:4 Moved - 182:21 Moving - 86:17, 155:24 **MSA -** 14:17, 15:10, 15:24, 16:7, 16:10, 43:6, 51:20, 106:11, 113:2, 113:4, 114:16, 119:21, 125:8, 125:10, 134:23, 134:24, 136:8, 137:14, 189:6, 195:1, 195:3 **MSI -** 137:14 **Much -** 9:19, 16:4, 19:15, 19:20, 24:16, 26:20, 33:20, 42:14, 48:11, 49:22, 54:10, 54:22, 61:8, 64:25, 65:14, 112:2, 126:8, 132:24, 145:16, 145:17, 170:4, 182:7, 207:17, 220:9 **Muddy - 26:17** Multiple - 135:20, 218:3, 218:17 Multiplied - 95:20 **Multiply -** 101:16

Ν **National - 16:11**, 19:1, 20:15, 23:17, 23:24, 32:21, 34:1, 34:16, 82:9, 83:13, 95:7, 96:11, 99:23, 101:1 **Near -** 111:20 Necessary - 15:12 **Needed - 177:17** Negotiations - 15: 20 Net - 29:22, 103:6, 111:10, 113:11, 140:11, 140:13, 141:12, 149:4, 194:22, 200:18, 216:2 Nevertheless - 32: 9, 209:22, 218:8

New - 50:4, 211:20,

214:11 Newfoundland - 1: 13, 13:5, 13:11, 13:17, 14:6, 14:12, 14:24, 17:13, 17:20, 18:24, 19:20, 26:5, 28:18, 33:6, 33:20, 34:25, 35:19, 36:24, 38:12, 50:2, 55:19, 67:16, 69:7, 89:18, 111:1, 111:12, 113:9, 115:20, 121:13, 132:16, 137:4 Nice - 222:8 Nine - 38:18, 39:2, 40:18, 42:14, 119:6, 220:14, 221:4 **Nobody - 29:9 Noise -** 220:4 **Normally - 42:11 Note -** 1:15, 4:3, 4:20, 6:11, 18:25, 20:3, 24:5, 44:8, 63:16, 99:21, 110:3, 121:10, 132:3, 216:7 Noted - 50:13, 158:2 **Notes - 20:14** Noting - 100:24 **Notion -** 59:4 Numerator - 149:7, 184:2, 185:24

Obligation - 77:25,

Observation - 133:

78:2, 103:2

10, 200:25 **Observations - 13** 8:21 **Obtained -** 39:24, 92:15 Occasions - 9:21 **Occurred -** 84:13, 174:16 Offer - 169:1, 212:20 Office - 28:20 Official - 16:9 O'flaherty - 65:25, 133:8, 133:24, 161:16, 161:20, 162:11, 162:16, 175:19, 177:8 **Often -** 215:8 Ones - 4:17 Ontario - 5:4, 6:25, 8:16, 8:18, 8:23, 9:8, 9:11, 9:16, 10:9, 10:23, 12:24, 13:14, 13:18, 24:12, 24:25, 26:8, 26:10, 55:3, 58:22, 59:14, 67:14, 92:20, 158:7, 201:15, 203:10, 207:2, 207:15, 212:2 Ontario's - 7:7. 11:12 **Onwards -** 206:11, 209:23 Open - 66:19, 163:5 Operate - 27:22, 27:23, 28:1, 43:3, 111:1 **Operated - 119:17** Operates - 28:3 **Operating - 13:10**, 21:20, 40:20, 58:15, 60:7, 60:9, 60:25, 64:6, 69:6, 112:11, 115:20, 127:15, 158:24, 187:2, 187:16, 218:1 Operation - 14:24, 28:18, 30:19 Operations - 40:7. 208:24 **Opinion - 12:2**, 212:21, 217:12 **Opportunities - 48**: **Opposed -** 214:22 **Options -** 34:13, 34:14 Order - 14:7, 25:10, 28:25, 29:6, 106:3, 118:11, 133:18, 149:16 Organization - 3:1 2, 82:1 Organized - 116:2, 163:10 **Original -** 8:6, 9:14, 9:23, 10:12, 59:9, 68:6, 68:14, 69:4, 69:10, 76:11, 189:4 Originally - 15:15

OSFI - 114:14,

134:21, 154:22,

156:25, 158:18

Ours - 53:9

62:10

Otherwise - 36:1,

Ourselves - 195:5

Outcome - 64:11 Outset - 78:11 Overcompensation - 214:17 Overestimated - 3 Overpaid - 19:21, 171:1, 173:7 Overpayment - 21: 1, 34:6, 74:17, 126:13, 127:25, 135:17, 174:15, 179:24, 217:13 Overpayments - 1: 12, 11:6, 11:9, 12:21, 18:7, 20:5, 20:7, 20:16, 21:11, 21:22, 33:13, 33:15, 41:20, 41:25, 43:13, 69:9, 81:9, 81:13, 86:20, 88:25, 96:2, 128:16, 129:1, 129:4, 129:13, 130:16, 130:17, 136:1, 136:4, 136:13, 171:25, 174:11, 179:20, 180:8, 182:2, 218:25, 219:3, 219:10, 219:16, 219:21, 220:3 Overtaken - 63:3 Overview - 46:7 **OW -** 30:1, 41:2, 168:8 Own - 18:22, 31:13, 90:22, 91:4, 138:9, 144:1 **OXFORD -** 217:1

P&C - 27:18, 30:12,

30:24, 108:6,

110:10, 114:1,

154:19 **P&C1** - 157:23,
157:25 **Paid** - 17:13, 17:19,
20:9, 22:17, 33:20,
103:5 **Panel** - 179:7 **Parity** - 153:11 **Participating** - 82:
5 **Particularly** - 70:25 **Parties** - 65:22,
106:21 **Parts** - 103:1,

141:11, 142:9

Party - 200:14

7:2, 8:8, 8:11, 8:13,

Pass - 216:16 Passed - 108:13 Passenger - 34:24, 168:24, 188:20, 189:10 Past - 43:11, 44:21, 60:1, 107:1, 175:22, 210:3 **Patient - 167:8 Paula -** 59:1, 151:25 Pay - 62:9, 77:25, 170:4 **Paying -** 9:18, 9:19, 26:20 **Payments - 149:9**, 156:19, 183:22, 184:14, 186:10, 186:21 Peer - 5:12 **People - 29:3**, 42:9, 92:20, 144:15. 194:3 Percentage - 53:5. 94:15, 114:18, 166:12, 184:1 Percentages - 94:1 , 94:5, 169:5, 169:14, 216:9 **Percents - 197:5 Perfectly - 150:13** Performance - 20: 23, 21:23, 28:6, 29:3, 32:3, 84:22, 126:16, 127:10, 128:20, 135:19 Performing - 19:11 **Perhaps - 25:14**, 26:4, 26:14, 28:21, 175:21, 189:14, 214:18 Period - 18:6, 19:3, 19:6, 20:17, 20:24, 21:10, 23:12, 30:7, 32:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:4, 34:3, 41:6, 41:17, 57:24, 59:15, 61:5, 67:21, 74:8, 74:10, 82:18, 87:12, 87:15, 89:1, 103:7, 110:15, 112:1, 118:4, 121:8, 124:20, 129:4, 130:13, 137:10, 146:10, 149:10, 175:18. 194:21. 209:15, 210:6, 211:1, 215:20 **Periods - 36:20** Permissible - 75:2 0, 78:17

Permitted - 11:17, 12:14, 21:15, 21:18, 42:6, 76:1, 76:3, 77:13, 84:4, 84:6, 127:22, 137:4 Personal - 75:5, 122:14 Personally - 212:1 Perspective - 134: Phd - 4:7, 4:8 Phenomenal - 30:2 Phrase - 22:1 Pick - 22:1 Picked - 173:25, 190:3, 190:4 Piece - 8:17, 37:18, 129:17, 136:21, 166:24 Pieces - 51:18. 106:5 **Pilot -** 215:16, 216:18 **Pitfalls -** 48:15, 48:18 Place - 130:3. 213:21, 214:15 **Placed -** 64:8 **Places - 130:4** Plain - 42:22, 129:7, 174:25, 219:22 **Planets - 87:24** Platform - 63:8 **Play - 179:16**, 217:24 Player - 35:13, 35:14, 37:7, 118:2, 118:16, 119:12 **Players - 33:5 Pleased - 214:9 Pleasure -** 65:24, 220:11 Plug - 82:14, 170:15, 175:1, 175:3, 219:24 **Plugged -** 82:8, 218:8 **PNL - 190:20** Pocket - 108:3 **Pointed -** 84:2, 90:18, 90:19, 94:16, 97:4, 156:3 **Pointing - 33:3.** 132:10, 134:20 **Points -** 14:1, 53:5, 130:12, 173:6,

174:18

Policy - 62:11

Political - 212:23 Pool - 105:22, 114:14, 142:14, 142:18, 150:21 **Pools -** 151:1 Poor - 49:6, 83:24 **Poorly - 25:2** Pop - 42:12, 138:17 **Pops - 143:14 Portfolio -** 31:15, 47:10, 47:22, 49:5, 51:10, 56:10, 56:15, 58:13, 58:14, 105:24, 111:18, 111:21, 139:8, 140:14, 146:4, 146:10 **Portion - 43:20**, 54:15, 65:20 Posed - 80:19, 81:5, 81:15, 184:5 **Position -** 76:11. 81:19, 149:5, 156:5, 176:7 **Positive - 19:12**, 19:14, 20:4, 20:7, 32:16, 32:22, 33:23, 34:3, 34:17, 82:13, 84:10, 86:18, 95:12, 96:14, 97:8, 98:3, 98:8 Possibilities - 51:2 Possibility - 25:11. 25:22, 29:11 Post - 4:6, 87:11 **Potential - 33:13**, 33:15 Practice - 61:2, 171:6 Practices - 27:16, 43:1, 57:3, 57:11, 60:18, 61:6, 62:13, 126:22, 172:13, 175:12, 179:2, 179:15 **Pre -** 33:24, 64:1, 210:6 Preceding - 138:20 140:8 **Predict - 199:5** Preference - 53:24 **Preferred -** 49:24, 50:3 **Prem -** 31:1 **Premium - 21:17**, 30:6, 33:15, 41:10, 47:24, 48:20, 49:14, 50:17,

53:18, 62:10, 63:18, 64:15, 69:9, 74:16, 80:20, 81:9, 81:11, 81:13, 83:22, 86:20, 88:25, 102:23, 103:1, 103:17, 118:21, 119:4, 122:13, 122:21, 123:6, 123:8, 124:14, 124:20, 125:16, 136:1, 136:3, 136:17, 165:9, 166:18, 170:25, 173:6, 175:10, 208:21, 210:25, 218:25, 219:22 **Prepared -** 70:13, 91:8, 176:17, 201:14 **Present - 1:10**, 1:24, 40:13, 88:3, 154:12 Presentation - 65: 20, 107:13, 107:14, 107:15, 160:11, 161:6, 171:18, 179:8, 182:13, 189:22, 191:17, 199:10 Presented - 107:7, 153:20, 154:23, 155:10, 182:15, 183:6, 187:18, 195:20 Presenter - 1:5. 220:19 Presenter's - 133:1 Presenting - 41:16, 168:5 **Presents - 168:21 Press - 214:8** Pressures - 207:6 Presumed - 82:3 Presumption - 80: 22 **Previous - 208:21 Price -** 9:19, 25:17, 36:19 Prices - 25:18, 209:25 **Pricing -** 6:2, 13:9, 13:15, 15:18, 25:23, 27:15, 28:15, 28:16, 40:2, 44:22, 45:24, 71:24, 78:12, 87:8, 123:25, 126:2, 145:22, 200:3, 200:20, 202:23

Primmum - 19:1, 20:15, 23:18, 24:3, 32:21, 34:1, 34:16, 82:9, 83:13, 95:7, 96:11, 99:22, 100:25 **Print - 20:10 Printed - 109:21 Prior - 8:6 Prisman - 1:17**, 1:22, 1:23, 5:17, 45:12, 67:11, 71:21, 157:17, 195:23, 197:13, 202:16 **Prisman's - 71:1**, 71:6, 71:16 **Private -** 6:5, 7:18, 7:24, 34:24, 168:24, 188:20, 189:10 **Privy - 29:7 Problem -** 91:21, 92:14, 133:5, 133:23 **Problems -** 41:23 Procedure - 51:15 Proceeded - 41:23 Processes - 214:2 **Produce - 113:16**, 138:7 **Produced -** 86:19, 96:1, 125:22, 137:22, 164:16 **Produces - 166:12** Professional - 3:2, 7:14 Professor - 2:12, 3:5, 45:12, 202:16, 210:18, 221:22 Profile - 45:16, 47:17, 124:1, 125:23, 145:13, 145:20, 145:21 Profit - 38:21. 58:16, 140:11, 142:25, 162:12, 163:15, 163:21, 164:18, 168:12, 168:20, 169:16, 188:11, 188:19, 189:10, 191:6 **Profitability - 27:1** 7, 28:10, 29:1, 30:4, 30:16, 31:6, 36:17, 38:17, 47:9, 51:4, 55:1, 58:4, 102:13, 142:19, 142:25, 143:6, 164:24, 219:23 Profitable - 19:7,

19:8, 33:4, 34:25, 35:5, 35:6, 35:20, 37:1, 37:9, 58:7 **Profits - 25:10,** 25:23, 26:4, 26:13, 35:17, 38:3, 58:6, 64:21, 79:23, 80:4, 142:22, 174:3, 217:21 Progressing - 175: 23, 176:3 Prominent - 37:6 **Promote - 25:7 Pronunciation - 22** 0:16 **Proper - 26:20 Properly - 140:18**, 140:19, 140:21, 148:14 Protect - 13:4 Protection - 103:2 **Proved - 15:23 Proven -** 48:2 **Provide -** 15:22, 43:20, 51:20, 61:10, 103:4, 103:25, 107:21, 122:19, 154:1, 194:24 **Provided - 14:16**, 28:19, 93:3, 106:20, 121:18, 123:6, 137:13, 160:13, 160:15, 181:12, 194:20, 194:23, 199:21, 199:23 **Province - 16:22.** 21:3, 26:19, 27:23, 30:6, 51:14, 62:21, 68:23, 78:9, 104:22, 112:11, 118:3, 170:4, 209:6 Provinces - 27:25 Provincial - 26:1, 26:7, 106:12 **Proviso -** 53:23 **Proxy -** 55:14, 153:23, 153:24, 156:7 **PUB - 195:13**, 208:13, 208:14 Public - 5:3, 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 6:6, 7:17, 7:20, 14:5, 68:11, 76:2, 76:9, 76:21, 81:24, 88:8, 89:3, 93:4, 93:6, 93:11, 93:21, 98:17, 100:1, 121:24,

137:5, 155:17, 157:10, 195:13, 197:10, 202:24 Publication - 205:1 Publications - 4:17 Publicly - 6:8 **Published -** 84:19, 121:24, 122:1, 132:15, 137:5, 205:22 **Publishes - 121:14** 163:16 Publishing - 59:21 Pull - 212:6 **Pulled -** 150:4, 168:2, 168:4 Pure - 144:10 **Pursue - 131:6** Push - 128:24, 177:15 **Puts -** 101:2, 165:10 **Putting - 188:2**, 221:9

Q

Q4 - 114:13 Qualifications - 2:1 1 Quarrel - 201:8 Quarter - 132:24 Questioning - 92:2 , 92:22, 176:3 Quibble - 213:12 Quick - 63:6, 111:17, 116:18 Quickly - 16:3, 62:7, 169:19, 215:11 Quote - 44:9 Quotes - 56:20

R

Racial - 4:11 Raise - 39:5 **Raised -** 39:4 Range - 14:20, 20:20, 31:7, 31:10, 64:7, 74:11, 74:23, 86:19, 210:9, 214:5 **Ranged - 18:7** Ranges - 219:17 **Ranging - 24:1**, 32:19, 202:22 **Rapidly - 37:7** Rate - 4:11, 9:2, 11:15, 16:18, 26:6, 26:11, 38:22, 42:10, 46:10, 46:11, 48:4,

48:21, 48:22, 50:17, 50:22, 50:24, 51:22, 53:12, 53:19, 55:2, 55:4, 55:17, 59:22, 131:2, 147:8, 148:2, 150:1, 150:4, 151:6, 151:7, 151:17, 154:9, 162:12, 170:8, 185:6, 200:5, 203:24, 205:9, 208:10, 209:15, 209:20, 211:3, 211:9, 214:4, 214:5, 214:17, 214:23, 214:24, 219:22 Ratepayers - 213:4 Rates - 22:19, 26:1, 26:9, 42:10, 42:16, 42:19, 49:13, 51:1, 53:2, 53:5, 62:3, 71:24, 77:1, 112:1, 145:5, 154:18, 160:19, 160:20, 167:13, 185:23, 200:10, 208:18, 208:19, 209:1, 209:6, 209:11, 209:25, 210:4, 210:7, 211:21, 211:24, 213:6, 214:9, 216:8, 216:11 **Rather -** 6:8, 64:7, 86:17, 87:2, 140:12, 212:11 **Ratings - 31:11 Ratio -** 42:24, 60:25, 62:14, 64:4, 65:5, 65:14, 103:17, 113:16, 135:22, 153:18, 154:12, 154:24, 160:5, 164:16, 165:4, 165:12, 165:13, 166:8, 167:2, 173:4, 173:18, 174:17, 175:1, 181:15, 190:3, 195:18, 195:21, 195:24, 196:3, 197:11, 218:14, 218:21, 219:6. 219:9. 219:13 Rationale - 24:8

159:13, 160:14, 162:23, 167:15, 171:7, 179:10, 179:25, 182:6, 190:20, 190:21, 191:12, 192:16, 197:15, 219:25 **RBC - 24:21** Re - 64:17, 68:12 Reaching - 63:18 Realistic - 65:13 **Reality - 129:10 Realize - 172:10 Realized - 10:14**, 11:1, 11:23, 17:6, 19:22, 33:16, 33:24, 34:4, 51:9, 87:7, 154:10 **Reason -** 15:21, 26:15, 55:15, 95:22, 102:5, 103:18, 151:16, 166:21, 170:5, 183:3, 192:6, 193:9, 201:8 Reasonable - 12:1 8, 24:9, 77:13, 81:14, 82:25, 120:9, 209:10, 210:15 Reasonableness -76:14 Reasoning - 24:14 **Reasons -** 11:19, 11:20, 22:20, 26:16, 49:11, 57:20, 127:9, 145:4, 158:5, 207:1 **Receive -** 149:10 Received - 1:20. 56:1, 56:13 **Recent -** 9:24 Recently - 7:25 Recharged - 178:1 Recognized - 44:2 Recommendation - 204:14 Recommendations - 11:13, 206:15 Recommended - 2 04:13, 206:1, 206:5, 208:15 Recorded - 56:3. 102:11 Recording - 23:25 **Records -** 106:7 Recovery - 38:7 **Red -** 155:12

Redo - 80:12,

170:21 Reduce - 28:23, 62:14, 219:5 **Reduced -** 130:14 **Reduces - 157:7** Reducing - 219:8 References - 44:13 , 56:20 Referred - 4:20, 41:7, 68:10, 74:17, 100:18, 106:23, 139:14, 154:19, 161:2, 164:13, 188:18, 188:21, 189:1, 195:11 **Refers -** 28:16 **Refine -** 81:8 **Reflect - 56:7**, 57:2, 83:22 Reflected - 21:21 Reflection - 32:5 **Reform -** 164:18 Regards - 8:8, 27:11, 28:15, 65:4, 78:5, 126:10, 126:22, 129:1, 135:22, 136:12 Regimes - 26:8 Regression - 51:6 Regular - 48:23, 121:12 Regulated - 15:2, 44:25, 128:9, 172:12, 207:4, 207:6, 212:4, 215:24 Regulating - 203:1 Regulator - 8:24, 11:12, 58:22, 77:9, 128:5, 203:25, 216:11 Regulators - 121:1 3, 207:5, 211:18, 215:22 Regulator's - 5:2 Regulatory - 6:18, 44:16, 48:23, 49:16, 57:20, 60:13, 114:14, 135:13, 154:22, 172:10 Reinforced - 146:7 Reinforcing - 30:2 Reinsurance - 200: 19, 200:21 **Relate -** 47:9 Relationship - 47:1 3, 51:11, 52:7 Relative - 53:19

Ratios - 10:20,

76:14, 138:13,

158:25, 159:10,

Relatively - 29:23,

Sections - 68:7.

33:7 Releases - 214:8 Relevance - 30:9. 125:19, 131:4, 131:19, 131:24, 170:1, 170:2, 183:6 **Relied -** 16:17, 51:20, 133:12, 137:12, 194:18, 194:19 Reluctant - 213:6 **Rely -** 14:17, 92:16, 206:23 **Remain - 49:13** Remaining - 19:5, 32:24 **Remains - 49:14** Reoccurring - 106: **Repeated - 196:22**, 201:10, 213:11 Reported - 6:9. 27:10, 34:2, 55:12, 80:22, 112:7, 113:11, 114:13, 154:18, 186:7, 195:17 **Reports - 9:22.** 122:23, 124:13, 125:5, 166:12, 188:24 Request - 13:3 Requested - 213:2 **Required - 15:23**, 50:15, 51:18, 53:6, 56:4, 154:21 Requires - 51:3 Research - 14:17, 15:10, 16:7, 16:10, 113:2 **Reserves - 30:13.** 30:21, 55:14, 102:11, 102:18, 102:20, 103:3, 103:10, 103:11, 103:20, 103:23, 104:24, 105:2, 105:8, 107:4, 142:12, 158:6, 158:12 **Resolved - 215:11** Resort - 6:7 Resorted - 15:24 **Respect - 12:25**, 134:9, 217:9, 217:12 **Respond -** 7:6, 134:2, 156:12, 156:14, 200:24 Responded - 81:15

82:2, 90:12 Responding - 95:1 **Response - 81:23**, 88:3, 93:10, 95:24, 98:16, 101:1, 101:13, 101:19, 102:5, 102:6, 153:16, 156:23, 158:1, 158:2, 183:20, 196:6, 198:4, 201:4 Responses - 88:7, 99:2, 99:25, 197:25 **Result -** 26:21, 33:19, 47:16, 64:11, 83:24, 87:6, 87:8, 126:6, 216:2, 219:9 Resulted - 63:21 Resulting - 82:18 **Results - 10:9**, 10:24, 19:12, 23:10, 24:12, 25:13, 34:11, 34:15, 52:15, 64:16, 71:12, 80:17, 80:18, 80:24, 81:7, 95:24 **RESUME - 99:11**, 178:15 **Retained -** 7:5, 7:9, 103:24, 202:17, 207:3 **Returns -** 10:15, 11:1, 11:23, 13:13, 17:6, 25:13, 29:18, 29:23, 29:24, 30:22, 30:25, 31:2, 31:6, 31:16, 31:25, 32:3, 32:9, 36:11, 55:12, 55:25, 56:11, 56:14, 64:1, 64:3, 105:20, 105:23, 106:3, 111:18, 111:21, 111:23, 112:6, 114:14, 138:19, 139:14, 146:1, 146:2, 146:5, 146:9, 157:7, 184:22, 185:25, 186:5, 186:8, 186:9, 212:19, 217:22 Revenues - 28:7 Reviewed - 7:8. 27:6. 38:19 Reviewing - 2:9 Rise - 25:19 Risen - 11:4 Risk - 4:22, 13:16,

45:16, 46:10,

47:6, 47:17, 47:20, 47:23, 47:24, 48:3, 48:4, 48:16, 48:20, 48:21, 48:22, 49:14, 50:16, 50:17, 50:22, 51:12, 51:21, 52:16, 52:17, 53:12, 71:24, 87:18, 124:1, 125:23, 130:24, 131:2, 145:13, 145:15, 145:20, 208:21, 208:23, 210:25, 211:3 **Riskiness -** 51:13 **Risky -** 47:14, 47:18, 48:2 **ROE - 23:25**, 34:17, 39:7, 41:3, 41:4, 41:8, 43:22, 45:7, 46:6, 48:13, 50:15, 53:17, 57:5, 58:10, 61:12, 61:13, 63:23, 70:19, 70:25, 71:6, 71:16, 84:7, 84:24, 86:14, 86:16, 89:25, 101:14, 101:15, 127:22, 128:1, 135:23, 138:22, 149:18, 202:10, 202:21, 203:2, 208:15, 209:5, 209:9, 210:12, 210:14, 213:14 Roes - 19:12, 19:23, 20:4, 20:7, 27:10, 29:21, 32:16, 32:23, 33:16, 33:24, 34:3, 34:4, 52:18, 57:15, 68:22, 69:5, 82:14, 89:16, 89:18, 95:12, 96:14, 97:9, 98:3, 98:8 **ROE's -** 18:23, 19:3, 19:4, 207:4 ROI - 30:1, 40:20, 54:20, 54:23, 55:4, 56:17, 57:2, 58:23, 59:17, 84:8, 127:20, 137:22, 138:20, 138:22, 145:6, 146:19, 149:15, 149:16, 149:18, 149:23, 153:9, 153:14,

46:16, 46:17,

46:18, 46:23, 47:3,

153:17, 155:6, 155:15, 178:25, 179:9, 183:12, 183:14, 183:17, 184:1 Rois - 30:1, 55:10 **Role -** 3:5, 62:17, 216:6 **Rolling - 50:4**, 53:25, 206:7 **Room - 221:13** Rough - 179:19, 218:9 **Row -** 95:6, 96:18, 97:11, 122:20, 122:21, 153:20 **Rows -** 96:23, 97:1, 97:3 **Rules -** 9:3, 28:12, 28:13, 28:22, 78:14 **Run -** 47:13, 48:16, 51:10, 52:6, 58:5, 135:15, 135:17, 135:24 **Running - 29:4**, 51:6, 51:7, 219:19 **Runs - 4:19** S **S&P** - 29:24, 47:11,

47:12, 52:4, 111:10 **Safe - 220:13 Sake -** 133:18 **Sample -** 9:5, 18:5, 19:15, 128:22 **Sat -** 176:23 Satisfy - 166:17 Save - 102:6 Saw - 92:19, 183:25, 204:19 Scenario - 130:9, 130:15 Schedule - 16:4 **School -** 3:3, 3:14, 202:15 Schulich - 3:2, 202:15 **SCOR -** 114:16 **Screen -** 113:22, 161:10 **Second -** 8:17, 15:11, 42:24, 56:22, 61:14, 62:16, 76:13, 82:13, 84:12, 88:21, 95:6, 95:12, 121:23, 122:17, 122:20, 156:21, 162:12, 162:17, 180:20, 190:10, 201:9 **Secondly - 110:24**

68:10, 68:18, 68:20 Sector - 7:20, 7:24 Security - 19:1, 20:15, 23:17, 23:24, 32:21, 34:1, 34:16, 82:9, 83:13, 95:7, 96:11, 99:22, 100:25 See - 14:22, 20:18, 61:25, 62:1, 98:7, 99:23, 106:25, 113:21, 114:8, 114:16, 117:16, 122:7, 122:10, 122:18, 124:5, 124:10, 125:5, 131:4, 131:9, 135:4, 144:2, 144:7, 155:9, 155:10, 158:20, 159:9, 175:22, 178:12, 183:25, 187:1, 189:13, 189:15, 190:10, 194:3, 199:16, 202:11, 202:13, 208:9, 208:12, 211:20, 216:3 **Seeing -** 30:9, 177:10 Seen - 92:4, 107:6 **Seminar -** 171:16, 172:16 **Sent -** 136:9, 195:9 **Separate -** 28:11 September - 152:2 2, 167:25, 187:12, 199:10, 199:11, 209:24 **Series -** 139:23 Seriously - 157:6 **Service -** 62:6, 62:10, 63:11 Services - 6:24, 24:20, 28:4, 35:10 **Set -** 1:19, 22:19, 23:3, 41:9, 49:10, 53:1, 58:23, 60:15, 60:25, 64:23, 65:11, 65:14, 84:6, 125:20, 125:21, 126:6, 127:7, 127:17, 128:6, 164:17, 169:5, 211:22, 214:5. 216:9, 216:17, 218:13 **Sets -** 22:17, 49:9, 65:10, 75:23, 129:11

September 13, 2018
Setting - 39:12, 55:2, 126:19, 128:18, 129:5, 150:1, 151:17, 170:8, 208:16, 209:1, 216:11 Seven - 141:7, 141:9, 211:6, 211:10 Seventeen - 100:2
0 Several - 201:10, 202:14, 203:12, 204:21, 204:23, 204:25 Shaken - 177:10 Share - 2:5, 25:15, 25:17, 36:14, 36:19, 37:6, 176:1 Shares - 106:13, 114:16, 115:10, 115:14 Sharply - 210:2 She's - 155:3, 169:21, 169:22, 171:4, 183:15, 188:6, 188:7 Shift - 25:23, 26:13 Shifted - 89:8, 130:25, 163:8 Shifting - 26:7, 77:20
Shouldn't - 80:21, 81:7, 132:23 Show - 36:1, 81:17, 86:25, 122:3, 130:19, 143:15, 153:6, 159:12, 161:11, 168:16 Showed - 59:12 Showing - 31:22, 31:25, 121:15, 161:15 Shown - 114:17, 161:9 Shows - 136:19, 152:13, 202:9 Shy - 124:16 Side - 58:10, 62:6, 106:10 Significance - 33:1 Significant - 11:6, 38:4, 38:7, 56:9, 57:23, 74:23, 79:19, 105:1, 105:13, 105:18, 107:4, 108:7, 108:11, 111:24, 136:10, 196:17 Significantly - 53:2, 112:6

```
Silence - 72:19
Similar - 5:21, 8:4,
9:4, 20:23, 24:12,
27:9, 87:1, 122:19
Similarly - 24:3
Simple - 53:15,
53:16, 63:5, 63:7,
64:20, 99:1, 101:11,
101:17, 129:7,
135:16, 135:24,
136:5, 165:18,
174:25, 190:16,
217:20, 217:23,
219:22
Simplicity - 215:3
Simplify - 31:8
Simply - 23:4,
26:12, 37:5, 39:16,
41:15, 51:9, 52:6,
58:9, 101:13,
103:16, 111:21,
113:15, 133:13,
133:20, 160:19,
161:12, 172:21,
196:7, 215:9
Single - 137:11
Sitting - 176:24,
177:1
Situation - 216:8
Six - 54:8, 54:9,
119:5, 119:6,
124:20, 202:9,
211:15, 219:1,
219:7, 219:14,
219:16, 219:17
Skip - 18:19,
187:19
Slightly - 13:17,
58:13, 61:1, 62:14,
82:23, 211:2
Small - 1:19, 33:7,
57:16, 118:20,
119:11
Smaller - 20:10,
35:13
Smiling - 211:21
Sole - 151:16
Solely - 127:18,
207:1
Somewhat - 13:21,
48:2, 48:5, 53:11
Sounds - 116:20
Source - 113:20,
114:15
Sovereign - 131:8
Spaces - 99:24
Spans - 4:17
Specific - 168:24,
178:3
Speculate - 206:25
```

, 212:14

```
Spend - 131:3,
132:25
Spent - 68:3, 74:1,
182:7
Split - 111:19
Splits - 115:21
Spoken - 107:7,
175:24, 183:11
Spot - 50:24
Stability - 32:4,
50:7
Stable - 29:24,
31:17, 31:18, 32:8,
49:12, 49:17
Staff - 177:3
Standard - 24:13,
200:2
Start - 13:22,
18:17, 38:17, 42:4,
54:15, 87:14,
101:24, 206:7,
221:3
Started - 4:12, 7:20
Starting - 47:7,
54:11, 218:12
Starts - 27:5, 187:9
State - 186:17.
195:23
Statement - 154:20
 196:4
Statements - 28:8.
157:23
Statistical - 51:10.
51:17, 71:8
Stay - 35:8, 178:6,
180:4, 214:15
Stayed - 120:4
Staying - 58:19
Steadily - 38:2
Step - 19:19,
219:12
Stick - 56:7
Stocks - 154:16
Stop - 92:23,
176:10, 176:11
Stopped - 182:14
Straight - 51:1
Straightforward -
51:15, 218:5
Strategies - 3:25
Strategy - 3:13,
3:18, 31:13, 36:21,
37:3
Strictly - 69:11,
125:8, 189:8
Strikes - 52:22
Structure - 50:25,
60:22
Structures - 3:21,
3:23
Studies - 3:2,
```

```
174:7, 208:22
Study - 10:9,
38:17, 122:25,
149:15, 202:21
Studying - 77:5
Stupid - 212:11
Style - 108:6
Submissions - 91:
Submitted - 70:3,
70:7, 70:14, 72:15,
73:20, 81:23, 82:2,
88:8
Subs - 38:5
Subset - 168:6,
168:7, 168:21,
188:11
Subsidiaries - 19:1
, 28:2, 36:9, 75:3
Substantial - 65:12
Substantially - 38:
4, 79:24
Succeed - 25:18
Successful - 36:16
Succumbed - 207:
Sudden - 214:11
Sufficiency - 53:18
Suggested - 9:1
Suggestion - 49:24
, 50:3
Suggests - 36:25,
127:19
Summarize - 53:15
Summary - 13:25,
18:12, 18:14
Superb - 31:2
Superintendent - 1
21:12, 121:14,
121:25, 122:23
Superintendent's -
121:19, 125:5,
132:15, 136:18,
137:8
Supplied - 1:11
Suppliers - 63:10
Supply - 1:21
Support - 37:13
Supporter - 215:3
Supposed - 63:20
Surprising - 29:20
Suspect - 36:22,
40:9, 134:21,
135:5, 221:14
Switched - 220:21
System - 7:23.
61:23, 121:17
        Т
```

Tables - 129:24

Taking - 63:10,

```
80:13, 80:15,
113:15, 188:1
Target - 12:2, 39:7,
39:19, 41:8, 43:22,
45:17, 46:1, 48:13,
58:10, 171:7,
200:9, 208:15
Targets - 19:23
Task - 77:14
Taught - 3:10
Tax - 25:24, 26:1,
26:6, 26:8, 28:13,
28:23, 29:4, 29:7,
29:8, 33:24, 41:4,
56:4, 57:20, 64:1,
78:14, 130:11
Taxes - 77:25,
165:9, 166:18
TD - 18:25, 20:21,
23:18, 24:11, 24:21,
27:8, 28:2, 36:8,
38:5, 75:3
Teach - 3:6, 45:12
Team - 30:21, 31:1
Technical - 152:13
Technological - 10
:21
Technology - 43:1,
61:15, 61:17,
61:20, 62:17, 63:3,
63:4, 150:25
Telling - 22:8, 57:8,
158:9
Tells - 148:2
Ten - 39:7, 39:16,
39:19, 40:11, 41:4,
50:4, 50:6, 127:13,
143:24, 145:2,
206:7, 209:9,
209:16, 210:3,
210:14, 211:23,
214:2, 218:15,
219:1
Tenth - 120:8
Tenure - 134:7
Term - 38:10,
42:17, 46:13,
46:14, 50:25,
208:20, 210:1,
211:23, 214:1,
214:23, 214:24,
216:9
Terminology - 139:
25
Terms - 3:5, 4:3,
4:18, 5:22, 8:2,
10:23, 11:25, 13:1,
18:14, 23:10, 27:7,
```

130:16, 182:2,

183:22, 183:23

Testimony - 184:11

195:21, 195:24,

Underestimated -

Underlied - 10:12

Underlies - 30:18

Underpayment - 8

Underpayments -

Understate - 26:4

Understood - 145:

Undertake - 201:7

Undertakes - 47:4

Underwriting - 58:

Undoubtedly - 199

Unemployment - 4

Unequivocally - 12

6:15, 130:18,

Unfair - 106:23

Unfold - 110:6

Unless - 29:8,

, 37:11, 37:14

:21, 55:8, 63:25

Unlucky - 128:11

Unprofitable - 36:3

Unrealistically - 54

35:8, 37:12

Units - 28:11, 29:2

University - 2:13,

Unit - 28:20

6, 58:10, 58:16,

142:22, 217:21

196:3, 199:22

30:4, 98:25

0:20, 175:10

41:20, 64:16,

81:12, 83:22,

180:10

1, 178:5

:11

174:23

4:5, 4:7

209:7 **Thanks - 207:13**, 207:17 That'll - 49:3 **Theme - 106:18 Theory -** 35:4, 85:1 Thereabouts - 73:2 Therefore - 29:20, 48:3, 81:11, 131:1, 145:8, 151:6, 210:11 There'll - 49:2 There's - 20:10. 25:21, 34:13, 41:19, 58:21, 65:12, 91:17, 98:7, 100:2, 103:2, 122:5, 137:19, 139:23, 141:12, 144:22, 146:8, 171:14, 178:1, 180:9, 191:16, 193:9, 197:16, 198:24, 211:17, 213:3, 213:13, 217:20, 221:13 They'll - 25:16, 25:21, 56:6, 210:5, 212:6 They're - 16:13, 24:17, 24:18, 25:8, 25:14, 25:15, 37:5, 42:3, 42:12, 43:11, 49:3, 78:15, 80:18, 87:15, 89:23, 93:13, 93:24, 94:1, 122:4, 124:23, 128:10, 129:13, 131:11, 132:10, 136:7, 137:14, 138:25, 146:1, 150:23, 152:8, 153:14, 156:25, 159:23, 168:5, 168:6, 168:17, 170:7, 178:24, 183:6, 206:6, 212:6, 212:7, 215:8, 219:19 They've - 9:20, 24:22, 39:9, 105:20, 113:8, 113:11, 168:14, 179:1, 191:11, 196:13 Third - 25:22, 58:20, 58:21, 122:21, 200:14 **Thirds - 146:9 Thorough -** 135:13

174:22 **Thousand - 120:16** , 120:21, 124:11 Thousands - 122:1 Threat - 212:18 Three - 6:11, 16:22, 19:2, 36:12, 50:14, 51:18, 74:2, 75:4, 124:24, 134:15, 141:11, 165:5, 173:5, 187:3, 187:17, 191:25, 210:3, 214:3, 218:24, 219:4, 219:17 Throw - 80:24, 81:16, 88:23, 120:23, 144:1, 144:20, 172:1 **Throwing - 121:4** Thrown - 81:21 **Thus -** 12:24, 89:16 Timeframe - 192:1 **Times -** 63:17, 101:16, 119:5, 119:6, 132:19, 201:11, 215:7 **Timing - 199:3** Title - 5:1 **Today -** 1:18, 2:4, 8:3, 61:20, 92:22, 102:9, 178:8 **Tokio -** 117:5, 117:11, 118:1, 119:25 **Tokyo - 117:6 Tolerate - 25:9.** 58:13 **Tomorrow - 220:14 Tonnes -** 91:15 **Took -** 19:10, 43:16, 78:25, 84:22, 85:1, 85:11, 95:20, 166:1, 179:18, 181:15, 181:17, 186:14, 188:8, 189:3 **Tool -** 44:23 Top - 13:23, 34:12, 54:15, 56:19, 79:1, 95:3, 96:15, 99:21, 114:5, 124:4, 153:9, 155:5, 187:11, 214:3 **Topic -** 154:5, 188:13 **Toronto -** 4:5 Total - 20:8, 29:24, 32:18, 32:19,

Thoroughly - 62:8,

32:23, 32:24, 47:15, 48:3, 82:18, 96:10, 97:14, 101:16, 122:9, 122:11, 122:12, 122:21, 122:22, 123:8, 124:19, 151:2, 164:15, 165:4, 165:10, 165:12, 168:22 **Totals - 122:5** Towards - 60:24. 61:20, 62:24, 64:18 Track - 2:19, 30:20, 50:9, 57:15 **Trade -** 3:11 **Trading - 56:5 Traditionally - 6:1** Transcript - 133:18 , 152:20, 153:2, 155:22, 162:5, 162:7, 167:19, 199:12 Transfer - 25:23. 27:15, 28:15, 78:11 **Translate -** 42:21, 120:11 **Travels - 220:13** Treat - 151:5, 151:8 Trend - 60:24, 62:24 **Trial -** 8:11, 8:16, 9:8, 9:12, 59:14, 67:14, 201:15 **Trivial - 135:6 Trouble - 124:12 Troubled - 149:12 TSX -** 29:24, 47:11, 52:4, 111:10 Turn - 38:14, 99:25, 121:16, 121:21, 122:2, 133:13, 139:22, 154:4, 190:2, 193:11, 193:13, 195:13, 196:5, 199:9, 201:13, 202:9 **Turned -** 25:3, 184:8 Twenty - 83:3, 83:5, 83:15 Twice - 157:5 **Types -** 6:17, 111:23, 212:16 Typically - 45:8, 176:10

Unrelated - 145:10 Unwilling - 15:21 **Update - 9:21**, 10:3, 59:13 Upper - 20:5, 20:16, 21:6, 22:18, 74:18, 74:22, 74:23, 75:9, 75:14, 81:9, 86:19, 211:6 **Upwards -** 65:9, 75:17, 126:4, 210:5 **Uses -** 6:2, 192:5 Using - 24:18, 25:7, 25:22, 33:14, 39:8, 39:16, 44:25, 49:14. 51:6. 53:20. 55:24, 58:22, 59:17, 64:2, 64:20, 75:23, 76:9, 86:17, 114:15, 115:22, 129:10, 129:11,

200:5, 203:12, 204:10 Utilities - 14:5. 44:18, 44:19, 76:2, 76:22, 81:24, 88:8, 89:3, 93:4, 93:7, 93:11, 93:22, 98:17, 100:1, 121:24, 137:6, 155:17, 157:11, 195:14, 197:11, 202:25, 203:13, 203:15, 207:5, 214:19 **Utility - 211:17**, 213:20, 213:22, 214:8, 214:13 **Utilized - 45:25 Utilizing - 53:16**

V

Value - 21:12,

Valid - 14:6, 180:10

148:15, 157:24, 210:21, 210:23, 210:24, 213:4 Values - 42:25, 186:18, 211:11, 211:13, 211:20, 215:8 Variability - 49:23 Variable - 31:20, 51:7, 51:8, 55:4, 180:16 Variables - 50:14, 50:20, 170:9 Varies - 65:2 Vary - 48:22, 110:20, 128:7 Venture - 34:25 Versus - 19:23, 48:13, 196:22, 197:14, 199:1 **Victims - 13:4** View - 24:9, 29:5, 35:5, 48:23, 60:13, 62:7, 62:12, 130:6, 145:7 Vociferously - 212: 5

W

WADDEN - 221:1, 221:8 Wait - 192:4 Wanting - 181:3 Warren - 30:17 Wash - 191:18, 192:2 Wasn't - 72:1, 166:1, 166:4,

U

Ultimate - 195:18,

Uber - 63:8

187:20, 188:3, 205:22, 212:9 Waste - 215:9 **Waters - 26:18 Watsa -** 31:1 Ways - 48:18 Wealth - 149:4 Weight - 111:22 Weighted - 19:4, 45:19 We'll - 13:20, 16:25, 18:16, 18:20, 38:18, 81:16, 81:17, 109:24, 110:6, 112:22, 129:16, 134:12, 135:3, 155:19, 162:13, 177:17, 177:22, 178:7, 221:3 We're - 1:3, 8:3, 22:5, 67:23, 86:16, 91:8, 106:18, 119:7, 129:15, 133:20, 142:16, 153:8, 157:2, 160:10, 172:15, 173:9, 176:21, 177:4, 177:15, 187:12, 199:8, 200:19, 208:11, 219:8, 220:14, 220:18, 221:12 Weren't - 33:6, 40:10, 76:9, 80:7, 115:22, 122:24, 123:13, 136:8 We've - 12:23, 22:3, 42:13, 52:19, 63:23, 91:13, 92:4, 106:17, 113:13, 121:17, 130:22, 155:18, 177:2, 177:3, 178:22, 180:24, 210:24 What's - 4:8, 16:10, 24:7, 29:1, 30:9, 32:25, 42:7, 42:15, 43:12, 47:3, 47:16, 48:15, 48:25, 51:17, 56:1, 61:2, 73:3, 78:24, 85:2, 96:24, 114:20, 115:3, 126:4, 131:19, 142:17, 145:12. 148:17. 149:4, 153:19, 154:18, 156:23, 157:1, 169:25, 189:1 Whereas - 200:19

Whole - 31:7, 31:9, 48:6, 52:11, 87:9, 128:12, 136:21, 185:4 Wide - 114:1 Widely - 29:19. 40:2, 52:1, 52:2, 52:5 Will - 28:25, 34:11, 42:15, 43:2, 47:19, 48:4, 48:21, 48:22, 49:6, 50:8, 58:13, 61:1, 61:12, 62:18, 67:5, 74:14, 82:24, 90:19, 91:4, 103:5, 107:11, 128:8, 142:18, 149:1, 159:9, 162:18, 178:3, 199:3, 199:4, 199:22, 201:4, 221:19, 221:22 Willing - 25:8, 126:7, 130:8, 136:11, 212:9 Winded - 173:2 Wish - 176:12, 194:18, 220:13 Wishes - 109:10, 156:14 Witness - 6:13, 92:9, 92:15, 106:21, 107:23, 129:21, 161:9, 161:11, 161:12, 161:15, 177:2 Wonderful - 157:1, 182:17 Won't - 4:15, 66:2, 88:20, 134:12, 176:11 Work - 4:19, 5:24, 6:23, 8:2, 8:4, 8:6, 8:7, 8:10, 8:18, 9:4, 10:13, 12:24, 15:25, 16:10, 16:14, 16:16, 18:21, 38:20, 40:4, 45:6, 45:8, 49:20, 54:5, 59:9, 59:24, 66:13, 67:13, 71:1, 71:21, 72:1, 72:2, 103:16, 156:17, 158:6, 175:12, 175:18, 200:3, 203:9. 205:6. 205:17 **Workable - 177:12** Worked - 6:13, 7:2 Workhorse - 45:14

, 71:16

Working - 1:19, 36:21 Works - 7:23, 46:7, 92:18 **World -** 206:25 Worse - 61:23. 128:11, 128:14 Worst - 130:9, 130:15 Worth - 125:15 Wouldn't - 22:20, 120:24, 128:25, 209:21, 214:16 **Wrap -** 63:14 Write - 132:3, 218:6 **Writing -** 71:11 Written - 118:4, 118:21, 119:4 Wrote - 123:6, 125:16, 131:9, 134:15, 136:16, 137:7 **Wyman's -** 40:21, 54:19, 55:7, 63:19, 68:11, 160:9, 160:25, 181:6, 181:11, 195:23, 196:2 Wynman - 30:2, 30:3, 189:5, 189:7, 191:10, 195:20 Wynman's - 190:1 Υ

Years - 2:20, 7:9, 7:16, 20:25, 25:12, 36:12, 38:3, 42:14, 44:22, 50:6, 50:24, 53:17, 58:2, 82:15, 82:25, 87:22, 89:5, 89:14, 89:15, 119:5, 128:7, 128:10, 129:2, 129:10, 130:21, 134:15, 136:21, 160:14, 165:5, 191:25, 192:1, 192:6, 202:14, 204:21, 204:23, 204:25, 210:3 Yesterday - 9:10, 92:19, 176:23, 178:5 **Yields - 112:3** York - 2:12 You'd - 60:23, 82:14, 137:9 **You'll -** 29:5, 35:14, 105:20, 114:8, 114:16, 122:7, 122:10, 122:18, 187:8, 190:10, 202:11, 202:13 Yourselves - 221:1 You've - 4:15, 4:18, 5:21, 6:12, 6:13, 7:17, 8:2, 13:3, 13:25, 14:1, 17:1, 22:2, 31:7, 50:16, 50:20, 52:17, 57:6, 64:1, 131:5, 145:15, 147:5, 148:18, 151:4, 169:13, 171:5, 174:18, 179:10, 180:13

Z

Zero - 46:17, 58:6, 58:7, 61:20, 62:24, 75:17, 86:25, 194:22 **Zeroed** - 85:25, 86:22 **Zurich** - 117:15, 119:16, 121:4, 132:2, 132:5, 134:14, 136:16

'11 - 83:24, 119:5, 119:17, 121:21, 124:13, 125:17, 136:17 **'12 -** 83:24, 85:7, 136:19, 141:21, 160:17 '13 - 83:25, 136:19, 141:22, 160:15 '14 - 79:19, 82:20, 85:12, 87:2, 136:20, 160:15 '14/'15 - 79:25, 80:4, 82:7 **'15 -** 79:19, 82:21, 89:14, 119:17, 136:17, 160:15 '16 - 30:7, 83:25, 119:5, 119:17, 123:7, 123:15, 124:14, 125:17, 136:18, 141:22, 160:16 **'17 -** 190:12 **'18 -** 89:6 **'94 -** 54:6

\$

\$1,000 - 143:20, 144:4, 144:5, 147:23, 148:13 \$100 - 143:21, 147:23 \$20,000 - 120:3 \$54,000 - 119:7 \$8,833 - 118:4, 120:1 \$92 - 20:6

1 **1.7 -** 123:8 **1:00 -** 178:14 **1:15 -** 178:15 **1:30 -** 176:11, 176:12, 192:13 1:45 - 209:18 **10 -** 52:23, 52:25, 53:1, 53:7, 53:17, 53:20, 53:25, 54:10, 58:11, 58:12, 63:23, 128:25, 135:18, 136:1, 138:21, 159:9, 167:16, 170:18, 178:2, 200:9,

10:45 - 77:18 **100** - 32:6 **1005** - 195:19

208:16, 208:19

10:00 - 40:15, 66:2

10.9 - 141:21

10:15 - 52:12

10:30 - 63:12

10-15 - 44:21 **104 -** 187:10

105 - 187:10, 187:11 **106** - 122:15,

187:25 **109** - 199:9, 199:16 **11** - 26:10, 52:15, 96:10, 110:10,

111:13, 111:19, 112:4, 186:25, 189:2, 206:9, 210:24

11.1 - 108:14 **11.2** - 106:14

11:06 - 99:10 **11:36 -** 99:11 **11:45 -** 107:16

11:45 - 107.16 **12** - 26:10, 61:17, 132:24, 137:20, 159:22, 161:17,

164:17, 166:19, 190:19, 202:17, 206:9

60:25, 92:2,

12.12 - 112:14 **12.2 -** 19:5 **12:00 -** 123:11 **12:15 -** 136:25 **12:30 -** 152:25 **12:45 -** 163:17, 175:22 **12th -** 73:22 **13 -** 116:14, 141:3, 164:6 **14 -** 29:15, 93:19, 94:18, 95:2, 111:9, 122:6, 138:17 **15 -** 7:9, 26:6, 54:17, 79:3, 93:19, 95:2, 98:13, 116:13, 117:4, 140:18, 149:13, 155:11, 155:14 **15.08 -** 141:21 **15.4 -** 85:3, 85:22, 86:8, 99:23 **15/18 -** 14:19 **16 -** 33:21, 43:18, 44:4, 89:6, 96:10, 115:3, 159:5, 159:7 **17 -** 78:19, 80:5, 80:7, 89:6, 93:1, 93:21, 94:21, 95:3, 95:25, 96:14, 96:19, 96:24, 97:8, 98:18, 99:16, 137:12, 182:25, 207:25, 208:9 **18 -** 50:12, 219:21 **19 -** 52:15, 79:11 **1969 -** 4:5 **1978 -** 4:8 **1993 -** 54:6 **1998 -** 213:24 **1999 -** 213:24 **1a -** 193:14, 194:16, 194:19, 198:2

2

2.3 - 58:2 **2.4 -** 124:18 **2.5 -** 131:2 **2.6 -** 131:10 **2.8 -** 131:11 **2.9 -** 124:10 2:00 - 178:1, 222:10 **2:30 -** 176:23, 176:25 20 - 24:2, 54:13, 56:20, 95:13, 98:13, 124:19, 125:15, 131:10, 160:18

20,000 - 120:6 **20.10 -** 157:24 **20.20 -** 157:25 **20.7 -** 101:3 **200 -** 120:6 **200,000 -** 120:14 **2005 -** 39:13, 39:17, 40:13, 41:5, 48:14, 48:15, 56:21, 63:25, 208:12, 209:11, 209:13, 209:23, 210:7 **2007 -** 41:6 **2008 -** 209:24 **2009-2010 -** 42:9 **2011 -** 19:3, 19:6, 20:9, 20:17, 20:24, 20:25, 32:21, 32:25, 38:6, 52:19, 84:12, 85:3, 115:23, 118:5, 120:2, 121:19, 121:20, 122:1, 123:7, 124:14, 137:11, 211:1 **2011-2016 -** 32:16 **2012 -** 1:14, 30:7, 38:1, 124:15 **2012-2016 -** 153:12 **2013 -** 18:6, 23:24, 24:3, 38:1, 38:6, 59:10, 124:16, 202:22, 205:6 **2014 -** 9:15, 24:3, 38:3, 89:5, 89:14, 124:17 **2014/'15 -** 83:11 **2014/2015 -** 80:17, 83:9, 86:22 **2014/2105 -** 84:9 **2015 -** 4:19, 5:8, 9:15, 24:1, 38:3, 89:5, 123:7, 124:18, 204:20, 205:9, 205:13 **2016 -** 1:14, 9:21, 18:7, 19:4, 19:6, 20:9, 20:17, 20:24, 20:25, 32:20, 32:25, 52:19, 52:21, 59:14, 59:25, 60:2, 114:13, 115:23, 118:5, 120:2, 121:20, 123:13, 124:18, 137:11, 190:12, 195:17, 195:22, 195:25, 196:3, 211:1 **2017 -** 41:6, 42:1,

66:21, 66:23, 67:1, 69:24, 70:15, 72:15, 106:15, 110:17, 114:11, 115:22, 175:10, 182:12, 195:19 **2018 -** 13:2, 38:22, 67:24, 72:19, 72:25, 201:19, 208:5 **21 -** 94:22, 95:8, 101:2, 159:21, 162:17, 164:23 **21,000,000 -** 82:25, 90:5 **22 -** 63:15, 195:22 **23 -** 65:8, 165:11 **24 -** 23:7, 79:11, 116:12, 116:14 **245 -** 122:13 **247 -** 24:2 **25 -** 27:3, 61:4, 64:7, 130:11, 164:4, 164:9, 164:11 **26 -** 29:14, 32:13, 79:3, 99:24, 218:14, 218:20 **27 -** 33:10, 33:11, 115:18 **28 -** 33:12, 165:11 **29 -** 34:10, 38:22, 78:20, 94:18, 99:17, 122:14, 185:20 **29th -** 73:5 **2B -** 157:16

3

3.0 - 43:19

3.2 - 131:11 **3.3 -** 131:11 **3.4 -** 99:23, 124:15 **3.5 -** 124:16 **3.6 -** 124:15. 131:10 **3.75 -** 52:21 **3.9 -** 124:15. 131:11 **30 -** 37:16, 137:19, 139:22, 158:23, 190:6, 208:18, 209:14, 210:4 **300 -** 219:19 **31 -** 114:11 **31st -** 195:19 **32 -** 116:25 **34 -** 98:23 **35 -** 97:21, 98:2, 98:10

4 **4.2 -** 202:22, 206:2 **4.5 -** 208:20 4.6 - 208:22 **4.8 -** 162:23 **40 -** 7:16, 106:1, 108:9, 108:11,

137:20 **42 -** 65:9, 79:11 **45/46 -** 2:20

5 **5.3 -** 202:22, 206:2, 208:18 **5.5 -** 211:1 **5.6 -** 162:23 **5.7 -** 162:23 **5.8 -** 162:23 **5.85 -** 52:20 **5:30 -** 178:10, 178:12 **50 -** 106:1, 130:12 **54 -** 20:17, 21:2, 74:17, 74:22, 75:14, 79:7, 98:17, 99:24

6

6.0 - 162:24 **6.4 -** 141:22 **6.75 -** 130:13 **61 -** 95:16 **66 -** 32:19 6th - 152:22, 167:25, 187:12, 199:10, 199:11

7

7.2 - 160:20, 160:21 **7.7 -** 160:21 **7.84 -** 52:21 **74 -** 196:8, 196:14, 196:17, 196:23 **75 -** 32:17, 130:12 **79 -** 190:10

8

8,000 - 120:20 **8.2 -** 160:20, 160:21 **8.5 -** 160:21 **8.6 -** 20:8 **82 -** 32:20 **83 -** 53:9 **84 -** 32:23 **85 -** 152:12, 195:22, 196:18, 196:21, 197:5

86 - 152:12, 153:10 **87 -** 152:12, 195:18, 196:22, 197:2, 197:5 **88 -** 152:12 **89 -** 111:13, 111:14, 111:19, 111:22, 112:4

9

9.1 - 160:21

9.5 - 99:23 **9.63 -** 209:2 **9:00 -** 176:24, 220:18 9:15 - 1:1 **9:30 -** 15:8, 221:23 9:45 - 29:12 **90 -** 154:4, 184:8, 186:13 **91 -** 75:14, 155:5, 155:7 92 - 21:2, 74:17, 74:18, 74:22, 79:11, 97:14, 98:23 **9501 -** 168:5 **9502 -** 168:9 **97 -** 167:19 **98 -** 124:10 994 - 124:11

3a - 196:5, 196:6

3b - 197:10